

AGENDA BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF MONO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Regular Meetings: The First, Second, and Third Tuesday of each month. Location of meeting is specified just below.

MEETING LOCATION Board Chambers, 2nd Fl., County Courthouse, 278 Main St., Bridgeport, CA 93517

Regular Meeting July 1, 2014

TELECONFERENCE LOCATIONS: 1) First and Second Meetings of Each Month: Mammoth Lakes CAO Conference Room, 3rd Floor Sierra Center Mall, 452 Old Mammoth Road, Mammoth Lakes, California, 93546; 2) Third Meeting of Each Month: Mono County Courthouse, 278 Main, 2nd Floor Board Chambers, Bridgeport, CA 93517. Board Members may participate from a teleconference location. Note: Members of the public may attend the open-session portion of the meeting from a teleconference location, and may address the board during any one of the opportunities provided on the agenda under Opportunity for the Public to Address the Board.

NOTE: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Clerk of the Board at (760) 932-5534. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the County to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting (See 42 USCS 12132, 28CFR 35.130).

Full agenda packets are available for the public to review in the Office of the Clerk of the Board (Annex I - 74 North School Street, Bridgeport, CA 93517), and in the County Offices located in Minaret Mall, 2nd Floor (437 Old Mammoth Road, Mammoth Lakes CA 93546). Any writing distributed less than 72 hours prior to the meeting will be available for public inspection in the Office of the Clerk of the Board (Annex I - 74 North School Street, Bridgeport, CA 93517). **ON THE WEB**: You can view the upcoming agenda at www.monocounty.ca.gov . If you would like to receive an automatic copy of this agenda by email, please send your request to Linda Romero, Acting Clerk of the Board: Iromero@mono.ca.gov .

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY TIME, ITEMS SCHEDULED FOR EITHER THE MORNING OR AFTERNOON SESSIONS WILL BE HEARD ACCORDING TO AVAILABLE TIME AND PRESENCE OF INTERESTED PERSONS. PUBLIC MAY COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS AT THE TIME THE ITEM IS HEARD.

9:00 AM Call meeting to Order. Supervisors adjourn to top of courthouse for flag raising.

Pledge of Allegiance will follow, spectators gather outside. Reconvene inside.

1. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

on items of public interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. (Speakers may be limited in speaking time dependent upon the press of business and number of persons wishing to address the Board.)

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Board Minutes

Departments: Clerk of the Board

Approve Minutes of the Regular Meeting held on June 10, 2014.

B. Board Minutes

Departments: Clerk of the Board

Approve Minutes of the Special Meeting held on June 16, 0214.

C. Board Minutes

Departments: Clerk of the Board

Approve Minutes of the Regular Meeting held on June 17, 2014.

D. Board Minutes

Departments: Clerk of the Board

Approve Minutes of the Special Meeting held on June 19, 2014.

3. PRESENTATIONS - NONE

4. BOARD MEMBER REPORTS

The Board may, if time permits, take Board Reports at any time during the meeting and not at a specific time.

5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

CAO Report regarding Board Assignments Receive brief oral report by County Administrative Officer (CAO) regarding work activities.

6. DEPARTMENT/COMMISSION REPORTS

7. CONSENT AGENDA

(All matters on the consent agenda are to be approved on one motion unless a board member requests separate action on a specific item.)

A. Appointment of Bridgeport Valley Regional Planning Advisory Committee (RPAC) Members

Departments: Community Development

Recommended Action: Re-appoint existing Bridgeport Valley Regional Planning Advisory Committee (RPAC) members to new two-year terms expiring in January 2016 or 2017, as recommended, and appoint Gene Kinum with a term expiring January 2017.

Fiscal Impact: No fiscal impacts are expected.

B. Certification of the Statewide Direct Primary Election 6.3.14

Departments: Elections

Certification of Results of the Canvass of the June 3, 2014, Statewide Direct Primary Election.

Recommended Action: Receive and approve as correct the Statement of Votes for the June 3, 2014, Statewide Direct Primary Election, and declare elected to each office voted on under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, the person having the requisite number of votes.

Fiscal Impact: None.

C. Contract Extension with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications

Departments: Sheriff's Office and Information Technology

The Mono County Sheriff's Office would like to extend the County's current contract with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications for one year, July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015.

Recommended Action: Approve County entry into, and authorize the Board Chair to sign, proposed new agreement with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications for the provision of communications/electronics maintenance services for one year (July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015), on the same terms and conditions as the current contract, with a not to exceed contract limit of \$107,100.

Fiscal Impact: \$107,100.00. for Fiscal Year 2014-15

D. Appointment to the CSA #5 Board

Departments: Clerk of the Board

Benny Romero was recently nominated by the CSA #5 Board to fill the fifth and final spot on the Board. He has agreed and is interested in doing so. This item is to get Board approval for Mr. Romero's appointment. This item is being sponsored by Supervisor Fesko.

Recommended Action: Appoint Benny Romero to the CSA #5 Board, filling the last open vacancy on this Board.

Fiscal Impact: None.

E. May 2014 Transaction Report

Departments: Finance

Treasury Transactions for the month of May 2014.

Recommended Action: Approve the Treasury Transaction Report for the month of May 2014.

Fiscal Impact: None.

F. Public Defender Investigator Contract

Departments: Finance

Proposed contract with Tyrone Atwater dba Atwater Investigations pertaining to the provisions of private investigation services for the Mono County Public Defenders.

Recommended Action: Approve the contract for the provision of private investigative services for fiscal year 14/15 in an amount not to exceed \$70,000 contigent on adoption of the of the 2014/15 County budget.

Fiscal Impact: Amount not to exceed \$70,000 is included in the proposed 14/15 budget.

8. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED (INFORMATIONAL)

All items listed are located in the Office of the Clerk of the Board, and are available for review.

A. Fish and Game Regarding Pacific Halibut Sport Fishing Season

Letter from the Fish and Game Commission, dated June 6, 2014 regarding notice of proposed emergency regulatory action relating to Pacific halibut sport fishing.

B. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Information received from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regarding Application for Temporary Variance of Minimum Pool Elevation Requirement for the Lee Vining Project.

C. Southern California Edison

Information from SCE dated June 19, 2015 regarding the 2015 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) Forecast Application, A.14-06-011.

D. Moss Letter Regarding Mono County Chamber of Commerce

Letter dated June 5, 2014 from David Moss enclosing a California Franchise Tax Board Letter addressed to the Mono County Chamber of Commerce in care of Mr. Moss. Mr. Moss served as Treasurer on the Mono County Chamber in the late 1990's and this information has to do with Tax-Exempt Status Revocation and who currently carries the financial burden of the Chamber. When Mr. Moss resigned in 2000, he saw to it that the financial burden of the Chamber was assumed by the County's Accounting office.

9. REGULAR AGENDA - MORNING

A. Authorization to Recruit and Refill GIS Specialist Position

Departments: Information Technology 15 minutes (5 minute presentation; 10 minute discussion) (Nate Greenberg) - As of June 25, 2014, the GIS Specialist position within the Information Technology department will be vacated by the incumbent, Peter Kobylarz. This item requests authorization to recruit for and re-fill this critical position.

Recommended Action: Authorize the Director of Information Technology to begin the recruitment effort for a GIS Specialist I/II position to replace Peter Kobylarz.

Fiscal Impact: Approximately \$114,087 consisting of \$66,288 in salary and \$47,799 in benefits. The entire amount is accounted for in the IT Department's FY 2014-2015 budget.

B. Expansion of California Tax Credit for Film and Television Production (AB 1839)

Departments: Economic Development

15 minutes (5 minute presentation; 10 minute discussion)

(Alicia Vennos) - The Mono County Tourism & Film Commission encourages the Board of Supervisors to support Assembly Bill 1839 which will enhance California's existing film and television production tax credit program. The goal is to attract an increased number of productions in California which will thereby create additional potential for Mono County to secure incremental filming opportunities.

Recommended Action: Consider approval of a letter to the Senate Committee on Governance & Finance in support of AB 1839.

Fiscal Impact: None.

C. Mono County Comments on the Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse

Departments: Community Development, Economic Development, Inyo-Mono Agricultural Commissioner, County Counsel

30 minutes (15 minute presentation; 15 minute discussion)

(Wendy Sugimura) - Presentation on the Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse.

To view the Economic Analysis associated with this item, which is too large to attach to this packet, please click on the following link: http://www.monocounty.ca.gov/sites/default/files/fileattachments/Board%20of% 20Supervisors/calendar_event/2306/sage_grouse_large_attachment.pdf

Recommended Action: Approve and authorize chairman to sign comment letter regarding the Draft Economic Analysis, with any desired changes. Provide any desired direction to staff.

Fiscal Impact: Significant impacts anticipated; proposed letter requests accurate analysis and quantification by USFWS.

10. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

on items of public interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. (Speakers may be limited in speaking time dependent upon the press of business and number of persons wishing to address the Board.)

11. CLOSED SESSION

A. Closed Session--Human Resources

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS. Government Code Section 54957.6. Agency designated representative(s): Marshall Rudolph, John Vallejo, Leslie Chapman, Bill Van Lente and Jim Leddy. Employee Organization(s): Mono County Sheriff's Officers Association (aka Deputy Sheriff's Association), Local 39--majority representative of Mono County Public Employees (MCPE) and Deputy Probation Officers Unit (DPOU), Mono County Paramedic Rescue Association (PARA), Mono County Public Safety Officers Association (PSO), and Mono County Sheriff Department's Management Association (SO Mgmt). Unrepresented employees: All.

B. Closed Session - CAO Performance Evaluation

(Jim Leddy) - PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION. Government Code section 54957. Title: County Administrative Officer.

C. Closed Session - Public Employment

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT. Government Code section 54957. Title: Clerk-Recorder.

D. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Mono County v. Standard Industrial Minerals.

E. Closed session - Conference with Legal Counsel

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION. Initiation of litigation pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Number of potential cases: one.

F. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Luman v. Mono County Personnel Appeals Board.

G. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Inland Aquaculture Group, LLC v. Mono County et al.

13. REGULAR AGENDA AFTERNOON- NONE ADJOURN



OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Clerk of the Board

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT Board Minutes

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Approve Minutes of the Regular Meeting held on June 10, 2014.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

06-10-14 Draft

History			
Time	Who	Approval	
6/24/2014 7:35 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes	
6/24/2014 11:22 AM	County Counsel	Yes	
6/23/2014 5:15 PM	Finance	Yes	



DRAFT MEETING MINUTES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF MONO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Regular Meetings: The First, Second, and Third Tuesday of each month. Location of meeting is specified just below.

MEETING LOCATION Board Chambers, 2nd Fl., County Courthouse, 278 Main St., Bridgeport, CA 93517

Regular Meeting June 10, 2014

Flash Drive	#1013
Minute Orders	M14-99 to M14-102
Resolutions	R14-35 to R14-36
Ordinance	Ord14-03 NOT USED

9:00 AM Meeting Called to Order by Chairman Johnston.

Supervisors present: Alpers, Fesko, Hunt, Johnston and Stump. Supervisors absent: None.

Supervisor Tim Fesko left the meeting at 2:00 p.m. due to a previously scheduled engagement.

Break: 10:27 a.m. Reconvene: 10:40 a.m. Lunch: 12:17 p.m. Reconvene: 1:12 p.m. Adjourn: 2:16 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance led by Supervisor Stump.

- 1. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD No one spoke.
- 2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES NONE
- 3. PRESENTATIONS NONE

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 2 of 13

4. BOARD MEMBER REPORTS

Supervisor Alpers:

No report today.

Supervisor Fesko:

- 6/5 attended Antelope Valley RPAC; they keep moving forward on things.
- 6/6 Officiated first wedding; and will do another one in two weeks. He really enjoyed it.
- 6/9 LTC meeting with Supervisor Stump.
- This week is 7th annual ATV Jamboree; today through the 14th. He will be guiding a trip tomorrow. Up to 205 riders this year.

Supervisor Hunt:

- Last Wednesday, special Caltrans MOU Committee Update meeting; confirmed more time is needed to complete negotiations in developing the Conservation Easement; extended to September 1st.
- Wednesday, 6/4 LAFCO meeting, final budget meeting. \$11,533 for this year; discussed various other issues.
- 6/4 Tom Torlakson, California State Superintendent of Public Instruction toured schools; he spent some time with him at a reception.
- Tomorrow headed to Fall River Mills to attend the Sierra Nevada Conservancy meeting for a few days.
- Congratulated Stacy Corless on her win for his district.

Supervisor Johnston:

• Attended family birthday celebration out of town.

Supervisor Stump:

- Saturday attended Crowley Lake BBQ and Community Swap meet; good turnout, good to see Swall Meadows and Paradise people there.
- Monday attended LTC meeting with Supervisor Fesko; able to wrap up most business items quickly. July meeting has been cancelled. There will be one new LTC meeting eventually.
- Regarding Land Trust had a meeting with one of their board members; gratified to hear that board as a whole appears to still be supportive.
- Meeting with owner of Convict Lake Resort; may be interested in funding some conduit up the road to his resort in order to be able to have fiber optic capability up there.

The Board may, if time permits, take Board Reports at any time during the meeting and not at a specific time.

5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

CAO Report regarding Board Assignments

Receive brief oral report by County Administrative Officer (CAO) regarding work activities.

Jim Leddy:

• Strategic Planning Committee Thursday night; he'll send out information.

6. DEPARTMENT/COMMISSION REPORTS Garrett Higerd:

• Convict Lake Road Update: meeting in two weeks to discuss project and make sure all documents are on track. This is being pushed forward quickly; going to construction in summer of 2015. This is a great opportunity to think about putting conduit in the ground.

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 3 of 13

> Rock Creek Road Update: there is a conflict right now due to lack of forward planning; he attended a meeting with Stacey Simon at SCE offices in Bishop, thinks they understand what needs to be done as far as not missing the paving opportunities. Yesterday LTC approved a letter; he suggests our Board take up issue and do a similar letter. Funding has recently been approved. Have been finalizing plans, working on permits, will meet with subcommittee soon.

Stacey Simon:

• Here to follow up on LUNA Geothermal litigation issue: the appeal website shows that no appeal has yet been filed.

7. CONSENT AGENDA

(All matters on the consent agenda are to be approved on one motion unless a board member requests separate action on a specific item.)

A. Examination of Sales or Transactions and Use Tax Records

Departments: Finance

Resolution updating County representatives with the Board of Equalization in regards to agreement to audit sales and/or transactions and use tax.

Action: Approve and authorize the Chairman's signature proposed resolution #R14-35, authorizing the Examination of Sales or Transactions and Use Tax records.

Fesko moved; Hunt seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>R14-35</u>

B. Letter of Support for Race Communications Broadband Project

Departments: Information Technology; Board of Supervisors

This item authorizes the Board Chair to sign a letter to the California Public Utilities Commission in support of Resolution T-17433 granting \$4,650,593 to Race Telecommunications for the Mono County Underserved Broadband Project. This project would deliver gigabit Internet speed and additional broadband capabilities via 'Fiber-to-the-Premise' technology to households and businesses in the communities of Aspen Springs, Chalfant, Crowley Lake, and Sunny Slopes. Mono County has worked closely with Race Telecommunications in the development of this project proposal, and the effort is in line with the County's legislative platform to seek out funding for projects of this nature.

Action: Approve draft letter of support and authorize signature by Board Chair. Stump moved; Hunt seconded

Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

M14-99

Pulled from agenda by Supervisor Johnston for discussion.

- Appears that RACE communications will want to have overhead lines, he's not in favor of it.
- Asked about putting lines on existing poles, contrary to General Plan?
- He doesn't think that the letter needs to be revised but he does want them to know that

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 4 of 13

he at least is concerned about this.

Supervisor Stump:

- Nate not here today; as much as possible they plan to bore it, using the existing poles. They are aware of all issues.
- How much latitude they have in the grant to finish certain parts wireless due to wetlands issue, not certain.

Nate Greenberg:

- He will make sure the General Plan issue is addressed.
- 8. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED (INFORMATIONAL)

All items listed are located in the Office of the Clerk of the Board, and are available for review.

A. RCRC Letter Regarding Payment-in-Lieu-of-Taxes Monies Owed

Letter dated May 28, 2014 from RCRC regarding PILT monies owed to counties asking for assistance in placing this topic on the not in conference list of items to be discussed and acted upon during the deliberations of the Joint Legislative Budget Conference Committee.

B. County Supervisor Letter Regarding Securing a Water Bond

Letter from Tulare and San Joaquin Board of Supervisors, dated May 23, 2014, providing additional details about securing a water bond for the November ballot.

The Board acknowledged receipt of the correspondence.

9. REGULAR AGENDA - MORNING

A. First Five Mono County Evaluation Report for FY 2012-13

Departments: Board of Supervisors

(Molly DesBaillets, Executive Director) - Presentation of First 5 Mono County's Evaluation Report for FY 2012/2013 by Molly DesBaillets, Executive Director.

Action: None.

Molly DesBaillets (First Five Director): Power point:

- Children's Participation in First 5 Mono Funded Programs (FY 12-13).
- Children's Participation in Commission-Run Programs (FY 12-13)
- Home Visiting.
- Services.
- Demographics.
- Referrals.
- Breastfeeding.
- Exits.
- Exit Survey.
- Childbirth Education.
- Café Mom.

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 5 of 13

- Family Behavioral Health.
- Peapod Playgroups.
- Participation.
- Overall Survey Average.
- Mono County Behavioral Health Referrals/Survey.
- School Readiness.
- Transition to School Activities.
- Kindergarten Round Up Attendance.
- Transition to School Participation.
- Pre-K Assessments.
- MES Assessments 2008-2013.
- MES Students Assessed as "Not Ready".
- Teacher Survey; Survey Comments.
- Parent Survey; Survey Comments.
- Raising a Reader; Evaluation; Reader's Theatre; First Book.
- Child Safety (no investment in FY 2012-13).
- Birth-to-5 Health and Safety Fairs
- Child Care Quality.
- Child Signature Project.
- Oral Health.
- Results and Indicators.

Other Comments:

- She does share statistics with school districts.
- Head start has been asked to leave Mammoth Elementary School; space has been offered at old library, quite expensive. That's the last she has heard, it's still a question mark.
- She'd like to present to Town Council in Mammoth and come back each year to visit our board.

Supervisor Stump:

- Are statistics shared with the school districts? Are they receptive to this?
- Head start building demolished? Where will they go?

Supervisor Johnston:

- He's involved with IMACA; they are helping look for spot for Head Start?
- This is an amazing amount of work and organization that you do; thanked her. Encouraged a future update.

B. Crowley Ballfield Concession Facility

Departments: Public Works

(Joe Blanchard) - Discussion regarding CSA # 1 transferring \$115,000 into the CIP for Construction Costs for the Crowley Lake Ballfield Concession Facility.

Action: Approve the transfer of \$115,000 from the CSA#1 budget into the CIP budget to cover construction costs for the new Crowley Lake Ballfield concession facility. Additionally, CSA #1 agrees to contribute funding towards maintenance.

Stump moved; Hunt seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>M14-100</u>

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 6 of 13

Joe Blanchard:

- Explained item and the suggested transfer of funds and what project is for.
- Kim McCarthy present in Mammoth in case Board needs to ask her questions.
- Should an MOU be put together as far as maintenance and added facilities?

Kim McCarthy:

- Gave Board information; needs additional \$10,000 for items needed; may need to be brought back at a later meeting.
- She has been told that any building they build that they are responsible for maintenance.

Stacey Simon:

• Additional expenditure could most likely be approved through CAO but it can be brought back.

Supervisor Stump:

- He'd like to simplify this; if CAO can do independently then the Board should advise him to do that.
- Ball field is a great thing; it's been used a lot.

Supervisor Fesko:

- Hat's off to CSA#1 for stepping up and helping community.
- He feels involvement with maintenance and expenditures needs to be at CSA level; not so much at Board level.

Supervisor Johnston:

- Asked who is responsible for maintenance, how that works?
- Is it the intent that when we do improvements; that we make sure maintenance aspect is covered?

Marshall Rudolph:

- CSA Board is essentially an advisory board; good idea to have some general guidance for CSA's on the subject of expenditures and maintenance.
- No MOU needed as if they are a separate party.
- C. Contract for Custodial, Snow Removal, and Campground and Community Ctr. Mgmt. Services

Departments: Public Works and CAO

(Joe Blanchard and Sarah Messerlian) - Proposed contract with Baxter's for Custodial, Snow Removal, and Campground and Community Center Management Services.

Action: Approve County entry into proposed contract and authorize the Public Works Director to execute said contract on behalf of the County.

Fesko moved; Hunt seconded

Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

<u>M14-101</u>

Joe Blanchard:

- Explained item, scope of work included in contract.
- Reiterated the valuable experience that Mr. Baxter has; which is needed to carry out the scope of work involved in this contract.
- The County used to pay for supplies; with new contract Mr. Baxter will pay for them.

Supervisor Fesko:

- Asked about equipment and materials. He heard that the county pays for cleaning supplies.
- Asked for clarification on the amounts on contracts.
- Asked what additional work he's doing? Asked for clarification on recycling portion.

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 7 of 13

Supervisor Stump:

- In communities with no access to recycling services; places could become dump sites.
- Asked specifically about the recycling portion and the costs.
- Interesting grouping of facilities within this contract

Supervisor Hunt:

• How long has Baxter been doing this?

Supervisor Johnston:

- He thinks it's prudent for these types of contracts to go out to bid periodically; ok with this renewal but in the future he feels we should put back out to bid.
- Standard quality in contract seems weak; when we go out for proposals, he thinks standards should be more measurable. Should be more specific.

Tony Dublino:

- The recycling part could be charged back to the solid waste program.
- Has had some cuts in monies; looking at creative ways save time, gas, etc adding the recycling portion to Baxter's contract seems a way to assist with that. Or, we could maintain it if necessary.
- This contract is not actually requesting the recycling portion today; it's for potential future use.

Stacey Simon:

- The agreement itself factors in the recycling portion *if* necessary.
- Language in contract, Section 1d, talks about contractor's obligations.

Leslie Chapman:

- This is contingent on this year's budget; other things can be addressed during the budget process.
- D. Introduction to the Information Technology Strategic Plan

Departments: Information Technology

(Nate Greenberg) - Presentation describing the Information Technology Strategic Planning effort, including an overview of information gathered, key initiatives for 2014-2015, and next steps.

Action: Information item only.

Nate Greenberg:

Power Point:

- Top Themes in Mono County IT.
- Basis for Strategic Planning:
 - Do more with less
 - Increasing demand on IT staff time
 - Evaluate and distinguish
- Where we were and what we 'Knew'.
- General Questions Going In.
- Demands on Time.
- Time Allocations by Staff Type.
- Hours by Work Area.
- What We Are Actually Working On?
- Why Plan Strategically?
- Our Process.
- Mission.
- Vision.
- 2014-2015 Goals and Objectives.
- Key Initiatives:

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 8 of 13

- Communications and Broadband
- Business Operations and Efficiency
- Infrastructure and Security
- Example 1: Communications Initiative.
- Improving our Support Model.
- Support Request Response Time by Priority.
- 2014 Response Times by Priority.
- Example 2: Business Operations & Efficiency.
- Current Systems & Procedures.
- Existing Software.
- Proposed System Consolidation.
- Savings in Annual Maintenance Fees.
- Example 3: Infrastructure and Security.
- PC Age: Support Requirements & User Experience.
- IT Project Management.
- Next Steps.

Next Comments:

• He intends to come before the Board to request replacement for Peter Kybolarz who will be leaving Mono County; he will be leaving some big shoes unfilled.

Supervisor Stump:

- Asked about the life of PC's what is currently being implemented?
- Understands you are losing one of your staff; will you be able to replace locally?
- He concurs with tooling up the process of filling the position in IT; however that needs to occur.

Supervisor Fesko:

 Appreciates how proactive the IT Department is being; suspects Nate and his team will see others appreciate this.

Supervisor Alpers:

- Complimented Nate on his presentation.
- Complimented staff on being available for answering all kinds of questions.

Supervisor Hunt:

• Doesn't feel we have the talent locally to fill Peter's position.

Supervisor Johnston:

• Great job on this presentation and for keeping on top of all of it.

Jim Leddy:

- Due to hard hiring freeze in place; there are now requirements for hiring people.
- Earliest for the replacement position to come to the Board would be July 1st.

E. Third Amendment to Conway Ranch MOU and Conservation Easement Update

Departments: Board of Supervisors

(Marshall Rudolph, Tony Dublino) - Proposed Third Amendment of the Conway Ranch MOU with Caltrans. Said Amendment will extend the deadline for implementing the MOU until September 1, 2014, which among other things will allow the County to thoroughly evaluate comments received and revise the draft conservation easement as deemed appropriate by the Board. Also receive a brief oral staff update regarding the status of the draft Conservation Easement for Conway Ranch.

Action: Approve County entry into proposed Third Amendment of the Conway Ranch MOU with Caltrans, and authorize the Board Chairman to sign said Third

Note

Amendment upon behalf of the County.

Fesko moved; Hunt seconded

Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

<u>M14-102</u>

Board Discussion:

 We will schedule a special meeting for residents to be able to have a voice on this issue on July 27th, 6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m., Lee Vining Community Center. Special meeting.
 rshall Rudolph:

Marshall Rudolph:

- Introduced item; it's very straight forward.
- We currently have an MOU with Caltrans; currently in the process of developing the conservation easement with Conway Ranch.
- We've been hard at work at this; a draft is out. We're close to having it done. Deadline in place was July 1st but with the required sign offs needing to happen, combined with Land Trust issues and other documents, they aren't quite done.
- A lot of public feedback and comments have been received; the Board should consider all information coming in. There is still a fair amount of misunderstanding about the easement; we need to continue to reach out and educate the public. Caltrans understands we need more time.
- This proposed third amendment is to extend the deadline to September 1st. They'd like it done by August 1st.
- One thought that has crossed staffs mind, in order to let the public address the board in person, would be maybe having a special meeting about this. Should be done well in advance of the deadline.

Tony Dublino:

- This extension presents an option to move this forward and hopefully get more understanding out there.
- Thinks a special meeting is a good idea.

Supervisor Hunt:

• We need to get this behind us and figure out what's going to benefit most people most of the time.

Supervisor Alpers:

- This has been on RPAC agenda for over a year; Marshall's observations and comments are appropriate.
- Public comments to the Board are different; suggested a special meeting on June 24th, Tuesday. This would be good public policy.

Supervisor Fesko:

- Agrees with Supervisor Hunt that this needs to be moved forward.
- F. Public Hearing: General Plan Amendment / Housing Element Update

Departments: Community Development

(Brent Calloway) - Public hearing regarding General Plan Amendment 14-001 / Housing Element Update.

Action: Following public hearing, consider Planning Commission recommendation to approve Resolution #R14-36, adopting Addendum 14-001 to the Mono County General Plan EIR and General Plan Amendment 14-001 Housing Element Update.

