

MEETING MINUTES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AD HOC EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES COMMITTEE COUNTY OF MONO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MEETING LOCATION Lee Vining Community Center, 296 Mattly Avenue, Lee Vining, CA 93541

August 6, 2015

These minutes are meant as a summary only. A copy of the audio file is available in the Clerk's office upon request

1:12 PM Meeting called to Order by Chairman Fesko.

Present: Chairman Fesko, Dave Robbins, Mike Geary, Frank Frievalt, Rick Mitchell, Dr. Rick Johnson, Fred Stump, Bob Rooks, Ralph Lockhart.

Absent: Lynda Salcido, Rosemary Sachs, Leslie Chapman, Jack Copeland.

Adjourn: 4:25 P.M.

Pledge of Allegiance led by Chairman Fesko.

1. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

No one spoke.

- 2. AGENDA ITEMS
- A. Meeting Minutes

Action: Approve minutes of the Regular Meeting held on July 16, 2015, as corrected. Mitchell moved, Frievalt seconded. Vote: 7 yes; 0 no; Stump, Johnson abstain. EMS15-04

Frank Frievalt:

 On page 3 under his name, he requested the following statement added: "He asked Bill VanLente if the committee would be allowed to come to agreement on working definitions for "County-wide", "Fiscally Sustainable", and "High Quality", prior to the start of the nominal group technique exercise, as these are the three qualifications the committee has repeatedly confirmed as requirements of any EMS system for the County. Mr. VanLente agreed this would be important to do, but suggested that it should be done after this part of the process."

Chairman Fesko:

• On page 3 under his name, would like Bill's last name, VanLente, added.

B. EMS Models Workshop

(Bill VanLente) - Interactive workshop led by Bill Van Lente regarding potential EMS models for Mono County. Any additional information or attachments will be distributed at the meeting.

Action: None.

Bill Van Lente:

- Clarified the three goals that came out of the Board meeting that created this committee: define a program that is countywide, fiscally sustainable, and high quality.
- What does each category mean to each of the members? We will evaluate these ideas, combine them, and then will come back and synthesize this against the goals.
- Any good ideas that make it through this process will be included in the final set of recommendations.

Chairman Fesko:

• This is needed to create a basis of what the group is talking about.

Supervisor Stump:

- He sees elements of things that need to happen (on the Post-It easel pads), but he thinks some should be acted on the future, not right away. He feels the committee's mission is to investigate all options, not circumvent that. Each idea that gets passed along needs to be fully vetted.
- Since he was absent last meeting, wants #50 added: fully investigate privatization of the service, plus all of #27, with strong oversight.

Dr. Johnson:

- 5 other ideas are not included on the Post-Its that he feels are key elements of the program or impediments to change;
- #51: EOA (Exclusive Operating Area), from a blank slate, then start from scratch. Do the EOAs fit in or impede that?
- #52: MOU
- #53: CAD, Computer Aided Dispatch (EMD) ability to have 911 calls efficiently triaged to serve the patient better. Ties in with #35.
- #54: Response times. What kind of areas are we? Rural, urban, wilderness?
- #55: Community engagement in CPR. evidence shows that survival times are significantly improved where the population has CPR training. Trained civilians can give CPR at the scene. The bystander has a responsibility to do what needs to be done.
- #56: Jail medical coverage. There is an agreement to provide this to the jail, but no state law or mandate that states ELS services are required. Whatever Bridgeport gets, the jail should get the same.

General discussion and roundtable regarding what each goal and definition means to its creator and the committee.

What does "countywide" mean?

What is "fiscally sustainable"? What does "high quality" mean?

Rick Mitchell:

• The County has chosen to operate a paramedic transport system. If in the future we decide not to, do we still have an obligation to thru LEMSA?

Stacey Simon:

- The obligation to have a LEMSA is based on a voluntary decision to have services. If we decide not to provide, she will find out if we just secede from LEMSA.
- If the committee needs to hear from private entities, it's fine to bring in private companies to give perspective and ideas, if the within the scope of the mandates of the committee. We would tell the companies we are not asking for a bid, just information at this time.
- An RFP just means everyone has the same opportunity to bid.

Chairman Fesko:

- He thinks this is an irrelevant direction, as we have already decided that not providing services is not an option for us.
- If the committee needs more time to resolve the problem, it can ask the Board of Supervisors for an extension of the original motion of 6 months from the date of first meeting.

Mike Geary:

- He was expecting more of a continuation of last week's ideas discussion. What's next?
- Can we just ask someone to just give a presentation on what they have to offer without asking what it costs? How do we compare?

Bill VanLente:

• We have 50+ ideas, all keeping the mandates in mind, then we went back and defined the three mandates. It may seem like we're spinning our wheels but it's all part of the process. Next time, take the 3 goals, refine them down to a few ideas, then go back to the 50+ ideas and apply them.

Dr. Johnson:

- He will contact ICEMA and let them know they haven't been disinvited.
- He would prefer to talk to LEMSA rather than individual providers, because no one in the County has more information; those within the county have less information.

Supervisor Stump:

- The mandate of this committee is so different from what is going on right now in Inyo County. **Dave Robbins:**
 - He thinks we need to hear from private companies and get an idea of the estimated costs of what they can do it for. Of course we won't hear all the bad, only the good.

Ralph Lockhart:

| | | |

| | | • It may be good to hear from private providers of the pros and cons to working with the County and how they accomplish that.

MEETING MINUTES August 6, 2015 Page 4 of 4

ADJOURN

ATTEST

TIMOTHY E. FESKO CHAIRMAN

HELEN NUNN SR. DEPUTY CLERK OF THE BOARD