Stump moved; Fesko seconded Vote: 4 yes; 1 no: Johnston <u>R14-36</u>

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 10 of 13

Brent Calloway:

- Gave Board a handout (to go on the web) and introduced item.
- Power point (to be posed to web):
 - Housing Element Update Timeline
 - Summary of Update
 - Went over recommended action.
 - Staff also agrees with recommended action.
 - Mammoth Lakes Housing inadvertently left out.
 - June 30th deadline.
 - There is a version that easily addresses the Mammoth Lakes Housing comments.

Supervisor Stump:

- Clarified that IMAAA has been replaced with ESAA. This needs to be changed.
- What is deadline?
- He's prepared to support this resolution. He's confident the outreach has been done.
- We're being forced to do less with less; the CDD is so busy. We are going to end up paying a price for leaning down with the county.

Supervisor Johnston:

- Asked what the staff recommendation is.
- Page 2 Public participation asked for clarification.
- Why wasn't Mammoth Lakes Housing contacted earlier?
- Asked about contacting the Latino community even though the RPACS are usually contacted. He sees this as a gap.
- Why would Latinos come? They haven't gotten enough outreach.
- Asked how many Latinos are on the RPACS?
- If we had done a survey, we might have had a request to do a meeting at night. We didn't.

Supervisor Fesko:

- There is not much participation in this as a public hearing.
- He feels the public outreach has been done.
- This is a status quo housing element with not many changes. We need to meet this deadline.

Supervisor Hunt:

• Agrees with the need for better outreach but this is a quiet general plan amendment; we need to approve it.

Supervisor Alpers:

• Appreciates and respects Supervisor Johnston's comments; all things considered we need to go ahead and approve this.

Scott Burns:

- Explained why the staff recommends what the Planning Commission recommends.
- Entertained Mammoth Lakes Housing to assist; but re-evaluated at a later time due to various reasons.
- Planning Commission's point of view when they solicit Latino involvement, they never get much response. It's a deficiency to Latinos because they aren't comfortable participating in local government.
- He feels for our small rural county, we are doing a lot of outreach efforts.
- We're doing this item because it's a state law requirement.
- Mammoth Lakes Housing has been involved.
- May need to try some non traditional approaches. Could incorporate this into General Plan Update.

Jim Leddy:

• Spoke about a Latino group that may need to be queried (via Hector Gonzalez) for future

Note

notifications.

PUBLIC HEARING OPEN 12:05 p.m.

Jennifer Halferty (Mammoth Lakes Housing):

- They weren't aware that this was going to the public but does appreciate the comments incorporated.
- Spoke about the June 30th deadline and how they'd be out of compliance if it's not met.
- With regards to Hispanic community

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED 12:08 p.m.:

10. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD *No one spoke.*

11. CLOSED SESSION

There was no closed session held today.

A. Closed Session--Human Resources

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS. Government Code Section 54957.6. Agency designated representative(s): Marshall Rudolph, John Vallejo, Leslie Chapman, Bill Van Lente and Jim Leddy. Employee Organization(s): Mono County Sheriff's Officers Association (aka Deputy Sheriff's Association), Local 39--majority representative of Mono County Public Employees (MCPE) and Deputy Probation Officers Unit (DPOU), Mono County Paramedic Rescue Association (PARA), Mono County Public Safety Officers Association (PSO), and Mono County Sheriff Department's Management Association (SO Mgmt). Unrepresented employees: All.

B. Closed Session - Conference With Legal Counsel

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Luman v. Mono County Personnel Appeals Board.

REGULAR AFTERNOON SESSION COMMENCES AT 1:00 P.M.

- 12. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD *No one spoke.*
- 13. REGULAR AGENDA AFTERNOON
- A. Public Hearing: 2014-15 Fee Workshop

Departments: Finance

(Leslie Chapman, Roberta Reed) - Public hearing and workshop regarding the adoption of fees for fiscal year 2014-15 for both general government and enterprise operations.

Action: No action taken. Workshop continued to Thursday, June 19, 2014 at 11:00 a.m. in the Bridgeport Board Chambers.

PUBLIC HEARING OPEN: 1:13 P.M.

Roberta Reed:

- Introduced item; fees presented today are ones changed over last year (and from previously approved fees).
- A list has been compiled over the past year.
- We have General Fund Fees and Enterprise fees that are being looked at.
- Changes made: Community Development, Economic Tourism, EMS, Finance, Clerk-Recorder, Public Health.
- Fee sheet just behind the resolution are the ones changing today.
- Explained how average rates are calculated.
- Admits she sees an error on the Building Inspector Hourly fees.

Scott Burns:

- Regarding proposed hourly fee for Building Inspector; more for code compliance purposes.
- They are just trying to be consistent within the department; bumped up just for calculation purposes.
- The Building Inspector Fee is calculated much differently than other Community Development staff; Nick Criss' hourly rate needs to be set.
- Fee schedule is important to help decide when/how to charge hourly fees.

Supervisor Fesko:

• There's a discrepancy between calculations; hard to have a workshop if he isn't sure the fee calculations are correct.

Supervisor Johnston:

- There's a history of what's been charged.
- The Building Inspector fee is hardly ever used; might be arguing a small amount of money senselessly.
- There's a clean up going on in addition to an adjustment of fees.

Supervisor Stump:

• There's no set guideline on when to apply the hourly rates?

Supervisor Hunt:

• In his entire tenure, the setting of these fees has been complicated.

Tom Perry:

- Gave some explanation regarding proposed Building Inspector fee.
- Explained that the Building Inspector fee is hardly used.
- If this fee wasn't charged it really wouldn't affect the Department much.

Rob Deforrest:

• Answered various questions.

Louis Molina:

• Answered questions.

Marshall Rudolph:

- Spoke about doctrines, etc associated with fee adjustments.
- If you waive or reduce the fee, the county is picking up that fee. You can't spread that between other fees.

Fees that were discussed:

- Community Development: Building Inspector Hourly fee (long discussion ensued).
- Clerk-Recorder (no discussion)
- EMS: discussion about mileage rate.
- EDD/Tourism
- Public Health
- Finance: PACE fee, tax roll parcel correction

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 10, 2014 Page 13 of 13

- Public Works
- Solid Waste Enterprise

Supervisor Fesko:

• 2:00 p.m.: He has to excuse himself: he can't agree with all of these fees. Maybe can readdress next Thursday?

Supervisor Johnston:

- Recommended we go ahead and put off making any decisions until next Thursday when Supervisor Fesko is here.
- Continued Public Hearing to June 19th at 11:00 a.m. Clerk to add to agenda.

ADJOURN 2:16 p.m.

ATTEST:

LARRY K. JOHNSTON CHAIRMAN

SHANNON KENDALL



OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Clerk of the Board

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT Board Minutes

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Approve Minutes of the Special Meeting held on June 16, 0214.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

06-16-14 Draft

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/24/2014 7:35 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:22 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 5:15 PM	Finance	Yes



DRAFT MINUTES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF MONO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Regular Meetings: The First, Second, and Third Tuesday of each month. Location of meeting is specified just below.

MEETING LOCATION Board Chambers, 2nd Fl., County Courthouse, 278 Main St., Bridgeport, CA 93517

Special Meeting June 16, 2014

Flash Drive	#1014
Minute Orders	M14-100 NOT USED
Resolutions	R14-37 NOT USED
Ordinance	Ord14-03 NOT USED

9:00 AM Meeting Called to Order by Chairman Johnston.

Supervisors Present: Alpers, Fesko, Hunt, Johnston and Stump. Supervisors Absent: None.

Break: 10:15 a.m. Reconvene: 10:26 a.m. Lunch: 12:15 p.m. Reconvene: 1:00 p.m. Break: 3:04 p.m. Reconvene: 3:14 p.m. Adjourn: 3:52 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance led by Supervisor Fesko.

- 1. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD No one spoke.
- 2. REGULAR AGENDA MORNING
- A. <u>Budget Workshop</u>

Departments: CAO/Finance

Note

(Jim Leddy, Leslie Chapman) - Presentation by Jim Leddy with subsequent discussion regarding budget status to date, along with input from departments and opportunity for the Board to ask questions, consider alternatives and provide input for development of the 2014-15 final budget. Budget workshop documents can be accessed online: <u>http://monocounty.ca.gov/auditor/page/auditor-</u>controller-budgets

Action: None.

Jim Leddy:

• Kicked off budget workshop. Explained the process for these workshops.

POWER POINT:

- Budget Introduction.
- Board Member Opening Comments:
 - Supervisor Hunt: thanked staff for the work put into the budget; the transparency being shown is a positive step.
 - Supervisor Johnston: agreed with Supervisor Hunt, appreciates all the work that has been done.
- Economic Overview.
- Property Tax Trends 2001 2014.
- Mono County Property Tax Dollar.
- Mono County FY 2014-2015 Recommended Budget: \$65.99 million.
- Total Budget Sources/Uses.
- Total Budget Trends.
- General Fund Sources/Uses.
- General Fund Uses.
- General Fund Trends.
- County Staffing Trends.
- County Reserves.
- County Liabilities Unmet Needs.
- Cost Containment Strategies.
- FY 2014-2015 Recommended Budget Proposals:
 - A balanced budget.
 - Rebuilding Reserves \$65,000 added.
 - Funding Clean Air Vehicle mandates for first year \$300,000.
 - Funding landfill services including closure cost by current solid waste system needs.
 - Maintaining public safety service levels.
 - Maintaining County's local roads funding commitment at \$500,000.
 - Providing health and safety services for all communities of Mono County.
 - o Increasing transparent budget process and aligning Fiscal Year moving forward.
 - Fully Funding the Senior Meal program.
- Recommended Budget does not:
 - Replenish reserves quickly.
 - Provide any resources for employee compensation increases.
 - Dedicate needed resources for larger technology, road or other infrastructure investments.
 - Provide resources for long term facility re-configuration or upgrade.
- Strategic Planning Underway.
- Budget Development.
- Next Steps.
- Thanked Leslie Chapman and her staff for the amount of hours and work that has gone

Note

into this budget preparation; thanked other staff for all involvement as well. Other comments:

- Looking at the long term now becomes very important.
- The reserves are the savings account for crisis.
- Looking at August sometime for final adoption but definitely before October 1st as required by State Law.

Board Comments:

Supervisor Hunt:

• Our reserves are flat; do we have a plan in place for catastrophic events? **Supervisor Johnston:**

• When will the final adoption of the budget be?

Marshall Rudolph:

• Asked to be excused to do other work unless he's legally required.

Department Heads

The following Department Heads came before the board and summarized and answered questions about their budgets. Board members provided direction as necessary:

- **Ralph Obenberger Sheriff** (Boating, Court Security, Emergency Services, Jail, Search and Rescue).
 - Two items that has been reduced by Finance/CAO: overtime by 42% (gave explanation of what types of things/activities overtime is used for and how services will be affected by lack of overtime funds). Explained that MONET guy and CALMMET is going away – the revenue monies from CALMMET are going away too.
 - Other item being reduced is Armory budget reduced to 25% of what was requested. Explained how much it costs to keep everyone trained a year. He needs a certain amount of money to safely allow guys to carry certain weapons.
 - Leslie Chapman: explained payroll simulation, mentioned that Kim Bunn (finance officer) did all work on this; without budgeting for layoffs they had to cut elsewhere; the sheriff's vehicle budget comes out of public works. We can't use motor pool monies for other general fund expenditures. In order to balance this budget, every vacant discussion was swept but that doesn't mean that's what the county as a whole wants to do.
 - Board: General questions about Sheriff's budget, numbers. Discussion about not buying new vehicles this year to save money. Discussion about possibly increasing the number of miles put onto Sheriff's vehicles. Why not bring the officer who's on light duty back into dispatch? Budget is looked at quarterly; adjustments *can* be made as the fiscal year unfolds.
 - Jail budget discussion: continued decrease in jail staff could be a liability and is unsafe; there should always be at least three working graveyard shifts. Needs to hire one position as requested.
- Tim Kendall District Attorney (Drug Task Force, Victim/Witness).
 - Indicated that he understood the financial crisis our county is in; they will continue to provide the best service possible regardless of what the board decides. Gave some recent statistics for 2013 regarding number of cases. His office will do whatever they can to keep MONET program as a revenue source.
 - Board: why is Mammoth PD not participating in MONET? Cut in manpower? Asked about number of cases being filed by Sheriff, DA and PD. Asked about interpersonal relationships between all law enforcement type departments within the county. Asked about salary line items as listed.
 - Leslie Chapman explained the difference in salaries, etc that was based on an expected buy-out that is not going to happen.
- Karin Humiston Probation (Adult Probation, Juvenile).

Note

- Gave recap of recent activities within department; she's happy with budget if it is status quo; they plan to assist DA with some technology needs; she doesn't see where else she can cut *her* budget; does agree that county vehicles should be driven much longer than they are. She offered up her county vehicle, which she doesn't use very often.
- Board: is she happy with her budget? Is there any other savings she can think of? Can she do with less county vehicles?
- Bob Musil Assessor
 - Explained that budget is status quo over last year except there is an increase in salary on paper, difference due to part of last year having positions not filled. In actuality, only one proposed increase in salary for a person that has been working outside class. Also consulting services that will be required for large appeals coming up. Explained the ways his office has been working to save money.
 - Board: asked incoming Assessor questions about taking consulting budget to zero. Does the one person that works in South County have to come here? Asked about the north/south logistics.
 - Leslie Chapman: They have assumed that they will not be backfilling Barry's position.
 - Marshall Rudolph: explained how At-Will Assistants are hired currently. Current contracts can be amended by mutual consent as well.
 - Angelle Nolan Animal Control (South County Animal Control).
 - Gave background information; trying their best to provide the best services with only 4 people now in the department. Only vets can install chipping device into pets, probably not much of a revenue source. They have four vehicles currently; may be able to get rid of one.
 - Board: asked questions about involvement with the Town; asked about chipping dogs at vaccine clinics, possible revenue source? Asked about Nancy Boardman's vehicle, did it retire with her?
- Marshall Rudolph County Counsel
 - Gave overview of budget; good news is it's a bit lower than last year providing a slight savings; gave explanations of these. Explained what contract services are used for.
 - Board: asked about use of contract services?
- Lynda Roberts Clerk/Recorder
 - Gave overview of budgets going over some highlights; she has cut expenditure lines out of both budgets. Discussion about revenues, how they are generated, how she tries to predict what those revenues will be each year. She went over where cuts could occur but cautioned against cutting travel/training or membership fees. Having a new Secretary of State on board could change the equipment need, remains to be seen.
 - Board: does the projected budget fully staff Lynda's position? All positions? Asked about election equipment? Asked questions about rents/leases, motor pool, live streaming technology?
 - Leddy: budget reflects fully staffed office. The live streaming issue is a policy item for Thursday morning.
- 3. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD *No one spoke.*
- 4. CLOSED SESSION- NONE

REGULAR AFTERNOON SESSION COMMENCES AT 1:00 P.M.

5. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD No one spoke.

6. REGULAR AGENDA - AFTERNOON

A. Budget Workshop - Continuation

Departments: CAO/Finance

(Jim Leddy, Leslie Chapman) - Presentation by Jim Leddy with subsequent discussion regarding budget status to date, along with input from departments and opportunity for the Board to ask questions, consider alternatives and provide input for development of the 2014-15 final budget. Budget workshop documents can be accessed online: <u>http://monocounty.ca.gov/auditor/page/auditor-</u>controller-budgets

Action: None.

Department Heads

The following Department Heads came before the board and summarized and answered questions about their budgets. Board members provided direction as necessary.

- **Roberta Reed Finance** (Finance, Copier Pool, General Revenues, Other Misc. Budgets, Insurance)
 - Gave overview of budgets and current savings; positions, etc.
 - Board: Going to be able to accommodate PACE program with current staff? Asked about current supervisory responsibilities of Finance? Asked about copy machines – do we own them? Discussion on the type of fonts that are used, we should use the eco-fonts. Various insurance questions.
 - Leslie Chapman gave a lot of kudos to Gerald Frank regarding the copier pool, she can guarantee that we're getting the best rates. Mentioned the CSA#1 budget request which is in tomorrow's correspondence. Gave Board all CSA cash balances. Law Library discussion.
 - Tim Kendall, D.A. his office provides primary assistance to Grand Jury and have had to use portions of their own budget to accommodate them.
 - Jim Leddy they came to the board with a report last fall.
 - Marshall Rudolph pitched in with additional information about the law library.
- Jim Leddy CAO (CAO)
 - Gave overview of his budget, went over reductions.
- Jeff Walters Public Works
 - He gave brief overview of budget; each manager will give information about their own budgets.
- Garrett Higerd Public Works (General, Airports)
 - Overview of budget; discussion on costs of upcoming projects. Personnel costs increased significantly but were able to cut \$30,000 out of other parts of the budget.
 - Board: said that the county is looking very good. Good job on roads/projects.
 Asked about airport budget and grant monies, whether or not the county should be in the airport business? Garrett to look into privatizing fuel.
- Joe Blanchard Public Works (Campgrounds, Capital Improvement).
 - Overview of budget, projects, fees, CI discussion.
 - Board: Fee discussion, asked about competing with private industry? Commentary on ADA compliance, thanked him for all work done.

Note

SPECIAL DRAFT MINUTES June 16, 2014 Page 6 of 6

- Vianey White Public Works (Cemeteries).
 - Discussion about projects, fees, etc.
 - Board: asked about ongoing projects; fees
- Garrett Higerd Public Works (County-Wide Service Area).
 - Discussion about engineering studies, maintenance schedules.
 - Board: asked about charges for staff time.
- Joe Blanchard Public Works (Facilities).
- Overview of budget.
- Jeff Walters Public Works (Motor Pool)
 - This budget takes into account changes in personnel percentages spent on motor pool; discussion about ways to save costs, miscellaneous things will be discussed in the policy discussion. Spoke about vehicles budgeted for in this budget; fuel reduction possibilities,
 - Board: Asked about Ford Diesel pickups, has not heard good things; when to discuss the increase in mileage in Sheriff's Department vehicles? Need to decrease the overall number of pool vehicles. How about a gradual elimination program? How to get data on usage of pool cars. Why are employees driving cars home when they live out of county?
 - Jim Leddy there are ways to measure the success of having pool cars, to eventually optimize the size of the fleet. Items in Motor Pool will be discussed Thursday during policy items.
- Garrett Higerd Public Works (Roads-State & Federal Construction).
 - Discussion about projects and potential changes associated with this budget.
 Board: likes this broken out; thinks it's a good idea.
- Jeff Walters Public Works (Road).
 - There were changes to this budget; reduced staffing; discussed reallocation amounts. Discussion about cross-training.
 - Board: asked about how cross-training, reduction in staff being in the right place at the right time for plowing, etc.
- **Tony Dublino Public Works** (Solid Waste, Conway Ranch).
 - Discussion about budget; decrease in revenue, structural deficit in last year's budget reduced more; continuing reduction of staff that's being maintained; his position has been further reduced in hours. Explained loans associated with his program. Conway Ranch budget very small and simple; leaving out Caltrans monies – one-time expense, not really part of budget discussion. Discussion about his percentage of time proposed to be paid from Conway Ranch fund.
 - Board: The budget is currently showing a deficit? Asked about loan principal repayment. Asked about operator's salary amounts. Asked about potential retirements? Asked about the legal counsel involved in this. (Supervisor Alpers recused himself from the room due to potential conflicts.)
 - Leslie Chapman Legal counsel portion being absorbed by County Counsel.

ADJOURN 3:52 p.m.

ATTEST:

LARRY K. JOHNSTON CHAIRMAN

SHANNON KENDALL

Note



OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Clerk of the Board

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT Board Minutes

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Approve Minutes of the Regular Meeting held on June 17, 2014.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

06-17-14 Draft

History			
Time	Who	Approval	
6/25/2014 12:40 PM	County Administrative Office	Yes	
6/24/2014 11:25 AM	County Counsel	Yes	
6/24/2014 11:23 AM	Finance	Yes	



DRAFT MEETING MINUTES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF MONO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Regular Meetings: The First, Second, and Third Tuesday of each month. Location of meeting is specified just below.

MEETING LOCATION Mammoth Lakes BOS Meeting Room, 3rd Fl. Sierra Center Mall, Suite 307, 452 Old Mammoth Rd., Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

> Regular Meeting June 17, 2014

Flash Drive	Portable Recorder
Minute Orders	M14-103 to M14-111
Resolutions	R14-37 to R14-41
Ordinance	Ord14-03 NOT USED

9:00 AM Meeting Called to Order by Chairman Johnston.

Supervisors Present: Alpers, Fesko, Hunt, Johnston and Stump. Supervisors Absent: None.

Break: 10:25 a.m. Reconvene: 10:35 a.m. Lunch/Closed Session: 12:00 p.m. Reconvene: 1:35 p.m. Closed Session: 2:37 p.m. Reconvene: 2:51 p.m. Adjourn: 2:51 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance led by Supervisor Hunt.

1. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD Christy Williams (Little League):

- Left a card to thank the Board for their donation to their program. Gave a brief update regarding status of program.
- 2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
- A. Board Minutes

Departments: Clerk of the Board

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 17, 2014 Page 2 of 12

> Action: Approve Minutes of the Regular Meeting held on June 3, 2014. Hunt moved; Fesko seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>M14-103</u>

3. PRESENTATIONS - NONE

4. BOARD MEMBER REPORTS Supervisor Alpers:

- Caltrans came to RPAC meeting last week. Spoke of upcoming project concerning rocks in the road and how traffic will be affected, etc. Will be a detailed project; Caltrans will most likely make a presentation to the board ahead of time.
- Meeting with Kathleen Morris from Park Service discussion about Merced/Tuolumne River plans; gave update on this. There will be more organization on trailheads and parking.

Supervisor Fesko:

- All last week taken up with 7th Annual ATV Jamboree in Antelope Valley; over 200 riders. It was a huge success; many expressed interest to return next year.
- Going to San Diego this weekend friends are getting married, he will be officiating. **Supervisor Hunt:**
 - Attended Sierra Nevada Conservancy meeting; this organization is alive and well.

Supervisor Johnston:

- Attended both picnics; great attendance; gave out many pins of recognition.
- Meeting regarding potential plastic bag ban, to be brought back later.

Supervisor Stump:

- Last Wednesday attended Tri-Valley Water Commission; Stacey Simon came and did an excellent presentation on water law.
- Thursday, attended meeting with Crowley Library Committee, CSA #1 and staff regarding future plans for site. Vianey White and Courtney Weiche attended, kept everyone on track; follow up meeting with Office of Education next week.
- Shout out to Crowley Road Yard a "slow" sign was put up on a particular road in a hurry due to citizen's request which they found in their inventory.
- Attended both county picnics; enjoys being in that venue.
- Approached by Mono County citizen doesn't have a business license. If there was a way to do this without penalties, he might do it.

5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE – NONE Jim Leddy:

- Attended ATV dinner; great event.
- Two employee picnics, pictures to be posted.
- Strategic Planning on Thursday.

6. DEPARTMENT/COMMISSION REPORTS Stacey Simon:

- Phase II of Mountain Gate in Walker Canyon. Vianey White is pushing for Phase II; part of plans for this phase is some proposed vegetation. Potential source of water from river to irrigate new plantings pursuant to County's riparian water rights, still looking at whether Wild and Scenic River status is an issue.
- Has been over ten days for the LUNA Geothermal appeal to be filed; looks like it will not be.

Note

Tony Dublino:

 Special meeting on June 27th – Lee Vining Community Center booked for another event. Not sure of location yet. If held at the Lee Vining Community center, we may need to adjust time from 5:00 – 7:00 p.m.

7. CONSENT AGENDA

(All matters on the consent agenda are to be approved on one motion unless a board member requests separate action on a specific item.)

A. Access Agreement for Asphalt Recycling at Pumice Valley Landfill

Proposed agreement with W. Jaxon Baker providing short-term access to Pumice Valley Landfill for the purposes of an asphalt recycling and diversion program.

Action: Approve County entry into proposed agreement and authorize Chairman to execute said contract on behalf of the County. Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 4 yes; 0 no; 1 abstain: Johnston M14-104

B. Agreement with Mammoth Community Water District for Sludge Disposal at Benton Crossing Landfill

Proposed 2-year extension of the agreement with Mammoth Community Water District pertaining to sludge disposal at Benton Crossing Landfill.

Action: Approve County entry into proposed 2-year extension of existing agreement and authorize Chair to execute said contract on behalf of the County.

Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>M14-105</u>

C. Mono City Emergency Access Road

Adopt environmental document and authorize right-of way grant with BLM for Mono City Emergency Access Road.

Action: 1. Adopt the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND), consisting of the BLM's Mono City Ingress/Egress Road Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), and supplemental Mitigation Monitoring Plan (MMP) prepared by Mono County, finding that on the basis of the whole record that there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment; that the MND reflects Mono County's independent judgment and analysis; and that the record will reside with the Mono County Clerk. 2. Direct staff to agendize for Board action, the thirty (30) year renewable right-of-way grant from BLM for the Mono County Secondary Ingress/Egress Gravel Road, consistent with the project MND and Mitigation Monitoring Plan. 3. Commend the BLM, particularly Steve Nelson and Larry Primosch, for their significant efforts accommodating this

Note

important safety project for Mono City, and thank the Mono City Fire Protection District (FPD) for its persistence in advocating for and funding the project. **Alpers moved; Fesko seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no**

M14-106

Pulled from agenda for discussion. **Scott Burns:**

- Focus today is to adopt the CEQA; they've worked through the issues. Just wanted to make sure the recommended action is amended to accurately reflect what they are requesting.
- D. Rock Creek Road Rehabilitation Project and Southern California Edison (SCE)

SCE recently proposed a project to install 8.5 miles of new underground electrical lines in Rock Creek Road by trenching. SCE's project could negatively impact Rock Creek Road if SCE does not take action immediately to fast-track their project so that the lines may be installed during the Rock Creek Road Rehabilitation project now underway.

Action: Authorize the Chair's signature on a letter to SCE expressing concerns regarding their proposed project to install 8.5 miles of new underground electrical lines in Rock Creek Road.

Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>M14-107</u>

E. Letter in support of Paradise Estates' Effort to Resolve Phone Service Issues

The community of Paradise Estates has been experiencing issues with basic Verizon land-line telephone service for over a decade now. As a result of a long distance 'backhaul' and old copper phone lines residents experience static, dropped calls, and sometimes complete lack of service. Community member Liz O'Sullivan has collected 98 signatures from other residents, and the petition has been sent to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). This letter supports the effort of resolving the service issues, and encourages the CPUC to take action on this matter.

Action: Approve letter and authorize signature by Board Chair. Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 4 yes; 0 no; 1 abstain: Johnston <u>M14-108</u>

F. UC Davis Training Services Agreement

Proposed contract with University of California, Davis pertaining to Eligibility and Child Welfare training services for FY 2014-15. These training services are arranged and approved by the State Department of Social Services for County Social Services Agencies that are too small to have staff development departments.

Action: Approve UC Davis Training Agreements EW#-2014-21 and CW#-2014-21 and authorize the Director of Social Services to execute said Agreements. Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>M14-109</u>

G. Unanticipated Gas Tax Revenue

The Agricultural Commissioner's Department has received unanticipated gas tax revenue in the approximate amount of \$27,103.02, on which \$11,701 has already been requested to be reserved for the construction of the building. This is a request that the additional funds of \$15,402.02 be set aside for future construction of the building to house his Department.

Action: Reserve the remainder of the unanticipated gas tax revenue in the amount of \$15,402.02 for the Agricultural Commissioner's future use in constructing a building for his department.

Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>M14-110</u>

H. Employment Agreement of Vianey White as Public Works Project Manager

Proposed resolution approving an employment agreement with Vianey White as Public Works Project Manager, and prescribing the compensation, appointment and conditions of said employment.

Action: Approve Resolution #R14-37, approving an employment agreement with Vianey White, and prescribing the compensation, appointment and conditions of said employment. Authorize the Board Chair to execute said contract on behalf of the County.

Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>R13-37</u>

I. Fiscal Year 2014-15 Recommended Budget

Proposed Resolution #R14-____, a resolution of the Mono County Board of Supervisors adopting the recommended budget for Fiscal Year 2014-15.

Action: Adopt proposed resolution #R14-38, adopting the recommended budget for Fiscal Year 2014-15.

Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>R14-38</u> Supervisor hunt:

- This is only an interim budget; not the final approved budget.
- J. Public Defender Investigator Contract

Proposed First Amendment to Agreement between the County and Tyrone Atwater dba Atwater Investigations for the provision of private investigation

Note

services for the Mono County Public Defenders.

Action: Approve and authorize the Chairman's signature on the proposed First Amendment to Agreement between the County and Tyrone Atwater dba Atwater Investigations for the provision of private investigation services for the Mono County Public Defenders increasing the maximum contract limit to \$67,000. Hunt moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no <u>M14-111</u>

8. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED (INFORMATIONAL)

All items listed are located in the Office of the Clerk of the Board, and are available for review.

A. County Service Area #1 Budget Request

Departments: Clerk of the Board

Letter from Kim McCarthy, CSA #1 Board President, dated June 3, 2014, requesting to budget \$12,000.00 for the upcoming fiscal year and all years to follow for use toward the Community Wellness/Recreation Classes.

B. LTC Letter to SCE Regarding Rock Creek Road Rehab Project

Departments: Clerk of the Board

Letter from the Local Transportation Commission dated June 9, 2014 to Southern California Edison regarding the status of Rock Creek Road Rehabilitation Project within Mono and Inyo Counties.

The Board acknowledged receipt of the correspondence.

- 9. REGULAR AGENDA MORNING
- A. Budget Workshop Continued

Departments: CAO/Finance

9:30 a.m., 3 hours

(Jim Leddy, Leslie Chapman) - Presentation by Jim Leddy with subsequent discussion regarding budget status to date, along with input from departments and opportunity for the Board to ask questions, consider alternatives and provide input for development of the 2014-15 final budget. Budget workshop documents can be accessed online: http://monocounty.ca.gov/auditor/page/auditor-controller-budgets.

Action: None.

Jim Leddy:

• Gave opening remarks; explained schedule for today; continuing on with budget workshop.

Note

Department Heads

The following Department Heads came before the board and summarized and answered questions about their budgets. Board members provided direction as necessary:

- Tom Perry Community Development (Building Inspector):
 - Gave overview of his budget. Gave some explanation as to why Jim takes his vehicle to Inyo every night both he and Jim are certified to be emergency responders, they need vehicle to be able to respond quickly; also they get a lot of requests. He understands Board's concern. These type of requests happen 5+ days a week. Maybe he could park at Paradise transfer or Crowley county stations.
 - Board: why does Jim takes a vehicle to Inyo County every night? Asked for justification. How many times does this happen? Is this how you want to spend your budget? Discussion about vehicles needing to be replaced; some have high mileage.
- Scott Burns Community Development (Code Enforcement):
 - Gave brief overview.
 - Nick Criss doesn't necessarily *need* his jeep; he could use something else.
 - Board: do you need your vehicle? Board thanked him for his code enforcement work.
- Scott Burns Community Development (Geothermal):
 - In relation to Code Enforcement, now have a geothermal budget. Used to be part of Economic Development Budget.
 - Megan Mahaffey: Explained how they need to work with County Counsel on a more formal agreement and would appreciate the board's support.
 - Board: what monitoring does BLM do now, if anything? What does it take to monitor? Asked about amount of money in budget, what's left to expend? They'd like to see some reports/summaries, something to account for all the money expended.
 - Leslie Chapman: explained the way the budget reads.
 - Jeff Simpson: explained his understanding of the billing.
 - Scott Burns Community Development (Housing Development):
 - Most of budget is CDBG grants; pretty much status quo budget; falls under Housing Authority.
 - Board: Asked general budgetary questions. Is this a revolving program?
 - Leslie Chapman: It is a revolving program.
- Scott Burns Community Development (LAFCO):
 - Explained budget; is less than last year. Discussed main focuses. Trying to get away from paper. May have an annexation.
 - Board: There is now a north county representative? Expenditures vs. revenues?
- Scott Burns Community Development (Planning Commission):
 - Budget is status quo.
 - Board: Are there positions up for reappointments? We need to keep good people in there.
- Scott Burns Community Development (Planning & Transportation):
 - They've lost one planner; Wendy Sugimura has been successful in securing a grant regarding Sage Grouse which will bring money into the program, not the usual way this part of the department runs. They've been using the services of Dr. Paulus. Focus Sage Grouse and General Plan Update in coordination with committees, etc. Spoke about bumping office assistant into permit tech position which would relieve Brent and Wendy from some of that work.
 - Board: asked about salary savings with not filling planning position and moving office assistant to permit tech position? General questions about contract

Note
services, not happy that we pay individuals outside our county for services, we should get someone local. Pool car discussion. Thanked Scott and Department for all work done on Sage Grouse issue. Asked about trails.

- Alicia Vennos Economic Development (Fish Enhancement, Fish & Game, Tourism):
 - All budgets consistent with CAO/Finance recommended budgets. Gave some brief highlights.
 - Board: asked about policy items. Who tracks various budgets? She's reached out a lot to others which is why there aren't a lot of questions.
- **Nate Greenberg Information Technology** (Information Services, Technology Refresh Program):
 - Gave brief overview of budgets; thanked both Leslie and her team and Jami on his team with budget process; has tried to streamline; reduced overall budget;
 Board: pool car discussion.
- Lynda Salcido Public Health (Public Health/Health Education, Bioterrorism):
 - Explained her budgets; pretty status quo this year. Discussed policy item requests which concern the WICK program and its personnel.
 - Board: asked about pool cars. Asked about food permits for the cottage food program? Food carts? Asked about comparison reports. Asked about Foster Care line item. How's the roll out of the Affordable Care Act going? Asked why certain items are policy items.
 - Leslie Chapman: explained why policy items in this Department are policy items.
- Robert DeForrest Public Health (Paramedic Program):
 - Lays out with CAO/Finance recommendations. Discussion about needing reserve EMTs, at least six. Thanked Leslie, Roberta and Megan.
 - Board: asked about policy item request. Overtime discussion. Subsidy discussion, there are very big cuts this year. Asked about pool cars.
 - Leslie Chapman: There is a government code on how you surplus county equipment.
- Kathy Peterson Social Services (Aid Department, General Relief, Senior Programs, Workforce Investment Act (ETR), WRAP Foster Care, CCTF-Children's Trust Fund):
 - Power point presentation Mission, the Department, Main Program Divisions, Funding Sources, Goal Highlights FY 2014-2015, Organizational Chart, Questions.
 - Mentioned they'd be able to reimburse Sheriff's Department for the person that's been working in their office. Gave overview of how their pool cars are used.
 - Board: Asked about Trailers being used, how they're tracked for reimbursable purposes; asked about our relationship with Red Cross; does she feel she has the staff beneath her to maintain control of supervision; asked about policy items. Pool car discussion. Thanked her and her staff.
- Robin Roberts Behavioral Health (Alcohol & Drug Program, Mental Health Services Act Funds):
 - Thanked Leslie and her staff, they have been remarkable. Thanked her own staff as well. Her department uses the least amount of general fund monies; mostly state and federally funded plus revenue; now eligible to collect on Medicare; restructuring case management, hoping to decrease mileage on the road; policy items included in her budget. Encouraged the Board members to attend a staff meeting to see what her staff does, it would be a way to let staff know they're appreciated.
 - Board: asked about number of drunk driving arrests by MLPD why so much lower? Asked if Town Council has been contacted about this issue? Voiced how lucky we are to have Robin and her staff on board, her Department is becoming more important from a public standpoint. Do we need some sort of a summit to put some of these issues into the forefront?

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 17, 2014 Page 9 of 12

- 10. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD *No one spoke.*
- 11. CLOSED SESSION There was nothing to report out of closed session.
- A. Closed Session Conference with Legal Counsel

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Inland Aquaculture Group, LLC. v. Mono County et al.

B. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Luman v. Mono County Personnel Appeals Board.

C. Closed Session--Human Resources

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS. Government Code Section 54957.6. Agency designated representative(s): Marshall Rudolph, John Vallejo, Leslie Chapman, Bill Van Lente and Jim Leddy. Employee Organization(s): Mono County Sheriff's Officers Association (aka Deputy Sheriff's Association), Local 39--majority representative of Mono County Public Employees (MCPE) and Deputy Probation Officers Unit (DPOU), Mono County Paramedic Rescue Association (PARA), Mono County Public Safety Officers Association (PSO), and Mono County Sheriff Department's Management Association (SO Mgmt). Unrepresented employees: All.

REGULAR AFTERNOON SESSION COMMENCES AT 1:30 P.M.

- 12. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD Allen Berrey, Attorney
 - Represents Boulaalam who filed a claim. Explained history.
 - Here to update Board on Claim that they rejected.
 - After evidentiary hearing, the court ruled that the officers lacked probable cause; motion to suppress evidence was granted; DA then requested that criminal matter be dismissed.
 - By resounding majority, the voters elected a new Sheriff.
 - Mr. Boulaalam still remains damaged; he will be delivering a settlement proposal; asked Board to seriously consider this, hoping to avoid lengthy litigation.
 - It's clear that his client's rights were violated.

13. REGULAR AGENDA - AFTERNOON

A. Public Hearing: Sierra Business Park Specific Plan Amendment 14-001
Public Hearing: 1:30 p.m. (10 minutes presentation; 10 minutes discussion)
(Courtney Weiche. Nick Criss) - Public hearing regarding Sierra Business Park

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 17, 2014 Page 10 of 12

Specific Plan Amendment 14-001, which would: 1) make minor technical changes, 2) require any proposed use to be reviewed by the Land Technical Advisory Committee, 3) clarify requirements for on-site storm water retention and oil/water separator, 4) consolidate references to fencing and screening requirements into one section and clarify appropriate construction, design and applicability, 5) require Verticrete (or similar material) to screen any use along property frontage, 6) require areas devoted for vehicular access and storage to be paved to facilitate on-site retention system, and 7) require snow storage to equal 25% of the area from which the snow is to be removed. In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act, an addendum to the existing Specific Plan EIR is being utilized.

Action: The Planning Commission recommends approval of Resolution R14 -Sierra Business Park Specific Plan Amendment 14-001, adopting the Amendment and approving and adopting the Addendum to the Sierra Business Park EIR.

Fesko moved; Alpers seconded Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

vote: 5 yes; 0 n

<u>R14-39</u>

Public Hearing opened: 1:41 p.m.

- Nick Criss:
 - Introduced item.
 - This amendment initiated in consultation with Sierra Business Park establishment.
 - Went over all proposed amendments.

Power Point:

- Comments Received.
- Planning Commission.
- Environmental Review.

Recommendation.

Additional comments:

- Staff concurs with Planning Commission requirements.
- Verticrete will now be required on all lots.

Supervisor Stump:

- Asked questions about paving requirements?
- The access gates are an interior function, not exterior. He's fine with requirements.
- We cannot let the perfect be the enemy of the good; in this case it's good.

Supervisor Hunt:

- Asked questions about zoning.
- He was the biggest thorn in Mr. Morgan's side several years back; he gives him kudos for his persistence and work on this.

Supervisor Johnston:

- What are staff recommendations?
- Questions about 8 foot entrance gate and slat requirements.
- Asked how Verticrete is doing?
- Asked about water quality.
- Problem with this park is the sewer; maybe there's a possibility to pursue a CDBG grant to get the park hooked up to the sewer in town to realize its full potential.
- Asked about exhibit reference.
- Recognized Rob Morgan for the best outcome for such a sticky situation. Thanked him for his hard work.

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 17, 2014 Page 11 of 12

Supervisor Alpers:

- Thanked staff for the well done, well-orchestrated job. Amendments like this can easily be done and be positive to the end result.
- Used to be an eyesore; now a positive to the county inventory.

Supervisor Fesko:

• He thinks this is a good looking project.

Public Comments:

Rob Morgan (Sierra Business Park Developer):

- Discussion about gates.
- Verticrete is doing good; working well.
- Just put in a new water filter system.
- Public Hearing Closed: 1:56 p.m.

B. Re-Authorization and Extension of Solid Waste Parcel Fees for FY14-15

(Tony Dublino, Solid Waste Superintendent) - Resolution Extending and Re-Establishing the Mono County Solid Waste Fee Program for Fiscal Year 2014-2015, and Resolution Authorizing the Implementation of a Solid Waste Fee Agreement with the Town of Mammoth Lakes for Fiscal Year 2014-2015.

Action: 1. Approve and authorize the Chair's signature on Resolution No. R14-40, "A Resolution of the Mono County Board of Supervisors Extending and Re-Establishing the Mono County Solid Waste Fee Program for Fiscal Year 2014-2015." 2. Approve and authorize the Chair's signature on Resolution No. R14-41, "A Resolution of the Mono County Board of Supervisors Authorizing the Implementation of a Solid Waste Fee Agreement with the Town of Mammoth Lakes for Fiscal Year 2014-2015."

Hunt moved; Alpers seconded

Vote: 4 yes; 1 no: Fesko

<u>R14-40</u>

R14-41

Tony Dublino:

- Introduced item; status quo renewal.
- Restaurants are not included in receiving a discount.
- His intent is to see these revisited every year and considered on an annual basis.
- He's been involved in county-wide audit; he'd like to alter Schedule "A".
- He'd like to see rates based on their occupancy.
- It's legally adequate the way it's been done but it can be approved.

• We need to take action before June 30th. The word "restaurants" could be added.

Supervisor Fesko:

- On page 3 of 5 of document: asked about restaurants only opened part-time; shouldn't they get a lower rate for only being opened part-time? He's not o.k. with this. Should be based on number of months opened.
- He does not feel he has a conflict any more than anyone else that owns a home.
- Looking at Schedule "A", the way things are broken down, feels there is a problem.
- He'd be willing to sit down with Tony to try to figure out a way it could be better.
- There have been people complaining in the past; it's very wide in the county.

• Staff was directed to work on refining resolutions to clarify this section for next year. **Supervisor Stump:**

- Asked about equivalent factor in relation to restaurants.
- What happens if we don't take action today?
- Can we adopt today and readdress later?

Note

DRAFT MINUTES June 17, 2014 Page 12 of 12

- Can we add some words to this section?
- Brought up Tony's workload.

Supervisor Johnston:

- Does Supervisor Fesko feel like he has a conflict?
- How could Supervisor Fesko's concerns be addressed?
- We can do some refinements, but we should approve it and then have Tony work on refinements over next six months; be prepared for next year.
- Someone with a restaurant could make an appeal.
- Could add an entirely separate sentence.

Marshall Rudolph:

- Addressed conflict of interest issue; does not think there is one.
- This can be revisited; they are done in conjunction with the Town. These are collected on the tax bill. Has to be done in conjunction with the tax bill cycle.
- You could add in "restaurants" to Section 5c1.

ADJOURN

ATTEST:

LARRY K. JOHNSTON CHAIRMAN

SHANNON KENDALL



OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Clerk of the Board

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT Board Minutes

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Approve Minutes of the Special Meeting held on June 19, 2014.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

06-19-14 Draft

History			
Time	Who	Approval	
6/25/2014 12:42 PM	County Administrative Office	Yes	
6/24/2014 11:25 AM	County Counsel	Yes	
6/24/2014 11:24 AM	Finance	Yes	



DRAFT MINUTES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF MONO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Regular Meetings: The First, Second, and Third Tuesday of each month. Location of meeting is specified just below.

MEETING LOCATION Board Chambers, 2nd Fl., County Courthouse, 278 Main St., Bridgeport, CA 93517

Special Meeting June 19, 2014

Flash Drive	#1015
Minute Orders	M14-112 NOT USED
Resolutions	R14-42 NOT USED
Ordinance	Ord14-03 NOT USED

9:02 AM Meeting Called to Order by Chairman Johnston.

Supervisors Present: Alpers, Fesko, Hunt, Johnston, and Stump. Supervisors Absent: None.

Break: 10:32 a.m. Reconvene: 10:45 a.m. Lunch: 12:15 p.m. Reconvene: 1:01 p.m. Adjourn: 2:43 p.m.

Pledge of Allegiance led by Supervisor Stump.

- 1. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD No one spoke.
- 2. REGULAR AGENDA MORNING
- A. <u>Property Tax Administration Fees Workshop and Comment Period</u> Departments: Finance

(Leslie Chapman) - Presentation by Leslie Chapman regarding Mono County Policy pertaining to Annual Reporting of Property Tax Administration Fees and

SPECIAL DRAFT MINUTES June 19, 2014 Page 2 of 6

subsequent comment period.

Action: None. Leslie Chapman: POWER POINT (TO BE POSTED TO WEB):

- Background.
- Process.
- Summary of Charges.
- Allocation Procedure
- Refunds 2013/2014.
- More Information.

Other comments:

- This is the last year to amortize our property tax software.
- They've been given handouts.

BOARD COMMENTS

Supervisor Fesko:

- General question regarding the summary of charges.
- Supervisor Johnston:
 - Asked about charges to schools.

Supervisor Stump:

• Thanked Leslie for her thoroughness in examining the numbers.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mike Curti (Antelope Valley Fire Protection District):

- Here to talk about refunds to fire districts.
- Spoke generally about these dollar amounts.

B. Budget Workshop - Continued

Departments: CAO/Finance

(Jim Leddy, Leslie Chapman) - Presentation by Jim Leddy with subsequent discussion regarding budget status to date, along with input from departments and opportunity for the Board to ask questions, consider alternatives and provide input for development of the 2014-15 final budget. Budget workshop documents can be accessed online: <u>http://monocounty.ca.gov/auditor/page/auditor-</u>controller-budgets

Action: None.

Jim Leddy:

- First up will be CIP discussion.
- Next will be General Fund and Non-General Fund Policy Item discussion. There are handouts.

CIP Discussion:

Jeff Walters:

- Asked for questions.
- Joe Blanchard:
 - Gave overview of Capital Improvement project list.
 - Discussion about Bridgeport Maintenance Yard; potential costs, etc. Won't be a capitalized project; more of a volunteer type thing?

Supervisor Stump:

- Asked about Crowley Lake mailboxes. Asked about location; cluster boxes.
- Chalfant Park just current account balance in fund? Covers already proposed projects?

Note

Supervisor Hunt:

• Why do we carry cents on these reports? Seems silly.

Supervisor Johnston:

 Landscaping of Bridgeport Maintenance Yard to hide ugly place; has suggested a work day. Envisions some landscaping that will grow up and expand. Lighting on facility if also non-compliant in his mind. Not sure where this project fits on the CIP list, more about volunteerism.

Leslie Chapman:

• Explained the "cents" issue on reports.

BOARD BUDGET DISCUSSION:

- Supervisor Johnston: Asked that they consider a 5% voluntary reduction in compensation; to show the board is not immune from budget problems. Forms need to be filed for IRS purposes. Board has salary set at time you pull papers for running for office: he feels the Chairman's salary should be the same as the rest of the board. Can we make that change; he's willing to. Should we get a new ordinance brought back to us to change this?
- Supervisor Alpers: has already reduced his; so had Stump; so has Fesko.
- Supervisor Hunt: they're wages have been frozen for four years; he'll do whatever board suggests. Spoke about giving up extra compensation as chair with more work load. Thinks that the Chair doesn't necessarily need more money, they're already expected to do things when they take office.
- Jim Leddy spoke about the process and rules about changing Board salary, what the Chair's salary is, etc.
- Marshall Rudolph: Chair salary can be changed; the "chair" isn't something you "run" for. It would be an ordinance revision.

VEHICLE DISCUSSION:

- Supervisor Johnston thinks there could be some potential savings involved. Wants to start with the take home vehicle issue of non at-will employees.
- Jim Leddy: discussion of at-will vs. non at-will; we can't just unilaterally take something from a MCPE employee. Went over list of who has take home cars.
- Tim Kendall, D.A. has had conversations with employee that has take-home car. Gave history of how that came to be. Not sure how to proceed with taking privilege away, but he's fine with that.
- Supervisor Stump: asked various questions about DA employee take-home vehicle.
- Marshall Rudolph: discussion about MCPE employees, conditions of employment, etc. The County has to go to MCPE to change this in D.A.'s office. Doesn't see why Local 39 would raise an objection if employee is agreeable.

GENERAL FUND POLICY ITEMS – FY 2014/2015

Leslie Chapman:

• Explained the handouts, how this has been organized.

Agricultural Commissioner

• Debt Service Payment on Ag Building in Inyo County: **potentially allocate excess gas** tax in whatever amount that is.

Board of Supervisors

- General Fund Contingency: **\$325,00**
- General Fund Reserve:
- CIP Fund CARB Compliance Set-Aside: **\$300,000**
- CIP Fund Park Improvement Set-Aside/Benton: **\$2,000 (\$500 to Assessor)**
- CIP Fund Park Improvement Set-Aside/Chalfant: \$2,000 (\$500 to Assessor)
- Property Tax Admin Fee Refund: **\$20,000 (\$5,000 to Assessor)**
- Contributions to non-profit organizations: \$40,000
- First Responder Aid: **\$150,000**

Note

SPECIAL DRAFT MINUTES June 19, 2014 Page 4 of 6

Behavioral Health

• Behavioral Health: \$7,149

Assessor

• Discussion by Bob Musil about upcoming potential appeals, etc.

• Promote Appraiser's Aide to Administrative Services Specialist: **\$6,145** Community Development Department

- Temporary Intern: \$11,238
- Increase Permit Tech Hours: \$5,445

District Attorney

• Half-Time FTS Position: **\$0**

Economic Development

- EDD Assistant (9 months): **\$60,989**
- Fish Enhance Program Fund 102 (Supervisor Alpers not voting due to conflict): \$138,541

Economic Development - Tourism

- Film Commission Marketing Support: 5,000
- California State Fair Exhibit: \$10,000
- Film Commission Marketing Support & Local Program funding: \$20,000
- Trial Maintenance Program (Laura Beardsley spoke): \$8,840
- Air Service Subsidy (John Urdi spoke; Supervisor Stump NOT in favor of it; Supervisor Fesko is NOT in favor of it): **\$50,000**

Emergency Medical Services (Paramedics)

Replace two Cardiac Monitors: \$76,000

Information Technology:

- Government Transparency Suite Software: \$0
- Financial System Upgrade plus Additional Modules: **\$0**

Public Works

- Cemetery Fund 610: **\$2,000**
- Road Fund Fund 700 General: \$500,000
- Conway Ranch Subsidy: **\$16,355**

Social Services

- Fund 103: **\$355,000**
- Fund 103 Senior Program: \$146,579
- Fund 103 General Relief: **\$19,256**

Sheriff

• Backfill Deputy after filling Court Bailiff with Existing Deputy: \$85,000

ADDITIONAL INDIVIDUAL BUDGET DISCUSSION:

Sheriff's Department:

Jailer Position:

• Board wants to fill the position at \$85,000.

Ammunition:

- Undersheriff Weber explained the need for ammunition, justifying the amount needed.
- Board: recommended amount is \$25,000 by CAO? Asked about weapons and ammo needed (or not) for unfilled positions. No guarantee that these budget numbers are firm. Looking to give them \$25,000 now; he can always come back. They won't leave Sheriff without ammo. Asked him to be as proficient as possible in his qualifications. Asked for some small county comparisons.
- Jim Leddy: given relative gun use in Mono County he didn't think spending this much money on ammunition was justified.

Deputy Sheriff Backfill:

- Explained the need to fill behind a Deputy that is retiring. Otherwise they will be down three spots.
- Kim Bunn answered payroll questions,

Note

- Board: Asked questions about payroll amounts, discussion about buy-out money. Requested this be brought back in September. Consensus: not in favor of filling it now; to be brought back.
- Leslie Chapman: explained how payroll is accrued. Mentioned they are anticipating some buy-outs.

Overtime Issue:

- They've always been frugal with overtime. It can become a safety issue. Discussion about "reserves", they are really considered permanent.
- Board: Asked why CAO came up with \$150,000 in O/T? Board ok with supporting CAO recommendation.
- Jim Leddy: explained his reasons for the O/T amount.

Purchase of New Vehicles:

- Board: there are five scheduled this year; asked about having vehicles in reserves? Questioned the vehicle list that Public Works keeps. Need to re-do list and focus on patrol vehicles. Time to do things differently; utilizing fleet appropriately. Thinks the number of vehicles the Sheriff's Dept. has is overboard; thinks they need to trim.
- Weber: He has not seen the vehicle list. Asked him to come back after taking an accurate inventory.
- Leslie Chapman: Less vehicles would reduce motor pool charges.
- Jerry VandeBrake hasn't seen the list the Supervisors are looking; the number of vehicles should be close. Mentioned that the five vehicles they want to purchase are downsized from the Excursions.

District Attorney:

- Board: There is approximately \$150,000 that needs to be made up due to a person not taking the voluntary separation. This employee is still here, we need to fund it. Stump: thinks DA needs to make some cuts.
- DA the \$45,000 needs to come out of line item due to not filling part time investigator; and Wade's salary needs to be placed back in.
- Jim Leddy: will need to finalize what the cuts are to reinstate Wade's position.

Assessor:

- Board: Regarding amounts needed for attorneys to handle large appeals. How do we continue past January 1st? Asked about contracts?
- Assessor: gave information about why contracts are needed. Explained outstanding projects.
- Leslie Chapman: a budget amendment can be made if contracts need to be moved to different line item.

Community Development:

- Board: regarding the contract for out of state contractor. Maybe not budget item, but would like to see this converted to a local person. Staff should have a say.
- Leslie Chapman: this would just be a budget adjustment.
- Scott Burns: highlighted what the contractor has been working on lately. There's not a lot of funding going towards this out of state contractor.

EMS:

- Board: they have a request for six more EMT reserves. Directed Rob to come back with the requests.
- Leslie Chapman: Are the reserves in the budget? They found out that there are two reserve EMTs budgeted. He'll have to bring the request for six additional EMT reserves to the board, due to a hiring freeze.

NON GENERAL FUND POLICY ITEMS:

Behavioral Health

 Reclassify Admin Services Specialist to Behavioral Health Fiscal and Administrative Services Officer: \$22,159

SPECIAL DRAFT MINUTES June 19, 2014 Page 6 of 6

District Attorney

• Drug Task Force – Additional Office Space: **\$27,258**

Public Health

- Reclass WIC Nutrition Asst to WNA/Health Program Coordinator: \$5,380
- Reclass WIC Nutrition Specialist to a WNA/FTS II: \$5,411
- Public Works
 - Bay for Crowley Road Shop: **\$15,000**
 - Replacement Vehicles: \$372,000 (reduced)
 - Jim Leddy suggested a two hour workshop to discuss all issues relating to vehicles, vehicle replacement. Maybe July 8 or July 15.
 - Board: a separate workshop is requested.
 - Oil Separator 50% Road, 50% Motor Pool: \$20,000

Solid Waste

- Recycling Infrastructure & Programs: **\$100,000**
- Solar Panels & Battery Banks for Landfill Scales and Printers: **\$10,000**
- Social Services
 - Promote Staff Services Analyst II to III: \$4,122
 - Promote 2 Social Worker I/IIs to IIIs: \$11,360
- C. Continuation of Public Hearing: 2014-15 Fee Workshop

CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING, 11:00 a.m.

(Leslie Chapman, Roberta Reed) - Public hearing and workshop regarding the adoption of fees for fiscal year 2014-15 for both general government and enterprise operations.

Action: None. This item was continued to July 8, 2014 beginning at 10:00 a.m.

3. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD No one spoke.

ADJOURN 2:43 p.m.

ATTEST

LARRY K. JOHNSTON CHAIRMAN

SHANNON KENDALL



OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Community Development

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Appointment of Bridgeport Valley Regional Planning Advisory Committee (RPAC) Members

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Re-appoint existing Bridgeport Valley Regional Planning Advisory Committee (RPAC) members to new two-year terms expiring in January 2016 or 2017, as recommended, and appoint Gene Kinum with a term expiring January 2017.

FISCAL IMPACT:

No fiscal impacts are expected.

CONTACT NAME: Wendy Sugimura

PHONE/EMAIL: 760.924.1814 / wsugimura@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🗹 YES 🔲 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

Bridgeport Valley RPAC appointments staff report

Histo	ry
-------	----

Time	Who	Approval
6/17/2014 8:25 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:19 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 4:21 PM	Finance	Yes

Mono County Community Development Department

Planning Division

PO Box 8 Bridgeport, CA 93517 760.932.5420, fax 932.5431 www.monocounty.ca.gov

PO Box 347 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 760.924.1800, fax 924.1801 commdev@mono.ca.gov

July 1, 2014

To: Mono County Board of Supervisors

From: Wendy Sugimura, Associate Analyst, for Tim Fesko, Supervisor

Subject: Appointment of Bridgeport Valley Regional Planning Advisory Committee (RPAC) Members

Action Requested

Re-appoint existing Bridgeport Valley Regional Planning Advisory Committee (RPAC) members to new twoyear terms expiring in January 2016 or 2017, as recommended, and appoint Gene Kinum with a term expiring January 2017.

Fiscal/Mandates Impact

No fiscal impacts are expected.

Current Fiscal Year Budget Projections

No impact is expected on current fiscal year budget projections.

Discussion

The membership appointments for the Bridgeport Valley RPAC are out of date. Supervisor Fesko, District 4, requests Board consideration of his recommendation for memberships / terms for the Bridgeport Valley Regional Planning Advisory Committee (nine members total).

New members recommended for appointment:

Terms to Expire (all two-year terms):

Gene Kinum	1-31-17

Re-appointments of existing members:

Mike Booher	1-31-16
Jeff Hunewill	1-31-16
Bob Peters	1-31-16
Erinn Wells	1-31-16
Barry Beck	1-31-17
Walt Lehmann	1-31-17
Steve Noble	1-31-17
Benny Romero	1-31-17

If you have any questions regarding this item, please contact Supervisor Fesko or Wendy Sugimura at 924-1814.



OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗏 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Elections

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Certification of the Statewide Direct Primary Election 6.3.14 PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Certification of Results of the Canvass of the June 3, 2014, Statewide Direct Primary Election.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Receive and approve as correct the Statement of Votes for the June 3, 2014, Statewide Direct Primary Election, and declare elected to each office voted on under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, the person having the requisite number of votes.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

CONTACT NAME: Linda Romero

PHONE/EMAIL: 760-932-5534 / Iromero@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🗹 YES 🔲 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

- Staff Report
- Certification
- Summary Report
- Precinct Report

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/24/2014 7:35 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:21 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 4:34 PM	Finance	Yes



C L E R K – R E CO R D E R – R E G I S T R A R COUNTY OF MONO

P.O. BOX 237, BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA 93517 (760) 932-5530 • FAX (760) 932-5531

Linda Romero Acting Clerk-Recorder-Registrar 760-932-5534 Iromero@mono.ca.gov

To: Honorable Board of Supervisors

From: Linda Romero, Acting Clerk-Recorder-Registrar

Date: July 1, 2014

<u>Subject</u>

Certification of Results of the Canvass of the June 3, 2014, Statewide Direct Primary Election

Recommendation

Receive and approve as correct the Statement of Votes for the June 3, 2014, Statewide Direct Primary Election, and declare elected to each office voted on under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, the person having the requisite number of votes.

Discussion

Pursuant to California Elections Code §15372, "The elections official shall prepare a certified statement of the results of the election and submit it to the governing body within 28 days of the election...." The governing body shall then declare elected to each office voted on under its jurisdiction the person having the requisite number of votes (California Elections Code §15400). The Statement of Votes shows the results of the June 3, 2014, Statewide Direct Primary Election, and specifies the number of votes cast by precinct in each contest.

Pursuant to Elections Code §15360, the canvassing board conducted a manual count of ballots cast in Precinct 11 (Swall Meadows), the precinct selected by a random draw. In addition, the canvassing board counted the ballots that included a Supervisor race:

- District 1 Supervisor: Precinct 12 (Mammoth View)
- District 5 Supervisor: Precinct 10 (Mammoth Pinecrest)

The manual count validates the results shown on the Statement of Votes report.

Fiscal Impact None

CERTIFICATION OF COUNTY CLERK/REGISTRAR OF VOTERS OF THE RESULTS OF THE CANVASS OF THE JUNE 3, 2014, STATEWIDE DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA		J	22
COUNTY OF	MONO		55.

I, LYNDA ROBERTS , County Clerk/Registrar of Voters of said county, do hereby certify that, in pursuance to the provisions of Elections Code Section 15300, et seq., I did canvass the results of the votes cast in the Statewide Direct Primary Election held in said County on June 3, 2014, for measures and contests that were submitted to the vote of the voters, and that the Statement of Votes Cast to which this certificate is attached, is full, true and correct.

I hereby set my hand an	d official seal this _	13th	_day of	June	2014 at
the County of	Mono				



Registrar of Voters

Mono

County of ______ State of California

Certification of Elections Official (06/2014)

HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT OF 2002 CERTIFICATION OF ELECTIONS OFFICIAL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA		J	88
COUNTY OF	MONO		55.

Pursuant to the statewide voter registration list requirements set forth in the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) (Pub. L. No. 107-252 (2002) 116 Stat. 1666, 42 U.S.C. § 15483),

	I,	LYNDA ROBERTS	S			, Registrar o	f Voter	s for	the
County I comp Regula	light with all pr	Mono ovisions of Chapter 2 deral election held on	2 of I the 3 ¹	Division	7 of	te of Califor Title 2 of t 2014, in the	he Cali	iforni	ertify that a Code of
consol	idated therewith	•	,	State	of	California,	and	all	elections
	I hereby set my	hand and official sea	l this	13th	da	y of	June		2014 at
24	the County of	Ν	lono			·			



Sunt

Mono

Registrar of Voters County of ______ State of California

Certification of Elections Official (06/2014)



CLERK-RECORDER-REGISTRAR COUNTY OF MONO

P.O. BOX 237, BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA 93517 (760) 932-5538/5534 • FAX (760) 932-5531

Lynda Roberts Mono County Clerk/Recorder/Registrar Iroberts@mono.ca.gov

Linda Romero Assistant Clerk/Recorder/Registrar Iromero@mono.ca.gov

CERTIFICATE OF ONE PERCENT MANUAL TALLY PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA ELECTION CODE §15360

STATEWIDE DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION JUNE 3, 2014

Summary of Post-Election One Percent Manual Tally

- Precinct was randomly selected on June 6, 2014, @ 12:00 p.m. •
- Manual tally of polling place ballots and vote-by-mail ballots was initiated on June 9, • 2014, and completed on June 11, 2014.
- There were no discrepancies between the manual count and the machine count. •

Dated this 13th day of June, 2014.

Mono County Clerk/Recorder **Registrar of Voters**

lafolut

County Vote Reporting Form Office of the Secretary of State June 3, 2014 – Statewide Direct Primary Election Official Canvass of Votes for Qualified Write-In Candidates

County Name	Mono

Contact Name Lynda Roberts	Contact Phone	760-932-5538
Contact Name -		

PLEASE RETURN NO LATER THAN JULY 4, 2014.

As part of the Statement of Vote, we will need to report the total number of votes received for each qualified write-in candidate. If your Official Canvass does not provide a break-down of this information, please use this form to report the votes to the Secretary of State, Elections Divison.

All qualified write-in candidates are listed. For each candidate, please indicate total number of votes, zero votes or N/A.

GOVERNOR	0
KAREN JILL BERNAL	0
JIMELLE L. WALLS	0
NICKOLAS WILDSTAR	
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION MEMBER DISTRICT 3	
G. RICK MARSHALL	
JOSE E. CASTANEDA	
ERIC S. MOREN	
JAN B. TUCKER	
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 10	
DAVID PAUL CHRISTENSEN	
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 11	
VIRGINIA FULLER	
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 23	
RAUL GARCIA	
MIKE BIGLAY	
GAIL K. LIGHTFOOT	
Page 1 of 4	

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 23 (continued) RONALD L. PORTER **UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 28** SAM YOUSUF UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 30 KARL SIGANPORIA A. RAB UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 33 THEO MILONOPOULOS **UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 34** JONATHAN TURNER SMITH **UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 35 BENJAMIN "BEN" LOPEZ UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 42** FLOYD HARVEY **UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 43 BRANDON M. COOK** UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 44 ADAM SHBEITA **UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 47** GEORGE BROGAN UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 49 JOHNNY MOORE **UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 51** ERNEST GRIFFES

Page 2 of 4

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 16	
RUTH MUSSER-LOPEZ	
STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 22	
MARC RODRIGUEZ	
STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 36	
GARY KEPHART	
STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 40	
MICHAEL DIAZ	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 5	47
PATRICK D. HOGAN	17
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 14	
JOY D. DELEPINE	
JOHN HENRY KIMACK	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 21	
JACK MOBLEY	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 31	
WALTER O. VILLARREAL	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 39	
MICHAEL B. BOYD	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 41	
SAMUEL S. FORSEN	
LINDA HAZELTON	
NATHANIEL TSAI	·
TED BROWN	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 47	
KELLY J. CHASTAIN	

.±

STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 51	
STEPHEN C. SMITH	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 60	
KEN PARK	
OLIVER UNAKA	
JOHN FARR	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 67	
CONRAD MELTON	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 71	
HOWARD L. KATZ	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 75	
NICHOLAS SHESTOPLE	
MIKE PASTER	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 76	
THOMAS KROUSE	
STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER DISTRICT 79	
GEORGE R. WILLIAMS	

Please return this document with your Official Canvass and Statement of Vote NO LATER THAN JULY 4, 2014.

Evelyn Mendez Secretary of State, Elections Division 1500 11th Street, Fifth Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 PHONE: (916) 653-9155 FAX: (916) 651-6460 Post-electionreporting@sos.ca.gov

If you have any questions, please call me at the number listed above.

Thank You.

			Total Precincts: <u>13</u>			
Mono County			DominionInsight	Precinct Ballots:	1230	
		em used by Precinct Voters:	Dominion Insight	Absentee Ballots:	1980	
		em used by Absentee Voters:	Dominion moight		3210	
Date	. <u>06</u>	<u>132014</u>		Total Ballots Cast:		
ID	Party	Candidate/Prop	Votes			
Gov	/ernor					
47	DEM	Akinyemi Agbede	30			
139	REP	Richard William Aguirre	43			
195	NPP	"Bo" Bogdan Ambrozewicz	26			
309	REP	Andrew Blount	199			
389	DEM	*Edmund G. "Jerry" Brown	1454			
551	NPP	Janel Hyeshia Buycks	3			
16	REP	Glenn Champ	115			
32	NPP	Rakesh Kumar Christian				
37	REP	Tim Donnelly	589			
428	REP	Neel Kashkari	313			
398	NPP	Joe Leicht				
17	NPP	Robert Newman	53			
1	GRN	Luis J. Rodriguez	62			
25	PF	Cindy L. Sheehan	52			
43	6 REP	Alma Marie Winston				
66	4 DEM	Karen Jill Bernal (W/I)	0			
66	0 NPP	Jimelle L. Walls (W/I)	1			
69	3 NPP	Nickolas Wildstar (W/I)	0			

Total Precincts: 13

ID	Party	Candidate/Prop	Votes	
Lie	utenant	Governor		
213	REP	David Fennell	257	
594	GRN	Jena F. Goodman	97	
390	PF	Amos Johnson	42	
22	DEM	Eric Korevaar	159	
452	REP	Ron Nehring	784	
378	DEM	*Gavin Newsom	1247	
510	AME	Alan Reynolds	42	13-
20	REP	George Yang	193	
Se	cretary	of State		
137	REP	Roy Allmond	234	
347		Derek Cressman	154	
5	GRN	David Curtis	146	
111		Jeffrey H. Drobman	162	
484		Alex Padilla	582	
14		Pete Peterson	986	~~~
54		Dan Schnur	137	
14			298	
C	ontrolle	۲		
55	2 DEM	Tammy D. Blair	141	
30			920	
			380	
40			466	
45 4	r r∈r GRN		229	
- 27			556	

Mono County

Total Precincts: 13

D	Party	Candidate/Prop	Votes
Frea	surer		
5	GRN	Ellen H. Brown	226
68	DEM	John Chiang	1367
618	REP	Greg Conion	1218
Atto	orney G	Seneral	
550	REP	Ronald Gold	302
160	REP	John Haggerty	422
121	DEM	*Kamala D. Harris	1301
125	LIB	Jonathan Jaech	118
529	REP	David King	108
169	NPP	Orly Taitz	114
446	REP	Phil Wyman	428
Ins	urance	Commissioner	
252	REP	Ted Gaines	1327
24	PF	Nathalie Hrizi	187
91	DEM	*Dave Jones	1258
Su	perinte	endent of Public Instruction	x
167	NP	Lydia A. Gutiérrez	643
488	NP	*Tom Torlakson	1153
485	NP	Marshall Tuck	664
Во	oard of	Equalization Member District	:1
			13 Precincts with ballots cast: <u>3210</u>

451	DEM	Chris Parker	1189
349	REP	*George Runner	1504

Total Precincts: 13

D	Party	Candidate/Prop	Votes
Uni	ted Sta	tes Representative Dist	ct 8
			13 Precincts with ballots cast: <u>3210</u>
143	DEM	Bob Conaway	862
438	REP	*Paul Cook	1324
331	REP	Paul Hannosh	300
325	DEM	Odessia D. Lee	363
640	to Son	ate District 8	
518	le Sen		13 Precincts with ballots cast: <u>3210</u>
116	REP	*Tom Berryhill	1540
357	DEM	Paulina Miranda	1307
Sta	ate Ass	embly Member District	
			13 Precincts with ballots cast: <u>3210</u>
386	REP	*Frank Bigelow	1940
684		Patrick D. Hogan (W/I)	17
Ba	allot Me	easures	Yes No
41	Vete	erans Housing and Homeless B	nd Act of 2014 1709 1221
42	Pub	lic Records. Open Meetings. R	mbursements. <u>1455</u> <u>1378</u>

Governor	Votes
Akinyemi Agbede	
Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	5
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	5
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	3
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	10
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	7
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	30
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	30
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	30
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	30
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	13
20.	

Mono County	Votes
Governor	
Richard William Aguirre Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	4
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	11
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	9
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	12
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	7
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	43
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	43
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	43
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	43
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	23
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	20

Mono County	Votes
Governor	
"Bo" Bogdan Ambrozewicz Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	6
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	6
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	5
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	2
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	7
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	26
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	26
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	26
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	26
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	12

Mono County	Votes
Governor	
Andrew Blount Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	41
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	30
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	74
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	36
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	400
Congressional District 8 (2010)	199
State Senate Districts	100
Senate District 8 (2010)	199
State Assembly Districts	400
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	199
BOE Districts	400
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	199
Municipal Districts	00
Mammoth Lakes	82
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	447
Unincorporated area of Mono County	117

Votes
158
360
338
300
298
0
1454
1454
1454
771
0
683

Governor	Votes
Janel Hyeshia Buycks Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	1
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	1
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	1
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	3
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	3
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	3
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	3
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	1
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	2

	Votes
Governor	
Glenn Champ Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	21
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	30
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	35
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	16
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	115
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	115
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	115
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	56
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	50
Unincorporated area of Mono County	59

Governor	Votes
Rakesh Kumar Christian Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	2
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	4
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	1
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	2
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	5
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	14
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	14
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	7
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	_
Unincorporated area of Mono County	1
Governor	Votes
---	-------
Tim Donnelly Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	51
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	153
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	131
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	184
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	70
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	589
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	589
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	589
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	589
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	197
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	392

	Votes
Governor	
Neel Kashkari Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	31
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	95
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	53
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	91
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	43
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	0.10
Congressional District 8 (2010)	313
State Senate Districts	0.4.0
Senate District 8 (2010)	313
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	313
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	313
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	123
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	190

	Votes
Governor	
Joe Leicht Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	3
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	1
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	3
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	2
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	2
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	
Municipal Districts	_
Mammoth Lakes	7
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	(m)
Unincorporated area of Mono County	4

Governor	Votes
Robert Newman Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	3
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	24
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	10
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	11
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	5
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	53
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	53
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	53
BOE Districts	-
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	53
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	19
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	34

Governor	Votes
Luis J. Rodriguez Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	11
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	9
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	16
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	13
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	13
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	62
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	62
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	62
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	62
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	36
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	26

<u>Mono County</u>	Votes
Governor	
Cindy L. Sheehan Supervisorial Districts	6
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	11
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	16
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	6
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	13
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	50
Congressional District 8 (2010)	52
State Senate Districts	50
Senate District 8 (2010)	52
State Assembly Districts	50
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	52
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	52
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	28
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	24
Unincorporated area of Mono County	24

Mono County

16

Mono County	Votes
Governor	¥0(e5
Alma Marie Winston Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	1
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	9
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	2
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	7
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	3
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	
State Senate Districts	
Senate District 8 (2010)	22
State Assembly Districts	
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	22
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	22
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	7
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	15

Mono County	Votes
Governor	
Karen Jill Bernat (W/I) Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	
Congressional District 8 (2010)	0
State Senate Districts	_
Senate District 8 (2010)	0
State Assembly Districts	_
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	0
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	0
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	0
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	-
Unincorporated area of Mono County	0

Votes
_
0
0
0
1
0
0
1
1
1
1
-
0
0
1

Mono County	Votes
Governor	
Nickolas Wildstar (W/I) Supervisorial Districts	
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	0
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0
Congressional Districts	•
Congressional District 8 (2010)	0
State Senate Districts	_
Senate District 8 (2010)	0
State Assembly Districts	•
State Assembly District 5 (2010)	0
BOE Districts	
Board of Equalization District 1 (2010)	0
Municipal Districts	
Mammoth Lakes	0
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0
Miscellaneous	
Unincorporated area of Mono County	0

Mono County	Yes	No
Ballot Measures		
Veterans Housing and Homeless Bond Act of 2014 Supervisorial Districts		440
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	190	112
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	408	327
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	369	268
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	431	310
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	311	204
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0	0
Congressional Districts Mono County part of Congress District 08 (2010)	1709	1221
State Senate Districts	4700	4004
Mono County part of Senate District 08 (2010)	1709	
State Assembly Districts	4700	1221
Mono County part of Assembly District 05 (2010)	1709	1221
BOE Districts	4700	1221
Mono County part of BOE District 1 (2010)	1709	
Municipal Districts		520
Mammoth Lakes	829	539
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0	0
Miscellaneous		<u></u>
Unincorporated area of Mono County	880	682

Mono County 4只

Mono County	N ₁ -	Νο
Ballot Measures	Yes	
Public Records. Open Meetings. Reimbursements. Supervisorial Districts		
Mono County Supervisorial District 1 (2010)	170	117
Mono County Supervisorial District 2 (2010)	348	366
Mono County Supervisorial District 3 (2010)	314	304
Mono County Supervisorial District 4 (2010)	348	370
Mono County Supervisorial District 5 (2010)	275	221
Mono County Supervisorial Districts Multiple (2010)	0	0
Congressional Districts Mono County part of Congress District 08 (2010)	1455	1378
State Senate Districts		4070
Mono County part of Senate District 08 (2010)	1455	1378
State Assembly Districts		4070
Mono County part of Assembly District 05 (2010)	1455	1378
BOE Districts		4070
Mono County part of BOE District 1 (2010)	1455	1378
Municipal Districts		
Mammoth Lakes	719	589
Multiple districts of Mono County (2010)	0	0
Miscellaneous Unincorporated area of Mono County	736	789

June 3,2014

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

00003				-r	- 1		ON-PAR					T					
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)		LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ	ALMA MARIE WINSTON	EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN	JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS	ANDREW BLOUNT	RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN	GLENN CHAMP		NEEL KASHKAKI	TIM DONNELLY	'BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ	AKINYEMI AGBEDE	RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE
	481	131 131	F 27.23	-	= 2	₹ 2	38	- 1	4	1		6	28	30	0	1	2
1 ANTELOPE 0001	481	137	28.48		2	0	49	0	10	0		8	15	37	0	2	
1 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	196	0	0.00		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	C	
2 BENTON - MB 0002	196	81	41.33		0	1	21	0	8	2		2	9	24	0		2
2 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	380	154	40.53		1	2	38	0	23	1		5	21	45	2	2	
3 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	124	32.63		2	2	48	0	12	0		5	4	40	0		
3 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	330	2	0.61		0	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	1	0		1
M CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	161	48.79		2	4	42	1	17	1	1.2	7	26	44	2		
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma		82	23.56		1	0	23	0	6	1	1 C 1	6	12	22	2	- (
5 JUNE LAKE 0005	348				1	0	58	0	2	0		3	8	26	0	(
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32.18		0	0	1	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	(
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44 70.67		0	0	68	0	9	0		5	7	39	2		2
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225	159	The second second		2		76	0	8			3	23	23	3		1
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08		2	2 2 0	108	0	3			3	17	44	1	200	
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36		4	0	67	0	9			6	10	11	0		3
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71		2	1	60	0	6			5	13	21	0		1 8
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71		6	2	71	0	8			5	8	14	1	6 B	1
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68		3	2	117	0	5	2 D		11	18	30	0		0
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote	760	218	28.68	_	3	0		0	9		2	3	10	13	1		2
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22		5	1	102	o	ç		3	5	13	20	1		0
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59		0		0	0	c			0	0	0	0	3	0
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00		0	0	113	0	2		0	6	20	17	0		2
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vol	264	181	68.56		3	0	74	ő	12		1	4	15	22	4		2
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44		0	0	84	0	e		1	9	16	29	2	2 3	3
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89		5		0.852	1	10		D	2	6	14	3		4
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78		2	2	0.555.5				0	6	14	23		2	1
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84		3	0	12	U				3	- Ĥ				
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20		24	10	512	2	100	D	7	40	133	195			16
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.13		38	12	942	1	9	9	7	75	180	394	1		14 2
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33		62				19			115	313	1000			30 4 30 4
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33		62	22		3	19	22	4	115	313	22			30
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	200100000	3210	55.33		62	22	1454		19	5 I - 2 - 3	4	115	313	1.000		31 5	30
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	25,023 1.52	55.33		62			3	19	9	4	115	313	0.000	9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5 A	30
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	55.33		62		1454		19		4	115	313	1	3		30
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	1	55.33		62		1454				4	115	313			6	5
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	10.0369.024	48.33		11		1 158	s C		8	2	13	31				5
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	53.17		9				4		4	21	95		21	6	2
	1333		53.56		16	1	2 338	3 (3		1	30	53			0	10
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	01333	62.12		13		7 300		1 7	4	2	35	91			2	10 7
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		13		3 298	3	1 3	6	5	16	43	2 Die		1	
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	5802		10.00		62				3 19	9	14	115	313	ST 522		59 U 0	30 17
MONO COUNTY	0002	3210	00.00	1	36	1 2	7 77		a	32	7	56	123	3 19	71 1	4	17

June 3,2014

100003					N	ON-PA	RTISAN	GOVER	NOR						
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	ROBERT NEWMAN	CINDY L. SHEEHAN	JOE LEICH T									
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	1	2	(0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	4	2		1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0	O	(0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U
02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	6	2		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63	0	0	3	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	10	1	1	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	0	4	3	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32,18	1	4	e e	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By Ma	225	159	70.67	4	1	1 3	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	3	5))	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	1	1		1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	3	2		1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vole E	414	123	29.71	3	0	2	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ő
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	4	6		1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	1	1	1	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	1	4		1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	1	1		1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vot	264	181	68.56	4	2		0 0	0	0	0	0	õ	0	0	0
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	3	5		1 0	0	0	0	0	õ	0	0	0
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89	0	1		2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78	2	6		0 0	0	0	ő	0	Ő	0	c	0
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	- 1 - 1 ^{- 2}	2		0	Ŭ	Ĩ	Ŭ					
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	17	34		4 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34,13	36	18		7 0	0	0	o	0	0	0	c	C
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	53	52	1	11 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	53	52	1	11 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	53	52	1	11 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	53	52	1	11 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	i i	1
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	53			11 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	53	52		11 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ć	
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33				3 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17	24			1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	714	53.56	10			3 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	792	62.12	1.			2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20		5 13		2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
MONO COUNTY	5802			50	3 52		11 0	0	0	0	0	0	I MULTING		
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	 Control (Control) 	11			7 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0 (

June 3,2014

					N	ION-PAF	RTISAN	*LIEUTE	ENANT C	SOVERN	OR					
100004							1		_							
					S		~	-	N	W		MAN				
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)		ALAN REYNOLDS	GEORGE YANG	ERIC KOREVAAR	DAVID FENNELL	NOSNHOL SOMA	GAVIN NEWSOM	RON NEHRING	JENA F. GOODMAN				
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23		3	14	3	12	1	40	49	3		1.5.1	100	
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48		1	4	11	14	1	47	47	1				
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0,00		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_			
02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33		- 4	5	1	9	1	15 29	37	3				
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53		0	10	5	16	1	29	68	2		8		
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63		1	9	7	11	0	39	41	4				
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61		0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0		1	-	
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48,79		5	21	6	21	0	39	50	8				1.1.1
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56		0	5	1	8	2	24	31	3				
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32.18		0	9	4	6	2	51	23	4				
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0				
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By Ma	225	159	70.67		1	5	11	8	3	61	45	11				
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	- 1	1	13	13 14	8	4	59 89	42 42	7				
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36		1	7	14	20	3	89		1				
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71		1	4	7	15 16	3	56 53	19	5			1.5	
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71		2	4	2		0	53	28	1				
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68		1	11	5	7	4	58	23	3				
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote	760	218	28.68		1	13	8	24	0	95	35	6		_		
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22		0	13	6	8 7	3	51	23	2				1
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59		5	. 11	10		0	88 0	31	4				
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vot	264	181	68.56		6	0 6 6	12	13	1	99 63 77	28	2				1
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44		1		8	16	5	63	30	11				
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89		2	9	4	9	2	77	37	6				
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78		5	3	13	3	2	49	25	8		1	The last	
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	12.	1	9	8	6	4	65	29	2			1.81	19.34
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20		12	81	61	93	25	429	311	44				
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.13		30	112	98	164	17	818	473	53		-		
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33		42	193	159	257	42	1247	784	97				1.
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	12	42	193	159	257	42	1247	784	97		1000	133.27	
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33		42	193	159	257	42	1247	784	97				
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55,33		42	193	159	257	42	1247	784	97				
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33		42	193	159	257	42	1247	784	97				
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33		42	193	159	257	42	1247	784	97		12.8		
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33		3	15	12	25	7	140	67	17		1.00	1 - 8 - 4	
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17		17	53	46	71	9	301	200	21			1.00	
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	714	53.56		3	44	29	53	11	289	157	27				
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	792	62.12		8	45	35	84	6	264	252	16			1	1
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20		11	36	37	24	9	253	108	16				
MONO COUNTY	5802	3210	55.33		42	193	159	257	42	1247	784	97				5 ×
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	52.74		19	83	71	111	23	655	280	48			1	

June 3,2014

100005					NON-PA		I 'SECR								
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	LELAND YEE	DEREK CRESSMAN	DAVID CURTIS	ALEX PADILLA	PETE PETERSON	JEFFREY H. DROBMAN	ROY ALLMOND	DAN SCHNUR				
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	8	1	0	25	55	6	17	11				
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	11	15	1	25	49	4	15	4			-	
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	8	3	2	12	37	2	13	0		. I		
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	10	3	4	18	69	2	22	4		0	1 1	
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63	9	7	3	23	48	3	12	0	-			
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61		0	0	0	0	0	26	9				
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48,79	9	3	14 8	24 8	68 32	5	20	6			3	
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	5	3			32	8	8	6				
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32,18	8	6	7	21	0	0	0	0				
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	1	0	0	27	46	7	20	4				
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225	159	70.67	1	12	9	31	52	13	9	3			(
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	16	0 12 6 12	7	45	54	6	10	13				
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	24	8	6	21	31	11		6			1.1	
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	16 7	11	0	16	41		8 6 5	7				0
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote E	414	123	29.71	6	4	0	32	39	6	5	8				
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	27	9	7	42	62	10	11	4				
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68 23.22	12		7	42 23 37	35	5	6	4				
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521		33.59	12 19	2 15	7	37	35	13	7	15		_		
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	0.00	10	0	0	0	35 0	0	0	0				
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	181	68.56	0 17	14	9	54		7	9	14				
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vot	264 720	176	24.44	20	4	11	26	36 46	9 5 19	8	2				
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	178	23.89	20 20 19	9	6	26 29	49	5	10	5				
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	486	135	27.78	19	্ৰ	8	21	31	19	3	2		2.3		
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013 13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	19	6	6	22	41	8	4	11			1.1	1.1.1
13 OLD MANNOTH - Vole by ma	400	145	20.01												
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	113	32	62	205	390	79	83	46				
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34,13	185	122	84	377	596	83	151	91				
0	5900	3210	55.33	298	154	146	582	986	162	234	137		1.14	1.1	
Grand Totals	5802 5802	3210	55.33	298		146		986	162	234	137		1.111	1.1	
CALIFORNIA 8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	298		146	0.000		162	234	137				1
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	298	0 1020150	146	1 1500 S V		162	234	137			1	
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	298		146		986	162	234	137				
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	298		146	582		162	234	137		100	NO 123	1.1
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	40		17		1.000		18	7				100.07
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17	74		41			34	68			1.0		
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	714	53.56	54	1.22	42			5.614	48	28				
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	2,000,00	62.12	6				99.63		80					
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	69		28		0.225		20					
MONO COUNTY	5802	122/01/2	55.33	298		146								1.1	1.1
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	52.74	165	69	71	269	410	95	68	64	-		1	

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

100006					1	C	ALIFORI							
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	STATE CONTROLLER BETTY T. YEE	LAURA WELLS	TAMMY D. BLAIR	JOHN A. PÉREZ	DAVID EVANS	ASHLEY SWEARENGIN	• 5TATE TREASURER ELLEN H. BROWN	GREG CONLON	JOHN CHIANG		
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	12	6	4	19	52	27	8	68	44 55		
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	24	3	10	16	49 0	23	11	55 0	0	1	
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	29		
02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	12	3	1	5	39 64	14	3	84	48		
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	11	2	4	11 14	40	37 28	3	59	53		
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63	18	4	0	0		0	0		0		
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	0		5	13	72	30	11	89	55 23		
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	0 19 10	14 7	3	6	2 72 28 23	30 17	6	42			
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56 32.18	19	13	4	18	23	17	10	42	47		
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348 225	112	0.44	0	0	1	0	0	0	C		1		
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006 06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225	159	70.67	31	14	7	22		18	18	58	72 76		1
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	25	13	10	20	44 37	18 35 19 15 10	11	62	76	1.0	
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	25 39	10	9	33	56 33 43 37	19	10		94	a	1.20
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	29	11	8 7	9 12	33	15	12		55 44		100
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote 8	414	123	29.71	19	9			43		11	49	58		
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	21	11	9	21	37	13	12		92		1
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	50 20 46	15 9 11	9	18	54 28 37	27 18		45	49		
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	20	9	4	17	28	10	14		49 91		10 3
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	46		4 9 5 9 6	21 0	0	28 0		0	0		
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0	0	0			18	1	0 5 48	104		
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vol	264	181	68.56	45	15 22	0	32 19	35 40	19	20	52	68		
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	19	13	ß		44	20	1	4 66	65		
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720 486	172 135	23.89 27.78	30 25	12	8	20 20	25	20 16	1				
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	145	29.84	32	12	3	14	37	17		4 39	- 77		1
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	400	140	20.04							9	2 470	489		COURT
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	172	93	60	142	346	197		410			
Vote By Mail Tolals	5802	1980	34.13	384	136	81	238	574	269	13	4 748	878		
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	556	229	141	380	920	466	22				
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	556	229	141	380	920	466	22				
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	556	229	141	380	920	466	22				
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	556	229	141	380	920	466	22				1
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	556	229	141	380	920	466	22				
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	556	229	141	380 39	920 84	39	3		1000	10 J.	1 - 1
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	49	35	15 30	103	242	116		0 313	1 62564		
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53,17	140	55 60	30	85	186	92		6 264	293		
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	714	53.56	131	35	- 11	81	281	140		0 35		1	1
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275		62.12	113	44	24	72	127	79	2	6 16	and in the second		
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	556	229					22		1		1
MONO COUNTY TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	5802 2901	3210 1530	55.33 52.74	291	125		1 1000	378	3327.4-1	1	5 49	666		

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

CALIFORNIA **ASHLEY SWEARENGIN** *STATE TREASURER ELLEN N. BROWN **STATE CONTROLLER** AMMY D. BLAIR JOHN A. PÉREZ **GREG CONLON** JOHN CHIANG Registration DAVID EVANS **Ballots Cast** Turnout (%) AURA WELLS BETTY T. YEE 27.23 01 ANTELOPE 0001 28.48 01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail 5 11 0.00 02 BENTON - MB 0002 64 40 41.33 02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail 18 0 19 40.53 03 BRIDGEPORT 0003 2 0 13 6 32.63 03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail 55 23 14 7 0.61 0 5 3 4 1 04 CHALFANT - MB 0004 48 79 04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma 19 0 31 25 39 10 0 18 23.56 05 JUNE LAKE 0005 32.18 0 14 05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail 0.44 06 LEE VINING - MB 0006 35 37 70.67 06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M 10 12 10 11 07 LONG VALLEY 0007 24.08 9 8 7 9 28.36 07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail 43 29.71 08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008 11 08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote 29.71 79 45 54 10 7 14 0 18.68 09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009 20 9 28.68 09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote I 23.22 10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001 0 45 0 15 22 13 12 10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot 33.59 48 52 0.00 11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 00 68.56 11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vo 30 24.44 12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012 23.89 12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M 27.78 13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013 29.84 13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma 21.20 Precinct Totals 34.13 Vote By Mail Totals 55 33 Grand Totals 55.33 CALIFORNIA 55.33 8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRIC 55.33 8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT 55.33 5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 55.33 1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 48.33 1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 53.17 2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 53.56 **3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT** 62.12 4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 57.20 55.33 MONO COUNTY TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES 52.74

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

CALIFORNIA *INSURANCE COMMISSIONER NATHALIE HRIZI ATTORNEY GENERAL KAMALA D. HARRIS **JONATHAN JAECH** JOHN HAGGERTY RONALD GOLD **Ballots Cast** Registration GAINES DAVEJONES Turnout (%) PHIL WYMAN DAVID KING **JRLY TAITZ** a 27.23 01 ANTELOPE 0001 28.48 0 01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail 23 0.00 C 02 BENTON - MB 0002 8 41.33 02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail 29 0 49 40.53 8 2 0 3 2 03 BRIDGEPORT 0003 32,63 03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail 0.61 04 CHALFANT - MB 0004 8 6 5 3 48.79 04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma 9 1 23,56 05 JUNE LAKE 0005 0 8 12 32.18 05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail 0 5 5 9 6 2 5 6 4 5 0 5 9 7 6 4 C 06 LEE VINING - MB 0006 0.44 70.67 06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M 07 LONG VALLEY 0007 24.08 10 28.36 07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail 29.71 08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008 10 08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote 29.71 6 18.68 09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009 17 28.68 09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote 57 14 0 10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001 23 22 0 10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot 33.59 0.00 11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001 15 8 14 11 68 58 11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vo 24.44 3 0 5 8 12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012 23.89 12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M 27.78 13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013 13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma 29.84 21.20 Precinct Totals 34.13 Vole By Mail Totals 55.33 Grand Totals 55.33 CALIFORNIA 55.33 8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 55.33 8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT 55.33 5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 55.33 1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 48.33 1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 53,17 2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 53.56 **3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT** 62.12 4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 57.20 5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 55.33 MONO COUNTY 52 74 TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

400000						C.	ALIFORI	NIA						
00008					T	T								
											NER			
				1. 1							-INSURANCE COMMISSIONER NATHALIE HRIZI			
				RAL			SI	- 1	л		 WW _			
	Ę	at l					KAMALA D. HARRIS	JOHN HAGGERTY	JONATHAN JAECH	e	-INSURANCE COA NATHALIE HRIZI	σ		
	atio	ü	t (%	MAN	ING	E	ġ	AGG	AN	RONALD GOLD	ANC	DAVEJONES	TED GAINES	
	istr	ots	nou	I ORI	ē	ORLY TAITZ	MAL	HN	IATH	AALI	THA	VE.	50	
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	*ATTORNEY GENERAL PHIL WYMAN	DAVID KING	ORL			ě,		 N N	A 31	円 83	
1 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	31	4	6	34	21	8	19 19	6	49	64	
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28,48	17	6	0	53 0	25 0	0	0	0	0	0	
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0		7	18	12	4	12	4	20	52	
2 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	23	1	4	25	45	8	20	2	36	96	
3 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	26 29 0	2	1	38	22	2	16	4	41	66	
3 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63	29	82	0	0	0	o	1	0	0	2	
D4 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	49	0	10	43	25	6	19	13	38	104	
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	13	2	8	23	25 15	5	19 5	8	20	44	
D5 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82 112	23.56 32.18	9	2	0	57	14	3	10	10	48	39	
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	0.44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	159	70.67	28	6	5	70	19	5	7	8	64	66	
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225 677	163	24.08	29	4	5	75	21	5 5 9	12	12	62	72	
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	192	28.35	29 24	6	2	89	21	9	22	14	85	73	
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	200	123	29.71	12	5	3	59	14	6	13	10	55	43	
000 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	12 11	6	4	47	12	2	20	4	51	48	
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	760	142	18.68	10	4	5	63	16	5	13	11	57	41	
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	218	28.68	18	7	7	87	25	6	23 8	6	92	87	
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E 10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	8	7	2	55	17	4		7	47	45	
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	14	9	7	92	17	5	13 0	7	93	57	
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vol	264	181	68.56	23	1	9	105	13	5	10	6	107	51	
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	14	3	8	65 72	24	9	15	21	62	50	
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89	11	3	7	72	23	7	8	10	66	66	
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78	9	5	7	61	14	6	5	11	62	30	
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	19	5	2	70	7	4	12	6	71	48	
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	153	42	48	460	187	56	111	89	433	506	
	5000	4000	34.13	275	66	66	841	235	62	191	98	825	821	
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.15	210							107	1259	1327	
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	428	108	114	1301	422	118	302	187	1258 1258	1327	
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	428	108	114	1301	422	118	302	187	1258	1327	
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	428	108	114	1301	422	118	302	187 187	1258	1327	
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	428	108	114	1301	422	118	302	187	1258	1327	
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	428	108	114	1301	422	118	302	187	1258	1327	
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	428	108	114	1301	422	118	302 23	31	128	116	
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	25	12	15	137	47	16	23	49	312	354	
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53 17	148	15	33	330	92	29	58	43	282	277	
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333		53.56	79	21	25	300	89	24 30	107	33	263	400	
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	792	62.12	126	31	23	256	139	30	38	31	273	180	
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	50	29		278	55	244 C	302	187	1258	1327	
MONO COUNTY	5802		55.33	428	108		1301	422	118 54	1302	93	656	515	-
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	52.74	126	63	52	671	169	54	150	 			-

٦

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

				NON-PAR	TISAN	ARD OF E	QUALIZ	ATION DI	STRICT	131				
100010								1					1	
		- di												
	1			1 1							1			
				1 1			1							
(L)														
	_	ا <u>بر</u>	\sim	LE N	~									
	율	ö	8	N N	22	(a))								6
	l șt	र्छ	t l	l H	PA						1			1
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	G EORGE RU NNER	CHRIS PARKER									
														1
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	79	36								2	
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	82	44 0		1 · · ·							
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	55	20		1			_				
02 BENTON - MB - Vole By Mail	196	81	41.33 40.53	104	24			1 1						
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380 380	154 124	32.63	77	32									
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	330	2	0.61	2	32 0					_				
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004 04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	110	41									
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	49	22							-		
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32.18	52	44	1								
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	0	1							1		
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By Ma	225	159	70.67	72 78	65				· · · · ·					
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	78	65									
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	86 50	65 65 81 52									
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	50	52									
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71	56 50	45									
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68		62									
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	101	13									
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	44	48		1		1		1.1.1			
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	68	73 48 82 0 90 74				F 2					
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0 63 58 76 41	90						_			
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vo	264	181 176	68.56 24.44	58	74	1								
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720 720	170	23.89	76	64									
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	486	135	27.78	41	60				. T	1.	1.0	1.1.1		
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013 13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	53	64			1	1.0	100		1.1	S	1
13 OLD MAMINOTT - Vole by the	100	1975	245345				1.1				1.0			
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	555	444									
	u ()			1. 1.1.	124154									
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.13	949	745		-		1 1 1		-	1.1.1.1	A ST	
	-	- and the	20000				1.1		S. V.	· · · · ·		1.1.1	100 T	d
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	1504	1189 1189			1.1.1.1	104	1.1				1.00
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	1504 1504	1189	1			1					
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802		55.33 55.33	1504	1189				1				1	
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802 5802		55.33	1504	1189						·			1
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802		55.33	1504	1189					0		1 2		
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	720		48.33	134	138				11			1 - 2.		
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467		53.17	394	297				1		-	1000		
ard SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	10.99	53.56	324	267							1	1	
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275		62.12	448	233							1	1	1
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	1	57.20	204	254					-	-	-		1
MONO COUNTY	5802		55.33	1504	1189							1		
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901		52.74	595	624		_	and see the			-	1		_

June 3,2014

100011					NON-PAF	RTISAN	'US REP	RESE	NTATIVE	8TH			1		
						Ŧ									
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	PAUL COOK	BOB CONAWAY	PAULHANNOSH	ODESSIA D. LEE								
1 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	62	18	28	16								
1 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	69	23	17	18						1.1.1		
2 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0 50	0	0	0				(
2 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	50	13	8	6				1				
3 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	96 70	16 25	20 9	10								
3 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63	0	25	1	0				-				
4 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0,61	81		26	19							5 1 1	
4 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	47	15	8	5					11.0	1 0		
5 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	40	39	7	16								
5 JUNE LAKE - Vole By Mail	348	112	32,18 0,44			0	0		1 1						<u> </u>
6 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225 225	159	70.67	61	44								· · · · ·		
6 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	677	163	24.08	72	50	15 11	15 19							1.1	
7 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	192	28.36	71	64		22				-				
7 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail 8 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29,71	50	34	19 7 4	23		N						
8 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote E	414	123	29.71	54	31	4	15								
9 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	54	36	15	21								
9 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	98	54	13	23								
IO MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	98 43 60	54 35 73	13 14 8	16								
IO MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	60	73	8	16					-			
1 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00		0	0	16 0 20 22 17								
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vot	264	181	68.56	5	73	16	20								
2 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	6	52	12 18	22								
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89	6	45	18									1000
3 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78	3		8	16						1.1	1	
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	4	49		19		1			1.1		-	-
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	51			147								
√ote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.13	81			216					1			
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	132			363		203		= = = 1		11 N. X	100	0.00
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	132	- 1 X23.64		363				-				1
BIL CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	132			363 363			1					1
Bth STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	132		1 10.029	20/05/07/		1						
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	132									1 - 1	S.U. 1	140.0
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	132	5 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	10.00			1.	a = 1	10.00	113	1	1.4	100
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	- 12			1000			15.00		1.00	1 32	1.5	41 I.C
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17	32		0.1									
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333		53.56	29	54					1				1	
4Ih SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275		62.12	40			67					1			
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576		18			- 20155				1.00		1		1-
MONO COUNTY	5802 2901	3210 1530	1210292808	132											

June 3,2014

				NON	-PARTIS	SAN *S	TATE SE	ENATOR	DISTR	ICT 8TH					
100012															
										0					
				1											
	- 1														
					- 1			1							
				A A		1									
1	_				I		1 1								1
	o l	asi	2		E						1				1
	ati	O	Ŧ	N N N	Υ ^R										
	st	왕	10												
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	PAULINA MIRANDA	TOM BERRYHILL										
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	42	84					1					
1 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	41	86 0									_	
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0							-			-	
2 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	20 29	57										
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	29	107			6 6					1		
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63	34	82										
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	0	1										
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	38 25 54	115										
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	25	48										
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32,18		49				0	1					1
6 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	1	0 68					1		1			
6 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225	159	70.67	73 71	68									-	
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	71	82						1				1
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	90	87		1					1000	1.	5 101	
000 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	64	47					1.1	1.00				
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71	52	53							1			
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	64	49				0						
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	87	97 48							1			
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	54	48										
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	90 64 52 64 87 54 92 0	65						1 C	1.5			
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0	0										
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vot	264	181	68.56	102	60				1		1				
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	75	60 70 78		1	1							
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89	102 75 73 66	78					1			1.1.1		
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78	66	44 63		1. 1			1		1	1	- To	
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	60	63		1.1	-		100		1.0	4		100
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	491	580										
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.13	816	960						-		-		-
	EDOD	3210	55.33	1307	1540		1		1.1	100			194.8		4 15
Grand Totals	5802 5802	3210	55.33	1307	1540			1			-		14		
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	1307	1540									1	
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802		55.33	1307	1540			1					<u>1</u>	1	1
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	1010000	55.33	1307	1540										
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802		55,33	1307	1540		1.1.1		1.3			1.2.2	5 2.3	0.05	111
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	720		48.33	148	1.			E		1995		S 11 21 2			
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17	321	402		1 2							a line	
and SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	10.5 M	53.56	304	311										
79.7 T	1333	11.54010	62.12	262	459		1							1	
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	0.001	57.20	272	220							-			
MONO COUNTY	5802		55.33	1307							10.1		- H	1 1 1	5
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	226293	52.74	687	614							-0			1.

June 3,2014

				NON	-PAR	TISAN *	STATE A	SSEMB	LY DIST	RICT 5					
00013			r							[- 1	1	
						8 8					1 1	1		1	
								1					1		i.
1												- 1			
										1					
				- P									- 1		
						6 6									
				≥							1 1				
	5	ast	8	1 2 1			1 1								t i
1	at l	U	#	8							1 1				
	ist	ti li	ğ	¥								1		1	
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	FRANK BIGELOW											
ANTELODE 0001	481	131	27.23	96								11			-
1 ANTELOPE 0001 1 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	94											
2 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	O											
2 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	64											
3 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	118											
3 BRIDGEPORT - Vole By Mail	380	124	32.63	88		1									
D4 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	1			1 1					20			
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	124											
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23,56	51		1									
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32.18	65			1 1						0		
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	1			1 1								
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225	159	70.67	85				0					-		
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	105											
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	112											
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29,71	66 64 73 135 65 99 0 77 107											
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71	64											
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	73											
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	135					- C				7		
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	65											
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	99							1 C C				1 < 1
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0											
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vot	264	181	68.56	77											12
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	107											
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89	95 74			()		-						
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78							1.1				1.3	1
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	81			1					1.0		3 2	1.00
A sub-		4020	21.20	757											
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	/ .											
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.13	1183							1	1.0		1.0	1.000
		0040	65.00	1940							1.500	1.00 3		Pete	1.5.5
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	1940		1. 2	1			14				1	
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210 3210	55.33 55.33	1940											
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802 5802			1940					1				12		
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT			Contract and	1940											
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802 5802		a set of a set of	1940				1 .	1	1.2				6 I.N	
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	720	1	1. Control	202		10.0	1.								
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	100804T		483					X		1		-		
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	10000	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	410											4
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333			526				1					1	1	
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	12/5			319						-	_	1			-
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	5802			1940			7	1			0	1	100	1.1	
MONO COUNTY TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1	and the second	859					_			1 200	1	1	-

June 3,2014

100014					NO	N-PART	ISAN *S	SUP OF	PUBLI		UCTION					
					r r						1					
																1
	_				3	- X	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						1			
	ē	asi	<u>@</u>		<u>\$</u>	ž I	5									
		U	Ψ.		ן גַר	3	<u>.</u>									
	st	- Se	<u></u> <u></u>			H	4				1					
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)		TOM TORLAKSON	MARSHALL TUCK	LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ									
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	-		₹ 39	35	_	<u> </u>							
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28,48		45 38	34	41									
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196		0.00		0	0	0									
02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41,33		29	21	24							1		
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53		49	38	32							1		
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32,63		54	20	31				1		1			
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0,61	-	0	20	1				_	1.00	-			
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48,79		57	42	38									=
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56		23	15	28					1.1.1.1.1	· · · ·	1.1		
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32,18		42	19	26									
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44		1	о	0							1		
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225	159	70.67		67	25	27		1							
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24,08		62	35	33									
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28,36		83	38	34									
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29,71		41	25 29	29									
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote I	414	123	29.71		46	29	15									
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68		48	27	27									
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28,68		73	39	45									
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23,22		36	27	23						100			
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33,59	=	65	41	29									
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0,00		0	0	0			2						1.11
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vol	264	181	68.56		73	34	32 29									
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24_44		59	30	29									
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23,89		58	37	24									
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27,78		46	19	22							1.1	1.1	1.1.1.1
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29,84		58	30	18			1	1 million - 1		01.110	100		10.000
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21,20		410	255	259							1000		
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34,13		743	409	384					_				
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55,33		1153	664	643		$h \geq 1$			uñ	1 =	100		1
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33		1153	664	643				P / ()	1 E	1522	10.000	E 801.	1.1.1
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33		1153	664	643									
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33		1153	664	643									
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55,33		1153	664	643									
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55,33		1153	664	643		1.1.1			10.01		1.77		1.0
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33		117	67	53			111, 11	11 U.S.	E.1.75	$K \ge 1$	1.12		1.1
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17		304	170	162		1			S. a. W			1 - 2 -	2
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	714	53,56		254	125	153									
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	792	62,12		273	185	183							1		
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20		205	117	92									
MONO COUNTY	5802	3210	55.33		1153	664	643									
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	52.74		530	304	261									1

June 3,2014

				NO	N-PAF	RTISAN	*COUN	TY SL	JP. O	F SCH	OOLS						
00015								-			0	1	-1				
														- 1	1		
				1 1	(1	- 1	31				- 1			
									- 1								
								1				4					
								1									
				1 1			1										
	5	st	3	1 Ei				- E									
	ați	ပီ	5	I I			1					1					
	st	ts	5	L L								4					
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	STACEY ADLER													
			E								-	1-	-				
1 ANTELOPE 0001	481 481	131 137	27.23 28.48	89 92													
1 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	13/	0.00	0													
2 BENTON - MB 0002 2 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	67													
3 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	105													
3 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail-	380	124	32.63	82					- 2								
A CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	1								1			-	5 3	
4 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	117					- 11								
5 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	57													
5 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32.18	83													0
6 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	.1													
6 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225	159	70.67	103													
7 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	122			1						- 3				1.4
7 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	139									- 1		1.1	1.1.1	1.1
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29,71	90							-						
B MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71	81					- 1		1		- 1				1
9 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	101								1					
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	163					_			1-	-		-		
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	85					1.1			1					
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	121							15 -						
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0									- 1				
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vot	264	181	68.56	112									- 1				
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	123		1											
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23,89	110					- 1				- 1				1
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78	83		10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-			1				1			1000	1
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	102		-	1		1							1	100
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21,20	857													
				1372													
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34,13	13/2				100									1 - 2
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	2229											1.		
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	2229							-	-1					
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	2229							1						
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	2229			1										3
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	2229							-						
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	2229										1.0		1 1 -	1.5
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720		48.33	233										10		1 .	
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17	558		1											
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333		53.56	508												1	
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275		62.12	539													
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007		57.20	391			-										-
MONO COUNTY	5802		55.33	2229							1	124			1	-	-
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	52.74	1059			1	-			1	-	-		-		

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

				N	DN-PAR	TISAN	*SUPER	RVISOR	, 1ST DIS	TRICT				
100016														
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	LARRY K. JOHNSTON	BILL SAUSER									137
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	90	76			1						
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vole By M	720	172	23.89	93	65									
Precinct Totals	720	176	24.44	90	76									
Vote By Mail Totals	720	172	23.89	93	65						-			
Grand Totals	720	348	48.33	183	141			1 .		1				
CALIFORNIA	720	348	48,33	183	141									
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	183	141									
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	163	141					í			1	
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	183	141									
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	183	141									
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	183	141		1				-			
MONO COUNTY	720	348	48.33	183										
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	720	348	48.33	183	141			_			-			

8.8

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

100017				NC	DN-PAR	TISAN *	SUPEF		5TH DI	STRICT					
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	STACY CORLESS	GREG ECKERT	KIRK STAPP									
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	61	37	16		1							1
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	87	43	37						1.1			
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78	88	29	15									
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	65	37	35									
Precinct Totals	1007	256	25.42	149	66	31				1	-				
Vote By Mail Totals	1007	320	31.78	152	80	72				1.1			1	1	5.0
Grand Totals	1007	576	57.20	301	146										
CALIFORNIA	1007	576	57.20	301	146										
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	301	146										
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	1007	576		301	146										
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	301	146				1				3		1.
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	301	146					-					
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	301	146				1	1	1				
MONO COUNTY	1007	576		301	146				1						
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	1007	576	57.20	301	146	103		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	_			_	

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

T						MC	ONO COU	INTY						
100018														
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	*ASSESSOR BARRY BECK	ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		◆DISTRICT ATTORNEY TIM KENDALL		*SHERIFF/CORONER RALPH OBENBERGER	INGRID BRAUN				
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	30	100		94		48	79 64				
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	34	92	- 1	94		67	0				
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0	0	- 1	0		0	35				
02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	35	38		68		44	62				
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40,53	83	68		114		66	56		ł		
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vole By Mail	380	124	32.63	63 1	00		1		0	1				1.00
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	62	73		121		57	94		1		1.1
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330 348	161 82	48.79 23.56	42	56 0 73 33 52 0 49		53		58	24				
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	112	32.18	42 46	52		77		64	44				
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail 06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	1	0		1		1	0				
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By Ma	225	159	70.67	93	49		92		51	103				
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	70	74 99		118		59	102		1.1	1	
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	71	99		138		69	121				
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	75 73 73	32 33		78		30	90 81				
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71	73	33		77		37	113				
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	73	42		90		26 63	142				
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	108	42 79 40		142 78		26	86				
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	57			112		40	129				
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	95	61 0		0		40 0	0		1.5	12	C = C
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0	61		101		46	131				
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vol	264	181	68,56	92 82	66		119		49	122			1	
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44 23.89	76	66		110		48	116			1	1
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720 486	172	27.78	76 71	43		93		30	101				
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013		145	29.84	72	49		98		48	93			1	1.
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	400									-				
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	585	498		839		418	780				
1				920	808		1324		700	1209				
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.13	920			1000		1	1		10 3		1
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	1505	1306	Ē	2163		1118	1989				
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	1505	1306		2163		1118	1989			1	
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	1000		55.33	1505	1306		2163		1118	1989			1	
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802		55.33	1505	1306		2163		1118 1118	1989 1989				
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802		55.33	1505	1306		2163 2163		1118	1989	- A.	1 2.4	-	
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802		55,33	1505	1.		2103		97	238	1.00	1.1.1		
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	a. contract.	48.33	158	1.		547		275	484	5 . S.			and S.
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467		53.17	331	345 255		455		263	426				
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333		53.56	363 358			551		339	432				
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275		62.12	295	11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1		381		144	409			_	
	120000	1					2163	1	1118	1989		-		
		0.531/0	- COMPANY	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 100.00		997		397	1073		and the second	1	- V
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT MONO COUNTY TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	1007 5802 2901	3210	57.20 55.33 52.74	295 1505 782	1306		2163		1118	1989				

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

						MONO COUN	TY						
100018											1		
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	^ASSESSOR BARRY BECK	ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL	•DISTRICT ATTORNEY TIM KENDALL	•SHERIFF/CORONER RALPH OBENBERGER	INGRID BRAUN					
		Ball											
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	30	100	94 94	48	79 64					
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	34	92	0	0	0					
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0 35	0 38	68	44	35					
02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	35	38		91	62				1	
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	83	68	114 94	66	56					
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63	63	56 0	94	0	1					
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	1	0			94					
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	62	73 33 52 0	121	57 58	24					
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	42 46	33	53 77	64	44					
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32.18	46	52		1	0					
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	1	0	1	51	103					
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M	225	159	70.67	93 70	49 74	92	59	102	1			1	
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	70	74	118	69	121					
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	71 75 73 73	99 32 33 42	138		90			(n. n.)		1.2
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	75	32	78 77	30 37	81					
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29,71	73	33	77	37	113					
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68		42	90	26 63	142					1
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	108	79	142	0.5	86					
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	57	79 40 61	78	26						
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	95		112	40	129		5			1.1
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	1					
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vot	264	181	68.56	92 82 76	61	101	46	131					
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	82	66 66	119	49	122					
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89	76	66	110	48	116			1.0		
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78	71	43	93	30	101			10.11	1.0115	-
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	72	49	98	48	93		5215 U		1.00	
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	585	498	839	418	780					
		1000	01.10	920	808	1324	700	1209					1
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34.13	520	000			1000		- 2.	1.1	12.5	
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	1505	1306	2163	1118	1989		-	1.20		0.5 2
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	1505	1306	2163	1118	1989					
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1505	1306	2163	1118	1989					1
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1505	1306	2163	1118	1989					
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1505	1306	2163	1118	1 172529				1	
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1505	1306	2163	1118	13-25.3			1.1		
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720		48.33	158	132	229	97			1.1	1.1.1.2	-	1111
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17	331	345	547	275	5.023			1		
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	1231.12	53.56	363	255	455	263	11223				9a -	
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	62.12	358	381	551	339	1225					
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007		57.20	295	193	381	144	1				and the local data	
MONO COUNTY	5802	120.000	55.33	1505	1306	2163	1118	0.000		1 2	1	1.122	15.5
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	10000	52.74	782	511	997	397	1073		-	1	1000	-

3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES

MONO COUNTY

MONO COUNTY Statement of Vote MONO_20140603_E

MONO COUNTY *DISTRICT ATTORNEY TIM KENDALL *SHERIFF/CORONER RALPH OBENBERGER ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL NGRID BRAUN Registration Ballots Cast Turnout (%) BARRY BECK A SSESSOR 27.23 34 01 ANTELOPE 0001 28.48 01 ANTELOPE - Vole By Mail ¢ 0.00 02 BENTON - MB 0002 02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail 41.33 40.53 03 BRIDGEPORT 0003 32.63 03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail 57 0.61 04 CHALFANT - MB 0004 42 33 04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma 48.79 23.56 05 JUNE LAKE 0005 32,18 05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail 06 LEE VINING - MB 0006 0.44 70 70.67 06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By M 24.08 07 LONG VALLEY 0007 28.36 07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail 08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008 29.71 08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote 29.71 18.68 09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009 28.68 09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote I 95 10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001 23.22 10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot 33.59 46 0.00 11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001 82 11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vol 68.56 24.44 12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012 23,89 12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M 13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013 27.78 29.84 13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma Precinct Totals 21.20 34.13 Vote By Mail Totals 55.33 Grand Totals 55.33 CALIFORNIA 55.33 8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT 55.33 55.33 5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 55.33 1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 48.33 1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 53.17 2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT

53.56

62.12

57.20

55.33

52.74

June 3,2014

100021			NON-F	PARTISAN '											
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	SHIELDS RICHARDSON	JOHN WENTWORTH	ELENA BLOMGREN	KENNETH MURRAY	COLIN FERNIE	DEBORAH 'DEB' PIERREL	CLELAND HOFF	KAREN SIBERT				
0008 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	67	64	22	8	42	12	40	59 61				
8 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71	52	67	21	8	57	18	31				1.1	
9 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18.68	73	94	17	6	69	17	40	47				
9 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	123	133	26	14	108	35	56	80				
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	54	69	28	7	59	14	33	53				1
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33.59	98	99	35	12	77	18	35	78 76 77				
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44	78	91	38	6	83	31	46 31	70				
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	172	23.89	87	80	34	12	83 75 75	23		45		1.1.1		
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27.78	69	86	29	6		12	34 28	45				
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29.84	73	72	31	15	66	28	28	52				
Precinct Totals	2901	697	24.03	341	404	134	35	328	86	193	280				
Vote By Mail Totals	2901	833	28.71	433	451	147	61	383	122	181	348				
Grand Totals	2901	1530	52.74	774	855	281	96	711	208	374	628				
CALIFORNIA	2901	1530	52.74	774	855	281	96	711	208	374	628				
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	2901	1530	52,74	774	855	281	96	711	208	374	628		-		
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	2901	1530	52.74	774	855	281	96	711	208	374	628		1.1	1	
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	2901	1530	52.74	774	855	281	96	711	208	374	628	0		1	
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	2901	1530	52.74	774	855	281	96	711	208	374	628				
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	165	171	72	18	158	54	77	153				
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	760	360	47.37	196	227	43	22	177	52	96	127				
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	414	246	59.42	119	131	43	16	99	30	71	120				
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	294	326	123	40	277	72	130	228		-		
MONO COUNTY	2901	1530	52.74	774	855	281	96	711	208	374	628				1.1
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	52.74	774	855	281	96	711	208	374	628			1.1.1	

June 3,2014

140000						CALIFO	RNIA							
140022						 			·				r	
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	PROP 41 YES		PROP 42 YES								
01 ANTELOPE 0001	481	1 31	27,23	₩ 2 2 67	9 58	 ₩ ₩ 66	9 56	_	ļ					
01 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	27.23	77	5d 48	74	47							1.1.2
02 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0	0	0	0				1.1			1
02 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	36	41	33	43							
03 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	78	63	47	93							
03 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32,63	64	55	53	93 67							
04 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	1	1	0	1							
04 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48,79	62	75	68	84							
05 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	50	30	39	36							
05 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348		32.18	62	40	47	47							
06 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	112			40	4/							F	1
06 LEE VINING - MB - Vote By Mi	225	1	0 44 70 67	1	61		0							
07 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	159 163	24.08	80	75	61 65	77 86							
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	93	83	78	94				1.4			
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	74	38	56	46							-
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote I	414	123	29.71	74	48	52	40							
09 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18,68	72	40 54	69	52							
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28,68	111	83	97	92							
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	72	35	58	45		-	-				
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521				63						1.0			100
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001		175	33.59	100		100	62			1.1		1. 1. 1. 1.		
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Vol	264	0	0.00	0	0	0	0		1.000				100	
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	264	181	68,56	116	52 55	104	58							
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720	176	24,44	93		79	57				5			
	720	172	23,89	97	57	91	60				1			
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	135	27,78	77	41	56	56					2.1		1.2.3
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	486	145	29,84	62	65	61	58		-	1000	1		10.00	
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	665	450	536	528							
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34,13	1044	771	919	850							
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221	1455	1378							1
CALIFORNIA	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221	1455	1378							123.
8th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221	1455	1378							
8th STATE SENATE DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221	1455	1378							
5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55 33	1709	1221	1455	1378							
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221	1455	1378		8 3 1	14-0	11 10 100	100		
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	190	112	170	117				1.1	1		10.4
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17	408	327	348	366						1.1.1	1.1
3rd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	714	53.56	369	268	314	304							
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	792	62.12	431	310	348	370							
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1007	576	57.20	311	204	275	221							
MONO COUNTY	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221	1455	1378				1000			
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	52.74	829	539	719	589						1.2.3	1.62

June 3,2014

4 40000							CALIFOR	NIA						
140022	T T				ľ									
										n j				8
1														
	6	ast	8	- bu										
	Lati	Ű	Ť				~							
	ist	st	2	4	1		4							
	Registration	Ballots Cast	Turnout (%)	PROP 41 YES	2		PROP 42 YES	£						
ANTELOPE 0001	481	131	27.23	67	58	- 1	66	56	-		-			1
1 ANTELOPE - Vote By Mail	481	137	28.48	77	48		74 0	47						
2 BENTON - MB 0002	196	0	0.00	0	0			0						
2 BENTON - MB - Vote By Mail	196	81	41.33	36	41		33	43		· · · · ·				
3 BRIDGEPORT 0003	380	154	40.53	36 78	63		47	93						
3 BRIDGEPORT - Vote By Mail	380	124	32.63	64	55		53	67						
4 CHALFANT - MB 0004	330	2	0.61	1	1	8	0	1					1. I.	1.1
4 CHALFANT - MB - Vote By Ma	330	161	48.79	82 50	75 30 40		68	84						
5 JUNE LAKE 0005	348	82	23.56	50	30		39	36						
5 JUNE LAKE - Vote By Mail	348	112	32.18	62	40		47	47						
6 LEE VINING - MB 0006	225	1	0.44	1	0		া	0						
6 LEE VINING - MB - Vole By M	225	159	70.67	73	61		61	77			-	· · · · ·		
7 LONG VALLEY 0007	677	163	24.08	80	75		65	86			6.00			
07 LONG VALLEY - Vote By Mail	677	192	28.36	93	83 38		78 56	94						
0008 MAMMOTH MEADOW 0008	414	123	29.71	74	38		56	46						
08 MAMMOTH MEADOW - Vote	414	123	29.71	71	48 54		52 69 97	61						
9 MAMMOTH MINARET 0009	760	142	18,68	72	54		69	52 92						
09 MAMMOTH MINARET - Vote E	760	218	28.68	111	83 35 63		58	45						
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST 001	521	121	23.22	72	35		100	62	s		2			
10 MAMMOTH PINECREST - Vot	521	175	33,59	100			100	02						
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB 001	264	0	0.00	0	0		104	58				_		
11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB - Voi	264	181	68.56	116	52		79	57					1	1
12 MAMMOTH VIEW 0012	720	176	24.44 23.89	93 97	55 57		91	60						1
12 MAMMOTH VIEW - Vote By M	720 486	172 135	27.78	77	41		56	56			1.1		1	1.0
13 OLD MAMMOTH 0013	486	145	29.84	62	65		61	58			1.0	1.1	a	
13 OLD MAMMOTH - Vote By Ma	460	140	29.04	02	~						1.128	1.151	1.11	1.1
Precinct Totals	5802	1230	21.20	665	450		536	528						
								2000	6					
Vote By Mail Totals	5802	1980	34,13	1044	771		919	850						
				4700	1221		1455	1378			1.00	100		10
Grand Totals	5802	3210	55.33 55.33	1709	1221		1455	1378	11 × 11	= 0 =	1 1		1.2	
CALIFORNIA Bth CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	5802 5802	3210 3210	55.33	1709	1221		1455	1378						
The second s	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221		1455	1378						
Bth STATE SENATE DISTRICT 5th ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221		1455	1378						
1st EQUALIZATION DISTRICT	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221		1455	1378		1.1	1.1.1	1.2010	- L.	21 =
1st SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	720	348	48.33	190	112		170	117			21 12	1 . 6		1.1
2nd SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1467	780	53.17	408	327		348	366	A	1	1.5		1.1	
and SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1333	714	53.56	369	268		314	304						
4th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1275	792	62.12	431	310		348	370					1	
5th SUPERVISOR DISTRICT	1273	576	57.20	311	204		275	221						
MONO COUNTY	5802	3210	55.33	1709	1221		1455	1378						
TOWN OF MAMMOTH LAKES	2901	1530	52.74	829	539		719	589	-		-	-		

MONO_20140603_E June 3, 2014 Summary Report Mono County

Official

Registration & Turnout		5,802	Voters	*State Controller (cont'd)			100.00%
Election Day Turnout		1,230	21.20%	REP - DAVID EVANS			34.18%
Vote By Mail Turnout		1,980	34.13%	REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		466	17.31%
	Total	3,210	55.33%		Total	2,692 1	00.00%
GOVERNOR		13/13	100.00%	*State Treasurer		13/13	100.00%
GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		62	2.08%	GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		226	8.04%
REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		22	0.74%	REP - GREG CONLON		•	43.33%
DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		1,454	48.69%	DEM - JOHN CHIANG		1,367	48.63%
NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		3	0.10%		Total	2,811 ⁻	100.00%
REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		199	6.66%				
NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		14	0.47%	*Attorney General		13/13	100.00%
REP - GLENN CHAMP		115	3.85%	REP - PHIL WYMAN		428	15,32%
REP - NEEL KASHKARI		313	10.48%	REP - DAVID KING		108	3.87%
REP - TIM DONNELLY		589	19.73%	NON - ORLY TAITZ		114	4.08%
NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		26	0.87%	DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		1,301	46.58%
DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		30	1.00%	REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		422	15.11%
REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		43	1.44%	LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		118	4.22%
NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		53	1.77%	REP - RONALD GOLD		302	10.81%
PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		52	1.74%		T-4-1	2 702	100.00%
NON - JOE LEICHT		11	0.37%		Total	2,195	100.007
	Total	2,986	100.00%	*Insurance Commissioner		13/13	100.009
				PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		187	6.75
Lieutenant Governor		13/13	100.00%	DEM - DAVE JONES		1,258	45.389
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		42		REP - TED GAINES		1,327	47.87
REP - GEORGE YANG		193			Total	2 772	100.00%
DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		159			10tal	2,2	
REP - DAVID FENNELL		257		*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st		13/13	100.00
PF - AMOS JOHNSON		42		REP - GEORGE RUNNER		1.504	55.85
DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM			44.20%	DEM - CHRIS PARKER			44.15
REP - RON NEHRING			27.79%	DEM - CHRIS PAINER		,	
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		97			Total	2,693	100.00%
	Total	2,821	100.00%	*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th		13/13	100.00
*Secretary of State		13/13	3 100.00%	REP - PAUL COOK		1,324	46.47
			3 11.04%	DEM - BOB CONAWAY		862	30.26
DEM - LELAND YEE		154		REP - PAUL HANNOSH		300	10.53
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		146		DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		363	3 12.74
GRN - DAVID CURTIS DEM - ALEX PADILLA			2 21.56%		Total	2 849	100.00
			36.53%		Total	2,040	
REP - PETE PETERSON DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		162		*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th		13/13	3 100.00
REP - ROY ALLMOND		234		DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		1.30	7 45.91
NON - DAN SCHNUR		137		REP - TOM BERRYHILL			54.09
NON - DAN SCHNOR	Total		100.00%		Total		100.00
		,					
*State Controller	_		3 100.00% 6 20.65%	*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			3 100.00 0 100.00
DEM - BETTY T. YEE				REP - FRANK BIGELOW		1,04	
GRN - LAURA WELLS		22			Total	1,940) 100.00
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		14					
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		38	0 14.12%			-	age 1 o
June 40, 2014 2:26 DM							aye I (

June 10, 2014 3:36 PM

÷.

MONO_20140603_E

June 3, 2014

Summary Report

Mono County

Official

Sup of Public Instruction		13/13 100.00%	Prop 41 (cont'd)		13/13 100.00
NON - TOM TORLAKSON		1,153 46.87%	NO		1,221 41.67
NON - MARSHALL TUCK		664 26.99%		Total	2,930 100.00
NON - LYDIA A, GUTIÉRREZ		643 26.14%			
	Total	2,460 100.00%	Prop 42		13/13 100.0
			YES	۵. ۵	1,455 51.3
County Sup. Of Schools		13/13 100.00%	NO		1,378 48.6
NON - STACEY ADLER		2,229 100.00%		Total	2,833 100.0
	Total	2,229 100.00%			
SUPERVISOR, 1st DISTRICT		1/1 100.00%			
NON - LARRY K. JOHNSTON		183 56.48%			
NON - BILL SAUSER		141 43 52%			
	Total	324 100.00%			
SUPERVISOR, 5th DISTRICT		2/2 100.00%			
NON - STACY CORLESS		301 54.73%			
NON - GREG ECKERT		146 26.55%			
NON - KIRK STAPP		103 18.73%			
	Total	550 100.00%			
ASSESSOR		13/13 100.00%			
NON - BARRY BECK		1,505 53.54%			
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		1,306 46.46%			
	Total	2,811 100.00%			
		13/13 100.00%			
NON - TIM KENDALL		2,163 100.00%			
	Tetal				
	Total	2,163 100.00%			
*SHERIFF/CORONER		13/13 100.00%			
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		1,118 35.98%			
NON - INGRID BRAUN		1,989 64.02%			
	Total	3,107 100.00%			
Member of Town Council TOWN OF N	IAI	5/5 100.00%			
NON - SHIELDS RICHARDSON		774 19.71%			
NON - JOHN WENTWORTH		855 21.77%			
NON - ELENA BLOMGREN		281 7.16%			
NON - KENNETH MURRAY		96 2.44%			
NON - COLIN FERNIE		711 18.11%			
NON - DEBORAH 'DEB' PIERREL		208 5.30%			
NON - CLELAND HOFF		374 9.52%			
NON - KAREN SIBERT		628 15.99%)		
	Total	3,927 100.00%			
Prop 41		13/13 100.00%)		
Official

01	AN'	TEL	.OP	Έ
----	-----	-----	-----	---

01 ANTELOPE

		481	*State Treasurer			(Final)
	131				19	7.88%
						51.04%
					99	41.08%
lotal	268			Total	241	100.00%
						(Final)
						19.05%
						3.97%
						4.37%
						34.52%
						18.25%
						4.76%
			REP - RONALD GOLD		38	15.08%
				Total	252	100.00%
		0.00%	*Insurance Commissioner			(Final)
		1.16%			13	5.42%
						33.33%
	5					61.25%
	4	1.55%	KEP - TED GAINES			
	1	0.39%		lotal	240	100.00%
Total	258	100.00%	*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Final)
		(Final)	REP - GEORGE RUNNER			66.80%
	4	1.59%	DEM - CHRIS PARKER		80	33.20%
	18			Total	241	100.00%
	14		*US DEDDESENTATIVE 8th			(Final)
	26	10.36%			131	52.19%
	2		KEP - PAGE COOK			16.33%
	87		DEMI-BOB CONAVAT			17.93%
	96					13.55%
	4	1.59%	DEMI-ODESSIAD. LEE	- / -		
Total	251	100.00%		Total	251	100.00%
10141	201		*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Final)
			DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		83	32.81%
			KEF - TOW BERKTHIEL		170	67.19%
				Total	253	100.00%
						(Final
			*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			
	50				400	100 000/
	104	42.11%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW		190	100.00%
	1 04 10	42.11% 4.05%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW	Total	190 190	
	104 10 32	42.11% 4.05% 12.96%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW	Total		100.00%
	1 04 10	42.11% 4.05%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW	Total	190	100.00% (Final
Total	104 10 32	42.11% 4.05% 12.96%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW *Sup of Public Instruction NON - TOM TORLAKSON	Total	190 83	100.00% (Final 35.78%
Total	104 10 32 15	42.11% 4.05% 12.96% 6.07%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW *Sup of Public Instruction NON - TOM TORLAKSON NON - MARSHALL TUCK	Total	190	100.00% (Final 35.78% 31.47%
Total	104 10 32 15 247	42.11% 4.05% 12.96% 6.07% 100.00% (Final)	REP - FRANK BIGELOW *Sup of Public Instruction NON - TOM TORLAKSON NON - MARSHALL TUCK NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ		190 83 73 76	100.00% (Final) 35.78% 31.47% 32.76%
Total	104 10 32 15 247 36	42.11% 4.05% 12.96% 6.07% 100.00% (Final) 14.69%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW *Sup of Public Instruction NON - TOM TORLAKSON NON - MARSHALL TUCK NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ	Total Total	190 83 73	100.00% (Final 35.78% 31.47% 32.76% 100.00%
Total	104 10 32 15 247 36 9	42.11% 4.05% 12.96% 6.07% 100.00% (Final) 14.69% 3.67%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW *Sup of Public Instruction NON - TOM TORLAKSON NON - MARSHALL TUCK NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ *County Sup. Of Schools		190 83 73 76	100.00% (Final 35.78% 31.47% 32.76% 100.00%
Total	104 10 32 15 247 36 9 14	42.11% 4.05% 12.96% 6.07% 100.00% (Final) 14.69% 3.67% 5.71%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW *Sup of Public Instruction NON - TOM TORLAKSON NON - MARSHALL TUCK NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ *County Sup. Of Schools NON - STACEY ADLER		190 83 73 76	100.00% (Final 35.78% 31.47% 32.76% 100.00% (Final
Total	104 10 32 15 247 36 9 14 35	42.11% 4.05% 12.96% 6.07% 100.00% (Final) 14.69% 3.67% 5.71% 14.29%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW *Sup of Public Instruction NON - TOM TORLAKSON NON - MARSHALL TUCK NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ *County Sup. Of Schools NON - STACEY ADLER		83 73 76 232	100.00% (Final) 35.78% 31.47% 32.76% 100.00% (Final) 100.00%
Total	104 10 32 15 247 36 9 14	42.11% 4.05% 12.96% 6.07% 100.00% (Final) 14.69% 3.67% 5.71% 14.29% 41.22%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW *Sup of Public Instruction NON - TOM TORLAKSON NON - MARSHALL TUCK NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ *County Sup. Of Schools NON - STACEY ADLER	Total	190 83 73 76 232 181	100.00% 100.00% (Final) 35.78% 31.47% 32.76% 100.00% (Final) 100.00% (Final)
	Total Total	4 2 87 1 24 1 14 43 67 0 3 3 2 5 4 1 7 5 4 1 7 7 5 4 1 7 5 4 1 7 8 7 96 4 3	131 27.23% 137 28.48% 137 28.48% 268 55.72% (Final) 4 4 1.55% 2 0.78% 87 33.72% 1 0.39% 24 9.30% 1 0.39% 14 5.43% 43 16.67% 67 25.97% 0 0.00% 3 1.16% 2 0.78% 5 1.94% 4 1.55% 1 0.39% Total 258 100.00% (Final) 4 1.59% 14 5.58% 26 10.36% 2 0.80% 87 34.66% 96 38.25% 4 1.59% Total 251 100.00% (Final) 19 7.69% 16 6.48% 16	137 28.48% REP - GREG CONLON Total 268 55.72% DEM - JOHN CHIANG 4 1.55% *Attorney General 2 0.78% REP - PHIL WYMAN 87 33.72% REP - DAVID KING 1 0.39% NON - ORLY TAITZ 24 9.30% DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS 1 0.39% REP - JOHN HAGGERTY 14 5.43% LIB - JONATHAN JAECH 43 16.67% REP - RONALD GOLD 67 25.97% - 0 0.00% + 14 5.43% LIB - JONATHAN JAECH 70 0.00% + 155 1.94% REP - RONALD GOLD 2 0.78% DEM - DAVE JONES 3 1.16% PF - NATHALIE HRIZI 1 0.39% PE - TED GAINES 1 0.39% *US REPRESENTATIVE 8th 26 10.36% *US REPRESENTATIVE 8th 26 10.36% *US REP - PAUL COOK <tr< td=""><td>131 27.23% GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN 137 28.48% REP - GREG CONLON 137 28.48% DEM - JOHN CHIANG 268 55.72% DEM - JOHN CHIANG 4 1.55% *Attorney General 2 0.78% REP - PHIL WYMAN 87 33.72% REP - DAVID KING 1 0.39% NON - ORLY TAITZ 24 9.30% DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS 1 0.39% REP - JOHN HAGGERTY 14 5.43% LIB - JONATHAN JAECH 43 16.67% REP - RONALD GOLD 67 25.97% Total 0 0.00% *Insurance Commissioner 96 3.1.66 PF - NATHALIE HRIZI 0 0.08% REP - TED GAINES 1 0.39% Total 70tal (Final) *Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st 70tal 10.03% REP - PAUL COOK 2 0.80% DEM - CHRIS PARKER 14 5.58% NEP -</td><td>131 27.23% GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN 19 137 28.48% REP - GREG CONLON 123 Total 268 55.72% DEM - JOHN CHIANG 99 (Final) </td></tr<>	131 27.23% GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN 137 28.48% REP - GREG CONLON 137 28.48% DEM - JOHN CHIANG 268 55.72% DEM - JOHN CHIANG 4 1.55% *Attorney General 2 0.78% REP - PHIL WYMAN 87 33.72% REP - DAVID KING 1 0.39% NON - ORLY TAITZ 24 9.30% DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS 1 0.39% REP - JOHN HAGGERTY 14 5.43% LIB - JONATHAN JAECH 43 16.67% REP - RONALD GOLD 67 25.97% Total 0 0.00% *Insurance Commissioner 96 3.1.66 PF - NATHALIE HRIZI 0 0.08% REP - TED GAINES 1 0.39% Total 70tal (Final) *Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st 70tal 10.03% REP - PAUL COOK 2 0.80% DEM - CHRIS PARKER 14 5.58% NEP -	131 27.23% GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN 19 137 28.48% REP - GREG CONLON 123 Total 268 55.72% DEM - JOHN CHIANG 99 (Final)

MONO_20140603_E June 3, 2014

Precinct Report

Mono County

Official

01 ANTELOPE

02 BENTON - MB

*ASSESSOR			(Final)	Registration & Turnout
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		192	75.00%	Election Day Turnout
	Total	256	100.00%	Vote By Mail Turnout
*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)	
NON - TIM KENDALL		188	100.00%	*GOVERNOR
	Total	188	100.00%	GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ
			(Final)	REP - ALMA MARIE WINST
*SHERIFF/CORONER				DEW - EDWUND G. JERR
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		115	44.57%	NON - JANEL HYESHIA BL
NON - INGRID BRAUN		143	55.43%	REP - ANDREW BLOUNT
	Total	258	100.00%	NON - RAKESH KUMAR CI
Prop 41			(Final)	REP - GLENN CHAMP
YES		144	57.60%	REP - NEEL KASHKARI
NO		106	42.40%	REP - TIM DONNELLY
	Total	250	100.00%	NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMB DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE
Prop 42			(Final)	
YES		140	57.61%	NON DODEDT NEMANA
NO		103	42.39%	PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN
	Total	243	100.00%	

Registration & rumout			
Election Day Turnout		0	0.00%
Vote By Mail Turnout		81	41.33%
	Total	81	41.33%
*GOVERNOR			(Final)
GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		0	0.00%
REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		1	1.28%
DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		21	26.92%
NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%
REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		8	10.26%
NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		2	2.56%
REP - GLENN CHAMP		2	2.56%
REP - NEEL KASHKARI		9	11.54%
REP - TIM DONNELLY		24	30.77%
NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ	2	0	0.00%
DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		1	1.28%
REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		2	2.56%
NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		6	7.69%
PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		2	2.56%
NON - JOE LEICHT		0	0.00%
	Total	78	0.00%
*Lieutenant Governor			(Final)
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		4	5.33%
REP - GEORGE YANG		5	6.67%
DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		1	1.33%
REP - DAVID FENNELL		9	12.00%
PF - AMOS JOHNSON		1	1.33%
DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		15	20.00%
REP - RON NEHRING		37	49.33%
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		3	4.00%
	Total	75	100.00%
*Secretary of State			(Final
DEM - LELAND YEE		8	10.39%
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		3	3.90%
GRN - DAVID CURTIS		2	2.60%
DEM - ALEX PADILLA		12	15.58%
REP - PETE PETERSON		37	48.05%
DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		2	2.60%
REP - ROY ALLMOND		13	16.88%
NON - DAN SCHNUR		0	0.00%
	Total	77	0.00%
*State Controller			(Final
DEM - BETTY T. YEE		12	16.22%
GRN - LAURA WELLS		3	4.05%
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		1	1.35%
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		5	6.76%
REP - DAVID EVANS		39	52.70%
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		14	18.92%
	Total	74	100.00%

196

MONO_20140603_E June 3, 2014 Precinct Report

Mono County

Official

02 BENTON - MB

2 BENTON - MB				02 BENTON - MB			
State Treasurer			(Final)	ASSESSOR			(Final)
GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		3	3.90%	NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		38	52.05%
REP - GREG CONLON		45	58.44%		Total	73	100.00%
DEM - JOHN CHIANG		29	37.66%	*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final
	Total	77	100.00% ⁻	NON - TIM KENDALL		68	100.00%
Attorney General			(Final)		Total	68	100.00%
REP - PHIL WYMAN		23	29.87%				(Final
REP - DAVID KING		1	1.30%	*SHERIFF/CORONER		44	55.70%
NON - ORLY TAITZ		7	9.09%	NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		35	44.30%
DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		18	23.38%	NON - INGRID BRAUN			100.00%
REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		12	15.58%		Total	79	
LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		4	5.19%	Prop 41			(Final
REP - RONALD GOLD		12	15.58%	YES		36	46.75%
	Total	77	100.00%	NO		41	53.25%
Insurance Commissioner			(Final)		Total	77	100.00%
PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		4	5.26%	Prop 42			(Final
DEM - DAVE JONES		20	26.32%	YES		33	43.42%
REP - TED GAINES		52	68.42%	NO		43	56.58%
	Total	76	100.00%		Total	76	100.00%
*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Final)				
REP - GEORGE RUNNER		55	73.33%				
DEM - CHRIS PARKER		20	26.67%				
DEMI-CHRIS FANKEN	Total	75	100.00%				
	Total	10					
*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th		50	(Final)				
REP - PAUL COOK		50	64.94% 16.88%				
DEM - BOB CONAWAY		13 8	10.39%				
REP - PAUL HANNOSH		6	7.79%				
DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE	T . 4 . 1		100.00%				
	Total	77					
*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Final)	5/)			
DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		20	25.97%				
REP - TOM BERRYHILL		57	74.03%				
	Total	77	100.00%	D			
*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			(Final	<u>)</u>			
REP - FRANK BIGELOW		64	100.00%	0			
	Total	64	100.00%	, o			
*Sup of Public Instruction			(Final	<u>)</u>			
NON - TOM TORLAKSON		29	39.19%	6			
NON - MARSHALL TUCK		21	28.38%	6			
NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ		24	32.43%	6			
	Total	74	100.00%	6			
*County Sup. Of Schools			(Fina	<u>I)</u>			
NON - STACEY ADLER		67	100.009	%			
*	Total	67	100.009	%			
*******			(Fina	l)			
*ASSESSOR NON - BARRY BECK		35					

MONO_20140603_E

June 3, 2014

Precinct Report

Mono County

Official

03 BRIDGEPORT

03 BRIDGEPORT				03 BRIDGEPORT *State Treasurer			(Final)
Registration & Turnout		154		GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		8	3.17%
Election Day Turnout		154	40.53% 32.63%	REP - GREG CONLON		143	56.75%
Vote By Mail Turnout				DEM - JOHN CHIANG		101	40.08%
	Total	278	73.16%		Total	252	100.00%
GOVERNOR			(Final)		, otanii		(Final)
GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		3	1.15%	*Attorney General		55	
REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		4	1.54%	REP - PHIL WYMAN		55	22.36%
DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		86	33.08%	REP - DAVID KING		10 5	4.07%
NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%	NON - ORLY TAITZ		-	2.03%
REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		35	13.46%	DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		63 67	25.61%
NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		1	0.38%	REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		67	27.24%
REP - GLENN CHAMP		10	3.85%	LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		10	4.07%
REP - NEEL KASHKARI		25	9.62%	REP - RONALD GOLD		36	14.63%
REP - TIM DONNELLY		85	32.69%		Total	246	100.00%
NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		2	0.77%	*Insurance Commissioner			(Final
DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		3	1.15%	PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		6	2.45%
REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		6	2.31%	DEM - DAVE JONES		77	31.439
NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		0	0.00%			162	66.129
PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		0	0.00%	REP - TED GAINES			
NON - JOE LEICHT		0	0.00%		Total	245	100.00
	Total	260	0.00%	*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Fina
Lieutenant Governor			(Final)	REP - GEORGE RUNNER		181	76.37
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		1	0.41%	DEM - CHRIS PARKER		56	23.63
REP - GEORGE YANG		19	7.82%		Total	237	100.00
DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		12		THE REPRESENTATIVE 9th			(Fina
REP - DAVID FENNELL		27	11.11%	bo KEI KEGENTATITE dui		166	65.10
PF - AMOS JOHNSON			0.41%	REP - PAUL COOK		41	16.08
DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		68	27.98%	DEM - BOB CONAWAY		29	11.37
REP - RON NEHRING		109	44.86%	REP - PAUL HANNOSH		25 19	7.45
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		6	2.47%	DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE	_		
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN	Total	243	100.00%		Total	255	100.00
	Total	245		*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Fina
Secretary of State			(Final)	DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		63	25.00
DEM - LELAND YEE		19	7.92%			189	75.00
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		10	4.17%		Total	252	100.00
GRN - DAVID CURTIS		7	2.92%				(Fina
DEM - ALEX PADILLA		41		*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5		206	100.00
REP - PETE PETERSON		117	48.75%				
DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		3	1.25%		Total	206	100.00
REP - ROY ALLMOND		34	14.17%	*Sup of Public Instruction			(Fin
NON - DAN SCHNUR		9	3.75%	NON - TOM TORLAKSON		103	45.98
	Total	240	100.00%	NON - MARSHALL TUCK		58	25.89
*State Controller			(Final)			63	28.13
*State Controller DEM - BETTY T. YEE		29	12.13%	•	Total	224	100.00
GRN - LAURA WELLS		6	2.51%				
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		10	4.18%	*County Sup. Of Schools			(Fin
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		25	10.46%			187	100.00
REP - DAVID EVANS		104	43.51%		Total	187	100.00
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		65		*ASSESSOR			(Fin

MONO_20140603_E

June 3, 2014

Precinct Report

Mono County

Official

04 CHALEANT - MB

03 BRIDGEPORT				04 CHALFANT - MB			330
*ASSESSOR			(Final)	Registration & Turnout		2	
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		124	45.93%	Election Day Turnout		∠ 161	0.61% 48.79%
	Total	270	100.00%	Vote By Mail Turnout			
*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)		Total	163	49.39%
NON - TIM KENDALL		208	100.00%	*GOVERNOR			(Final)
	Total	208	100.00%	GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		2	1.25%
	TOLD			REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		4	2.50%
SHERIFF/CORONER			(Final)	DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		42	26.25%
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		157	57.09%	NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		1	0.63%
NON - INGRID BRAUN		118	42.91%	REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		18	11.25%
	Total	275	100.00%	NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		1	0.63%
Prop 41			(Final)	REP - GLENN CHAMP		7	4.38%
YES		142	54.62%	REP - NEEL KASHKARI		26	16.25%
NO		118	45.38%	REP - TIM DONNELLY		45	28.13%
NO				NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		2	1.25%
	Total	260	100.00%	DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		1	0.63%
Prop 42			(Final)	REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		0	0.00%
YES		100	38.46%	NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		10	6.25%
NO		160	61.54%	PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		1	0.63%
	Total	260	100.00%	NON - JOE LEICHT		0	0.00%
	10tuili.	100			Total	160	0.00%
				*Lieutenant Governor			(Final
				AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		5	3.29%
				REP - GEORGE YANG		22	14.47%
				DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		6	3.95%
				REP - DAVID FENNELL		21	13.82%
				PF - AMOS JOHNSON		0	0.00%
				DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		39	25.66%
				REP - RON NEHRING		51	33.55%
				GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		8	5.26%
					Total	152	100.00%
				*Secretary of State			(Fina
				DEM - LELAND YEE		9	5.84
				DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		3	1.95
				GRN - DAVID CURTIS		14	9.09
				DEM - ALEX PADILLA		24	15.58
3				REP - PETE PETERSON		68	44.16
				DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		6	3.90
				REP - ROY ALLMOND		27	17.53

1.95%
00.00%
(Final)
12.26%
9.03%
3.23%
8.39%
47.74%
19.35%
100.00%
-

MONO_20140603_E June 3, 2014 Precinct Report

Mono County

Official

04 CHALFANT - MB

04 CHALFANT - MB				04 CHALFANT - MB			
State Treasurer			(Final)	*ASSESSOR			(Final
GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		11	7.01%	NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		73	53.68%
REP - GREG CONLON		91	57.96%		Total	136	100.00%
DEM - JOHN CHIANG		55	35.03%	*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Fina
	Total	157	100.00%	NON - TIM KENDALL		122	100.00%
Attorney General			(Final)		Total	122	100.00%
REP - PHIL WYMAN		49	31.41%				(Final
REP - DAVID KING		3	1.92%	*SHERIFF/CORONER		57	37.50%
NON - ORLY TAITZ		10	6.41%	NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		95	62.50%
DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		43	27.56%	NON - INGRID BRAUN			
REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		25	16.03%		Total	152	100.00%
LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		6	3.85%	Prop 41			(Fina
REP - RONALD GOLD		20	12.82%	YES		83	52.20%
	Total	156	100.00%	NO		76	47.80%
Insurance Commissioner			(Final)		Total	159	100.00%
PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		13	8.28%	Prop 42			(Fina
DEM - DAVE JONES		38	24.20%			68	44.449
REP - TED GAINES		106	67.52%	NO		85	55.56%
	Total	157	100.00%		Total	153	100.009
*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Final)				
REP - GEORGE RUNNER		112	73.20%				
DEM - CHRIS PARKER		41	26.80%				
	Total	153	100.00%				
*US DEDDESCNTATIVE 9th			(Final)				
*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th		81	52.60%				
DEM - BOB CONAWAY		27	17.53%				
REP - PAUL HANNOSH		27	17.53%				
DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		19	12.34%				
DEWI-ODEOON D. LEE	Total	154	100.00%				
TATE OFNIATOR DISTRICT SH	T Otdi		(Final)				
*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th		38	24.68%	*			
		116	75.32%				
REP - TOM BERRYHILL	T . (. 1		100.00%				
	Total	154					
*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5		405	(Final				
REP - FRANK BIGELOW		125	100.00%				
	Total	125	100.00%	0			
*Sup of Public Instruction			(Final	4-:			
NON - TOM TORLAKSON		57	41.30%				
NON - MARSHALL TUCK		42	30.43%				
NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ		39	28.26%	6			
	Total	138	100.00%	6			
*County Sup. Of Schools			(Fina	<u>l)</u>			
NON - STACEY ADLER		118	100.00%	/o			
	Total	118	100.00%	/o			
*ASSESSOR			(Fina	l)			
NON - BARRY BECK		63	46.329	÷.			

Official

05 JUNE LAKE

)5 JUNE LAKE				05 JUNE LAKE State Treasurer			(Final)
Registration & Turnout				GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		16	9.41%
Election Day Turnout		82	23.56%	REP - GREG CONLON		84	49.41%
Vote By Mail Turnout		112	32,18%	DEM - JOHN CHIANG		70	41.18%
	Total	194	55.75%		Total	170	100.00%
GOVERNOR			(Final)				(Final)
GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		2	1.10%	Attorney General		22	13.25%
REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		0	0.00%	REP - PHIL WYMAN		4	2.41%
DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		81	44.75%	REP - DAVID KING		8	4.82%
NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%	NON - ORLY TAITZ		80	48.19%
REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		8	4.42%	DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		29	17.47%
NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		1	0.55%	REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		8	4.82%
REP - GLENN CHAMP		9	4.97%	LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		15	9.04%
REP - NEEL KASHKARI		20	11.05%	REP - RONALD GOLD			
REP - TIM DONNELLY		48	26.52%		Total	166	100.00%
NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		2	1.10%	*Insurance Commissioner			(Final)
DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		0	0.00%	PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		18	10.65%
REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		0	0.00%	DEM - DAVE JONES		68	40.24%
NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		1	0.55%	REP - TED GAINES		83	49.11%
PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		8	4.42%	REF - TED GAINED	Total	169	100.00%
NON - JOE LEICHT		1	0.55%		Total	105	
	Total	181	100.00%	*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Final
Lieutenant Governor			(Final)	REP - GEORGE RUNNER		101	60.48%
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		0	0.00%	DEM - CHRIS PARKER		66	39.52%
REP - GEORGE YANG		14	8.09%		Total	167	100.00%
DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		5		*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th			(Fina
REP - DAVID FENNELL		14	8.09%	REP - PAUL COOK		87	49.15%
PF - AMOS JOHNSON		4	2.31%	DEM - BOB CONAWAY		54	30.519
DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		75	43.35%	REP - PAUL HANNOSH		15	8.47
REP - RON NEHRING		54	31.21%	DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		21	11.86
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		7	4.05%	DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE	T - 4 - 1		100.00
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN	Total	173	100.00%		Total	177	
	Totai		(Final)	*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th		70	(Fina
*Secretary of State		40		DEWI - PAULINA MIRANDA		79	44.89
DEM - LELAND YEE		13	7.88%	REP - TOM BERRYHILL		97	55.119
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		9	5.45%		Total	176	100.00
GRN - DAVID CURTIS		15	9.09%	*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			(Fina
DEM - ALEX PADILLA		29				116	100.00
REP - PETE PETERSON		62	37.58%		T = 4 = 1		100.00
DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		13	7.88%		Total	116	
REP - ROY ALLMOND		12	7.27%	*Sup of Public Instruction			(Fina
NON - DAN SCHNUR		12	7.27%	NON - TOM TORLAKSON		65	42.48
	Total	165	100.00%			34	22.22
*State Controller			(Final)	/		54	35.29
DEM - BETTY T. YEE		29	17.58%		Total	153	100.00
GRN - LAURA WELLS		20	12.12%				(Fin
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		7	4.24%	*County Sup. Of Schools	_	140	
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		24	14.55%	NON - STACEY ADLER		140	100.00
REP - DAVID EVANS		51	30.91%		Total	140	100.00
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		34		*ASSESSOR			(Fin
	Total	165	100.00%			88	50.87

Official

06 LEE VINING - MB

05 JUNE LAKE				06 LEE VINING - MB			
*ASSESSOR			(Final)	Registration & Turnout			225
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		85	49.13%	Election Day Turnout		1	0.44%
	Total	173	100.00%	Vote By Mail Turnout		159	70.67%
	rotai				Total	160	71.11%
*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)	*COVERNOR			(Final)
NON - TIM KENDALL		130		*GOVERNOR		8	5.41%
	Total	130	100.00%			0	0.00%
*SHERIFF/CORONER			(Final)	REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		69	46.62%
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		122	64.21%	NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%
NON - INGRID BRAUN		68	35.79%			9	6.08%
	Total	190	100.00%			0	0.00%
	rotal	150				5	3.38%
Prop 41			(Final)	REP - NEEL KASHKARI		7	4.73%
YES		112	61.54%	REP - TIM DONNELLY		39	26.35%
NO		70	38.46%	NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		2	1.35%
	Total	182	100.00%	DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		2	1.35%
Brop 42			(Final)			1	0.68%
Prop 42		86	50.89%			4	2.70%
YES		83	49.11%			1	0.68%
NO						1	0.68%
	Total	169	100.00%		Total	148	100.00%
							/Final

*Lieutenant Governor			(Final)
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		1	0.68%
REP - GEORGE YANG		6	4.11%
DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		11	7.53%
REP - DAVID FENNELL		8	5.48%
PF - AMOS JOHNSON		3	2.05%
DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		61	41.78%
REP - RON NEHRING		45	30.82%
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		11	7.53%
	Total	146	100.00%
*Secretary of State			(Final)
DEM - LELAND YEE		8	5.93%
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		12	8.89%
GRN - DAVID CURTIS		11	8.15%
DEM - ALEX PADILLA		27	20.00%
REP - PETE PETERSON		46	34.07%
DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		7	5.19%
REP - ROY ALLMOND		20	14.81%
NON - DAN SCHNUR		4	2.96%
	Total	135	100.00%
*State Controller			(Final)
DEM - BETTY T. YEE		31	22.63%
GRN - LAURA WELLS		14	10.22%
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		8	5.84%
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		22	16.06%
REP - DAVID EVANS		44	32.12%
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		18	13.14%
	Total	137	100.00%

i.

Official

06 LEE VINING - MB

06 LEE VINING - MB				06 LEE VINING - MB			· ·
State Treasurer			(Final)	*ASSESSOR			(Final
GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		18	12.24%	NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		49	34.27%
REP - GREG CONLON		56	38.10%		Total	143	100.00%
DEM - JOHN CHIANG		73	49.66%	*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Fina
	Total	147	100.00%	NON - TIM KENDALL		93	100.00%
Attorney General			(Final)		Total	93	100.00%
REP - PHIL WYMAN		29	20.57%				(Fina
REP - DAVID KING		6	4.26%	*SHERIFF/CORONER		52	33.55%
NON - ORLY TAITZ		5	3.55%	NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		103	66.45 [°]
DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		70	49.65%	NON - INGRID BRAUN			
REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		19	13,48%		Total	155	100.009
LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		5	3.55%	Prop 41			(Fina
REP - RONALD GOLD		7	4.96%	YES		74	54.819
	Total	141	100.00%	NO		61	45.199
Insurance Commissioner			(Final)		Total	135	100.009
PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		8		Prop 42			(Fina
DEM - DAVE JONES		65	46.76%	YES		62	44.60
REP - TED GAINES		66	47.48%			77	55.40
	Total	139	100.00%	NO	Tatal	139	100.00
	i otai	100			Total	139	100.00
Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Final)				
REP - GEORGE RUNNER		72	52.17%				
DEM - CHRIS PARKER		66	47.83%				
	Total	138	100.00%				
*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th			(Final)				
REP - PAUL COOK		61	44.85%				
DEM - BOB CONAWAY		45	33.09%	,			
REP - PAUL HANNOSH		15	11.03%				
DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		15	11.03%)			
	Total	136	100.00%	,			
*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Final))			
DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		74	52.11%				
REP - TOM BERRYHILL		68	47.89%				
	Total	142	100.00%				
	10141						
*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			(Final				
REP - FRANK BIGELOW		86	100.00%				
	Total	86	100.00%	0			
*Sup of Public Instruction			(Final	<u>)</u>			
NON - TOM TORLAKSON		68	56.67%	, o			
NON - MARSHALL TUCK		25	20.83%	6			
NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ		27	22.50%	6			
	Total	120	100.00%	6			
*County Sup. Of Schools			(Final))			
		104	100.00%	-			
NON - STACEY ADLER	- · ·						
	Total	104	100.00%	′0			
*ASSESSOR			(Fina	<u>D</u>			
NON - BARRY BECK		94	65.73%	6			

Official

07 LONG VALLEY

07 LONG VALLEY				07 LONG VALLEY			(Final)
egistration & Turnout				State Treasurer GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		21	6.56%
Election Day Turnout		163	24.08%	REP - GREG CONLON		129	40.31%
Vote By Mail Turnout		192	28.36%	DEM - JOHN CHIANG		170	53.13%
	Total	355	52.44%	DEM - JOHN CHIANG	Total	320	100.00%
GOVERNOR			(Final)		Totalini	•=•	(Final)
GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		4	1.18%	Attorney General		53	16.36%
REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		4	1.18%	REP - PHIL WYMAN		53 10	3.09%
DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		184	54.28%	REP - DAVID KING		7	2.16%
NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%	NON - ORLY TAITZ		, 164	50.62%
REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		11	3.24%	DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		42	12.96%
NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		1	0.29%	REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		42 14	4.32%
REP - GLENN CHAMP		6	1.77%	LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		34	10.49%
REP - NEEL KASHKARI		40	11.80%	REP - RONALD GOLD			
REP - TIM DONNELLY		67	19.76%		Total	324	100.00%
NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ	2	4	1.18%	*Insurance Commissioner			(Final)
DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		1	0.29%	PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		26	8.18%
REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		6	1.77%	DEM - DAVE JONES		147	46.23%
NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		4	1.18%	REP - TED GAINES		145	45.60%
PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		6	1.77%	Net - Teb Grande	Total	318	100.00%
NON - JOE LEICHT		1	0.29%		rotuin	• • •	(Fina
	Total	339	100.00%	*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st		164	52.90%
Lieutenant Governor			(Final)	REP - GEORGE RUNNER		1 64 146	52.90 % 47.10%
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		2	0.62%	DEM - CHRIS PARKER			
REP - GEORGE YANG		20	6.17%		Total	310	100.00%
DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		27	8.33%	*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th			(Fina
REP - DAVID FENNELL		28	8.64%	REP - PAUL COOK		143	43.60%
PF - AMOS JOHNSON		7	2.16%	DEM - BOB CONAWAY		114	34.769
DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		148	45.68%	REP - PAUL HANNOSH		30	9.159
REP - RON NEHRING		84	25.93%	DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		41	12.509
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		8	2.47%		Total	328	100.00
	Total	324	100.00%	*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Fina
*Secretary of State			(Final)			161	48.79
DEM - LELAND YEE		40	12.90%			169	51.219
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		18	5.81%		Total	330	100.00
GRN - DAVID CURTIS		16	5.16%		TOtal	000	
DEM - ALEX PADILLA		76	24.52%	*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5		_	(Fina
REP - PETE PETERSON		106	34.19%			217	100.00
DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		19	6.13%)	Total	217	100.00
REP - ROY ALLMOND		19	6.13%				(Fina
NON - DAN SCHNUR		16	5.16%	*Sup of Public Instruction		145	50.88
	Total	310	100.00%	NON - TOM TORLAKSON		73	
	Total	010		NUN - MARSHALL TUUR		67	23.51
*State Controller			(Final		T = 4 - 1		
DEM - BETTY T. YEE		64	20.92%		Total	285	
GRN - LAURA WELLS		23	7.52%	*County Sup. Of Schools			(Fin
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		19	6.21%	NON - STACEY ADLER		261	100.00
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		53	17.32%	0	Total	261	100.00
REP - DAVID EVANS		93	30.39%				(Fin
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		54	17.65%	Hooneven			
	Total	306	100.00%	6 NON - BARRY BECK		141	44.90

.

MONO_20140603_E June 3, 2014 **Precinct Report**

Mono County

Official

08 MAMMOTH MEADOW

07 LONG VALLEY			(Einol)	08 MAMMOTH MEADOW			414
*ASSESSOR		470		Registration & Turnout		123	29.71%
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		173	55.10%	Election Day Turnout Vote By Mail Turnout		123	29.71%
	Total	314	100.00%		Total	246	59.42%
DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)		10121	240	
NON - TIM KENDALL		256	100.00%				(Final)
	Total	256	100.00%	GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		6	2.59%
			(Final)	REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		1	0.43%
SHERIFF/CORONER		128	36.47%	DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		127	54.74%
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER NON - INGRID BRAUN		223	63.53%	NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0 15	0.00%
NON - INGRID BRAUN				REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		0	6.47% 0.00%
	Total	351	100.00%	NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		11	4.74%
Prop 41			(Final)			23	4.74% 9.91%
YES		173	52.27%			23 32	13.79%
NO		158	47.73%			0	0.00%
	Total	331	100.00%	NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		4	1.72%
	T Otdi					4	1.72%
Prop 42			(Final)			4 6	2.59%
YES		143	44.27%			2	0.86%
NO		180	55.73%			2 1	0.807
	Total	323	100.00%	NON - JOE LEICHT			-
					Total	232	100.00%
				*Lieutenant Governor			(Final
				AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		3	1.39%
				REP - GEORGE YANG		8	3.70%
				DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		9	4.179
				REP - DAVID FENNELL		31	14.35%
				PF - AMOS JOHNSON		3	1.399
				DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		109	50.469
				REP - RON NEHRING		47	21.769
				GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		6	2.78
					Total	216	100.00%
				*Secretary of State			(Fina
				DEM - LELAND YEE		23	11.229
				DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		19	9.27
				GRN - DAVID CURTIS		10	4.88
				DEM - ALEX PADILLA		37	18.05
				REP - PETE PETERSON		۰ 72	35.12
				DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		17	8.29
				REP - ROY ALLMOND		14	6.83
				NON - DAN SCHNUR		13	6.34
					Total	205	100.00
				*State Controller			(Fina
				DEM - BETTY T. YEE		48	23.41
				GRN - LAURA WELLS		20	9.769
						15	7 22

DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR

DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ

REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN

REP - DAVID EVANS

7.32%

10.24%

37.07%

12.20%

100.00%

15

21

76

25

205

Total...

Official

08 MAMMOTH MEADOW

				08 MAMMOTH MEADOW *ASSESSOR
State Treasurer GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		23	10.90%	NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL
REP - GREG CONLON		89	42.18%	
DEM - JOHN CHIANG		99	46.92%	
	Total	211	100.00%	
Attorney General	A		(Final)	NON - TIM KENDALL
REP - PHIL WYMAN		23	10.75%	
REP - DAVID KING		11	5.14%	*SHERIFF/CORONER
NON - ORLY TAITZ		7	3.27%	NON - RALPH OBENBERGER
DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		106	49.53%	NON - INGRID BRAUN
REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		26	12.15%	
LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		8	3.74%	*Member of Town Council TOWN OF
REP - RONALD GOLD		33	15.42%	NON - SHIELDS RICHARDSON
	Total	214	100.00%	NON - JOHN WENTWORTH
			(Final)	NON - ELENA BLOMGREN
Insurance Commissioner		14		NON - KENNETH MURRAY
PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		14	6.64%	NON - COLIN FERNIE
DEM - DAVE JONES		106 91	50.24%	NON - DEBORAH 'DEB' PIERREL
REP - TED GAINES			43.13%	NON - CLELAND HOFF
	Total	211	100.00%	NON - KAREN SIBERT
Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Final)	
REP - GEORGE RUNNER		106	52.22%	Ргор 41
DEM - CHRIS PARKER		97	47.78%	
	Total	203	100.00%	YES NO
US REPRESENTATIVE 8th			(Final)	
REP - PAUL COOK		104	47.71%	
DEM - BOB CONAWAY		65	29.82%	Prop 42
REP - PAUL HANNOSH		11	5.05%	YES
DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		38	17.43%	NO
	Total	218	100.00%	•
STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Final)	J
DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		116	53.70%	50
REP - TOM BERRYHILL		100	46.30%	
	Total	216	100.00%)
*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			(Final))
REP - FRANK BIGELOW		130	100.00%	
	Total	130	100.00%	ġ
*Sup of Public Instruction			(Final))
NON - TOM TORLAKSON		87	47.03%	, 0
NON - MARSHALL TUCK		54	29,19%	
NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ		44	23.78%	6
	Total	185	100.00%	6
*County Sun Of Schools			(Final)
		474	100.00%	-
NON - STACEY ADLER		171	100.007	0
*County Sup. Of Schools NON - STACEY ADLER	Total	171 171	100.00%	
	Total			6

33E33UK			<u> </u>
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		65	30.52%
	Total	213	100.00%
ISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)
NON - TIM KENDALL		155	100.00%
	Total	155	100.00%
HERIFF/CORONER			(Final)
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		67	28.15%
NON - INGRID BRAUN		171	71.85%
	Total	238	100.00%
Member of Town Council TOWN OF	MAMMOTH LA	KES	(Final)
NON - SHIELDS RICHARDSON		119	18.92%
NON - JOHN WENTWORTH		131	20.83%
NON - ELENA BLOMGREN		43	6.84%
NON - KENNETH MURRAY		16	2.54%
NON - COLIN FERNIE		99	15.74%
NON - DEBORAH 'DEB' PIERREL		30	4.77%
NON - CLELAND HOFF		71	11.29%
NON - KAREN SIBERT		120	19.08%
	Total	629	100.00%
rop 41			(Final)
YES		145	62.77%
NO		86	37.23%
	Total	231	100.00%
Prop 42			(Final)
YES		108	50.23%
NO		107	49.77%
	Total	215	100.00%

(Final)

Official

09 MAMMOTH MINARET

				09 MAMMOTH MINARET			(Final)
Registration & Turnout		142	18.68%	GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		22	7.43%
Election Day Turnout		218	28.68%	REP - GREG CONLON		124	41.89%
Vote By Mail Turnout				DEM - JOHN CHIANG		150	50.68%
	Total	360	47.37%		Total	296	100.00%
GOVERNOR			(Final)				(Final)
GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		6	1.0070	*Attorney General		28	9.69%
REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		2	0.63%	REP - PHIL WYMAN		20 11	9.09% 3.81%
DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		188	59.12%	REP - DAVID KING		12	4.15%
NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%	NON - ORLY TAITZ		150	51.90%
REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		13	4.09%	DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		41	14.19%
NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		0	0.00%	REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		11	3.81%
REP - GLENN CHAMP		16	5.03%			36	12.46%
REP - NEEL KASHKARI		26	8.18%	REP - RONALD GOLD			
REP - TIM DONNELLY		44	13.84%		Total	289	100.00%
NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		1	0.31%	*Insurance Commissioner			(Final)
DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		1	0.31%	PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		17	5.78%
REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		8	2.52%	DEM - DAVE JONES		149	50.68%
NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		5	1.57%			128	43.54%
PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		7	2.20%	REP - TED GAINES			
NON - JOE LEICHT		1	0.31%		Total	294	100.00%
	Total	318	100.00%	*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Final
Lieutenant Governor			(Final)	REP - GEORGE RUNNER		151	52.80%
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		2	0.68%	DEM - CHRIS PARKER		135	47.20%
		24	8.16%		Total	286	100.00%
		13					(Fina
		31	10.54%	*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th		144	47.06%
		4	1.36%	REP - PAUL COOK		90	29.419
PF - AMOS JOHNSON		153	52.04%	DEM - BOB CONAWAY		90 28	9.15%
DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		58		REP - PAUL HANNOSH			
REP - RON NEHRING		58 9	19.73%	DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		44	14.38
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN			3.06% 100.00%		Total	306	100.00%
	Total	294		*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Fina
*Secretary of State			(Final)	DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		151	50.849
DEM - LELAND YEE		33	11.62%	REP - TOM BERRYHILL		146	49.169
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		13	4.58%		Total	297	100.00
GRN - DAVID CURTIS		16	5.63%				(Fina
DEM - ALEX PADILLA		74	26.06%	*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5		000	
REP - PETE PETERSON		101	35.56%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW		208	100.00
DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		19	6.69%		Total	208	100.00
REP - ROY ALLMOND		16	5.63%	*Sup of Public Instruction			(Fina
NON - DAN SCHNUR		12	4.23%			121	46.72
	Total	284	100.00%			66	25.48
			(Einel)			72	27.80
*State Controller		74	(Final)		Tatal		100.00
DEM - BETTY T. YEE		71	24.91%		Total	259	
GRN - LAURA WELLS		26	9.12%	*County Sup. Of Schools			(Fina
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		18	6.32%	NON - STACEY ADLER		264	100.00
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		39	13.68%		Total	264	100.00
REP - DAVID EVANS		91	31.93%		i otaini		
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		40	14.04%	*ASSESSOR			(Fina
	Total	285	100.00%	NON - BARRY BECK		181	59.93

Official

10 MAMMOTH PINECREST

09 MAMMOTH MINARET				10 MAMMOTH PINECREST			
*ASSESSOR			(Final)	Registration & Turnout			521
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		121	40.07%	Election Day Turnout		121	23.22%
NON-ROBERT DOD MOOIE	Total	302	100.00%	Vote By Mail Turnout		175	33.59%
	I Utal	502			Total	296	56.81%
*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)	*GOVERNOR			(Final)
NON - TIM KENDALL		232	10010070	GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		8	2.90%
	Total	232	100.00%	REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		1	0.36%
*SHERIFF/CORONER			(Final)	DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		161	58.33%
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		89	25.87%	NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%
NON - INGRID BRAUN		255	74.13%	REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		18	6.52%
	Total	344	100.00%	NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		5	1.81%
		AVES	(Final)	REP - GLENN CHAMP		8	2.90%
*Member of Town Council TOWN OF				REP - NEEL KASHKARI		23	8.33%
NON - SHIELDS RICHARDSON		196 227	20.85%	REP - TIM DONNELLY		33	11.96%
NON - JOHN WENTWORTH		43	24.15% 4.57%	NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		2	0.72%
NON - ELENA BLOMGREN		43 22	2.34%	DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		2	0.72%
		177	18.83%	REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		6	2.17%
		52	5.53%	NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		2	0.72%
NON - DEBORAH 'DEB' PIERREL NON - CLELAND HOFF		96	10.21%	PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		5	1.81%
NON - CLELAND HOPP		127	13.51%	NON - JOE LEICHT		2	0.72%
NON - RAREN SIBERT	T - 4 - 1	940	100.00%		Total	276	100.00%
	Total	540		*Lieutenant Governor			(Final)
Prop 41			(Final)	AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		5	1.91%
YES		183	57.19%	REP - GEORGE YANG		24	9.16%
NO		137	42.81%	DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		16	6.11%
	Total	320	100.00%	REP - DAVID FENNELL		15	5.73%
Ргор 42			(Final)	PF - AMOS JOHNSON		3	1.15%
YES		166	53.55%	DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		139	53.05%
NO		144	46.45%			54	20.61%
	Total	310	100.00%			6	2.29%
	10tal	510	10010070		Total	262	100.00%
				*Secretary of State			(Final)
				DEM - LELAND YEE		31	12.81%
				DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		17	7.02%
				GRN - DAVID CURTIS		14	5.79%
				DEM - ALEX PADILLA		60	24.79%
				REP - PETE PETERSON		70	28.93%
				DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		18	7.44%
				REP - ROY ALLMOND		13	5.37%
				NON - DAN SCHNUR		19	7.85%

*State Controller			(Final)
DEM - BETTY T. YEE		66	26.61%
GRN - LAURA WELLS		20	8.06%
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		13	5.24%
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		38	15.32%
REP - DAVID EVANS		65	26.21%
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		46	18.55%
	Total	248	100.00%

Total...

242 100.00%

Official

10 MAMMOTH PINECREST

10 MAMMOTH PINECREST							(Final)
State Treasurer			(Final)	*SUPERVISOR, 5th DISTRICT		50	-
GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		21	8.08%	NON - KIRK STAPP		53	18.86%
REP - GREG CONLON		99	38.08%		Total	281	100.00%
DEM - JOHN CHIANG		140	53.85%	*ASSESSOR			(Final
	Total	260	100.00%	NON - BARRY BECK		152	60.08%
Attorney General			(Final)	NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		101	39.92%
REP - PHIL WYMAN		22	8.53%		Total	253	100.00%
REP - DAVID KING		16	6.20%	*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final
NON - ORLY TAITZ		9	3.49%			190	100.00%
DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		147	56.98%	NON - TIM KENDALL	T - 4 - 1		
REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		34	13.18%		Total	190	100.00%
LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		9		*SHERIFF/CORONER			(Final
REP - RONALD GOLD		21	8.14%	NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		66	23.49%
	Total	258	100.00%	NON - INGRID BRAUN		215	76.51%
Insurance Commissioner			(Final)		Total	281	100.00%
PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		14	5.47%	*Member of Town Council TOWN OF	MAMMOTH L	AKES	(Fina
DEM - DAVE JONES		140	54.69%	NON - SHIELDS RICHARDSON		152	19.77%
REP - TED GAINES		102	39.84%	NON - JOHN WENTWORTH		168	21.85%
	Total	256	100.00%	NON - ELENA BLOMGREN		63	8.199
			(Final)	NON - KENNETH MURRAY		19	2.479
*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st		440		NON - COLIN FERNIE		136	17.699
REP - GEORGE RUNNER		110	45.83%	NON - DEBORAH 'DEB' PIERREL		32	4.169
DEM - CHRIS PARKER		130	54.17%	NON - CLELAND HOFF		68	8.849
	Total	240	100.00%	NON - KAREN SIBERT		131	17.049
*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th			(Final)		Total	769	100.00%
REP - PAUL COOK		103	38.87%	Prop 41			(Fina
DEM - BOB CONAWAY		108	40.75%	YES		172	63.70
REP - PAUL HANNOSH		22	8.30%	NO		98	36.30
DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		32	12,08%	NO	Total	270	100.00
	Total	265	100.00%		I Utal	270	
*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Final)	Prop 42			(Fina
DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		146	56.37%	YES		158	59.62
REP - TOM BERRYHILL		113	43.63%	NO		107	40.38
	Total	259	100.00%		Total	265	100.00
	T Otali	200					
*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			(Final)				
REP - FRANK BIGELOW		164	100.00%				
	Total	164	100.00%	1			
*Sup of Public Instruction			(Final)				
NON - TOM TORLAKSON		101	45.70%				
NON - MARSHALL TUCK		68	30.77%	,			
NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ		52	23.53%				
	Total	221	100.00%)			
toounty Sum Of Balanda			(Final				
*County Sup. Of Schools		200					
NON - STACEY ADLER		206	100.00%				
	Total	206	100.00%				
*SUPERVISOR, 5th DISTRICT			(Final	<u>)</u>			
NON - STACY CORLESS		148	52.67%	b			
NON - GREG ECKERT		80	28.47%	, D			

11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB

11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB

11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB				TT SWALL WEADOWS - WD			
Registration & Turnout			264	*State Treasurer			(Final)
Election Day Turnout		0	0.00%	GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		15	8.98%
Vote By Mail Turnout		181	68.56%	REP - GREG CONLON		48	28.74%
	Total	181	68.56%	DEM - JOHN CHIANG		104	62.28%
GOVERNOR			(Final)		Total	167	100.00%
GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		3		*Attorney General			(Final
		0	0.00%	REP - PHIL WYMAN		23	13.86%
		113	64.94%	REP - DAVID KING		1	0.60%
DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%	NON - ORLY TAITZ		9	5.42%
REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		4	2.30%	DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		105	63.25%
NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		- 0	0.00%	REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		13	7.83%
		6	0.00 % 3.45%	LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		5	3.019
REP - GLENN CHAMP		20	11.49%	REP - RONALD GOLD		10	6.02%
		20 17	9.77%		Tatal	166	100.00%
		0			Total	100	100.007
NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		2	0.00%	*Insurance Commissioner			(Fina
DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		2	1.15%	PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		6	3.66%
			1.72%	DEM - DAVE JONES		107	65.24%
NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		4	2.30%	REP - TED GAINES		51	31.10%
PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN NON - JOE LEICHT		2 0	1.15% 0.00%		Total	164	100.009
NON - JOE LEICHT	T - 4 - 1			*Reard of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Fina
	Total	174		*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st REP - GEORGE RUNNER		63	41.18
Lieutenant Governor			(Final)	DEM - CHRIS PARKER		90	58.82
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		6	3.59%	DEM - CHRIS FARRER			
REP - GEORGE YANG		6	3.59%		Total	153	100.00
DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		12	7.19%	*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th			(Fina
REP - DAVID FENNELL		13	7.78%	REP - PAUL COOK		52	32.30
PF - AMOS JOHNSON		1	0.60%	DEM - BOB CONAWAY		73	45.34
DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		99	59.28%	REP - PAUL HANNOSH		16	9.949
REP - RON NEHRING		28	16.77%	DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		20	12.42
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		2	1,20%		Total	161	100.00
	Total	167	100.00%	*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Fina
Secretary of State			(Final)			102	62.96
DEM - LELAND YEE		17	10.63%			60	37.04
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		14	8.75%				
GRN - DAVID CURTIS		9	5.63%		Total	162	100.00
DEM - ALEX PADILLA		54		*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			(Fina
REP - PETE PETERSON		36		REP - FRANK BIGELOW		77	100.00
DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		7	4.38%		Total	77	100.00
REP - ROY ALLMOND		9	5.63%		, otanii		
NON - DAN SCHNUR		14	8.75%	*Sup of Public Instruction			(Fina
	7			NON - TOM TORLAKSON		73	52.52
	Total	160	100.00%	NON - MARSHALL TUCK		34	24.46
State Controller			(Final)	NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ		32	23.02
DEM - BETTY T. YEE		45	29.80%		Total	139	100.00
GRN - LAURA WELLS		15	9.93%	*County Sup Of Schools			(Fin
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		5	3.31%	*County Sup. Of Schools		44.2	
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		32	21.19%	NON - STACEY ADLER		112	100.00
REP - DAVID EVANS		36	23.84%	8	Total	112	100.00
		18	11.92%	*******			(Fina
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		10	11.5470	*ASSESSOR			(,

12 MAMMOTH VIEW

11 SWALL MEADOWS - MB				12 MAMMOTH VIEW			
*ASSESSOR			(Final)	Registration & Turnout			720
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		61	39.87%	Election Day Turnout		176	24.44%
NON - ROBERT BOD MOOLE	Total	153	100.00%	Vote By Mail Turnout		172	23.89%
	i otal	105			Total	348	48.33%
*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)	*GOVERNOR			(Final)
NON - TIM KENDALL		101		GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		11	3.53%
	Total	101	100.00%	REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		1	0.32%
*SHERIFF/CORONER			(Final)	DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		158	50.64%
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		46	25.99%	NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		0	0.00%
NON - INGRID BRAUN		131	74.01%	REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		18	5.77%
	Total	177	100.00%	NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		2	0.64%
	Totalin			REP - GLENN CHAMP		13	4.17%
Prop 41			(Final)	REP - NEEL KASHKARI		31	9.94%
YES		116	69.05%	REP - TIM DONNELLY		51	16.35%
NO		52	30.95%	NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		6	1.92%
	Total	168	100.00%	DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		5	1.60%
Prop 42			(Final)	REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		4	1.28%
		104	64.20%	NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		3	0.96%
YES		58	35.80%	PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		6	1.92%
NO				NON - JOE LEICHT		3	0.96%
	Total	162	100.00%		Total	312	100.00%
				this stangest Covernor			(Final)
				*Lieutenant Governor AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		3	1.05%
						15	5.24%
				REP - GEORGE YANG DEM - ERIC KOREVAAR		12	4,20%
				REP - DAVID FENNELL		25	8.74%
				PF - AMOS JOHNSON		7	2.45%
				DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		140	48.95%
				REP - RON NEHRING		67	23.43%
				GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN		17	5.94%
				GRN-JENAT COODIN W	Total	286	100.00%
					- Ottainin		(Final)
				*Secretary of State		40	15.44%
				DEM - LELAND YEE		40 13	5.02%
				DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		17	6.56%
				GRN - DAVID CURTIS		55	21.24%
				DEM - ALEX PADILLA		95	36.68%
				REP - PETE PETERSON		14	5.41%
				DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		18	6.95%
				REP - ROY ALLMOND		7	2.70%
				NON - DAN SCHNUR	Total	, 259	100.00%
				tState Controllor			(Final
						49	18.77%
				DEM - BETTY T. YEE GRN - LAURA WELLS		35	13.419
						15	5.75%
				DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		39	14.949
				DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		84	

REP - DAVID EVANS

REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN

84

39

32.18%

14.94%

100.00%

Official

12 MAMMOTH VIEW

2 MAMMOTH VIEW				12 MAMMOTH VIEW			(Final)
State Treasurer			(Final)	*SUPERVISOR, 1st DISTRICT		141	43.52%
GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		34	11.93%	NON - BILL SAUSER			
REP - GREG CONLON		118	41.40%		Total	324	100.00%
DEM - JOHN CHIANG		133	46.67%	*ASSESSOR			(Final)
	Total	285	100.00%	NON - BARRY BECK		158	54.48%
Attorney General			(Final)	NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		132	45.52%
REP - PHIL WYMAN		25	9.09%	Э	Total	290	100.00%
REP - DAVID KING		12	4.36%	*DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)
NON - ORLY TAITZ		15	5.45%	NON - TIM KENDALL		229	100.00%
DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		137	49.82%	Non - Hin Rendale	Total	229	100.00%
REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		47	17.09%		10(d)	225	
LIB - JONATHAN JAECH		16		*SHERIFF/CORONER			(Final)
REP - RONALD GOLD		23	8.36%	NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		97	28.96%
	Total	275	100.00%	NON - INGRID BRAUN		238	71.04%
Insurance Commissioner			(Final)		Total	335	100.00%
PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		31	11.27%	*Member of Town Council TOWN OF	MAMMOTH LA	AKES	(Final)
DEM - DAVE JONES		128	46.55%	NON - SHIELDS RICHARDSON		165	19.01%
REP - TED GAINES		116	42.18%	NON - JOHN WENTWORTH		171	19.70%
	Total	275	100.00%	NON - ELENA BLOMGREN		72	8.29%
	rotaini		(Final)	NON - KENNETH MURRAY		18	2.07%
Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st				NON - COLIN FERNIE		158	18.20%
REP - GEORGE RUNNER		134	49.26%	NON - DEBORAH 'DEB' PIERREL		54	6.22%
DEM - CHRIS PARKER		138	50.74%	NON - CLELAND HOFF		77	8.87%
	Total	272	100.00%	NON - KAREN SIBERT		153	17.63%
US REPRESENTATIVE 8th			(Final)	5	Total	868	100.00%
REP - PAUL COOK		124	42.76%	Prop 41			(Final
DEM - BOB CONAWAY		97	33.45%	YES		190	62.91%
REP - PAUL HANNOSH		30	10.34%	NO		112	37.09%
DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE		39	13.45%		Total	302	100.00%
	Total	290	100.00%		Total	002	(Final
*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Final)			170	59.23%
DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		148	50.00%	YES		117	40.77%
REP - TOM BERRYHILL		148	50.00%	, NO			
	Total	296	100.00%	0	Total	287	100.00%
	, otalini		(Final)				
*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5		202	100.00%				
REP - FRANK BIGELOW							
	Total	202	100.00%				
*Sup of Public Instruction			(Final	-			
NON - TOM TORLAKSON		117	49.37%				
NON - MARSHALL TUCK		67	28.27%				
NON - LYDIA A. GUTIÉRREZ		53	22.36%				
	Total	237	100.00%	6			
*County Sup. Of Schools			(Final	<u>I)</u>			
NON - STACEY ADLER		233	100.00%	/a			
	Total	233	100.00%	6			
*SUPERVISOR, 1st DISTRICT			(Fina))			

MONO_20140603_E

June 3, 2014

Precinct Report

Mono County

Official

13 OLD MAMMOTH

13 OLD MAMMOTH				13 OLD MAMMOTH State Treasurer			(Final)
Registration & Turnout		425		GRN - ELLEN H. BROWN		15	6.58%
Election Day Turnout		135	27.78% 29.84%	REP - GREG CONLON		69	30.26%
Vote By Mail Turnout		145		DEM - JOHN CHIANG		144	63.16%
	Total	280	57.61%		Total	228	100.00%
GOVERNOR			(Final)	Canada			(Final)
GRN - LUIS J. RODRIGUEZ		5	2.0070	*Attorney General		28	12.23%
REP - ALMA MARIE WINSTON		2	0.80%			13	5.68%
DEM - EDMUND G. "JERRY" BROWN		137	54.80%	REP - DAVID KING		9	3.93%
NON - JANEL HYESHIA BUYCKS		1	0.40%	NON - ORLY TAITZ		131	57.21%
REP - ANDREW BLOUNT		18	7.20%	DEM - KAMALA D. HARRIS		21	9.17%
NON - RAKESH KUMAR CHRISTIAN		0	0.00%	REP - JOHN HAGGERTY		10	4.37%
REP - GLENN CHAMP		8	3.20%			17	7.42%
REP - NEEL KASHKARI		20	8.00%	REP - RONALD GOLD			
REP - TIM DONNELLY		37	14.80%		Total	229	100.00%
NON - "BO" BOGDAN AMBROZEWICZ		5	2.00%	*Insurance Commissioner			(Final
DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE		5	2.00%	PF - NATHALIE HRIZI		17	7.46%
REP - RICHARD WILLIAM AGUIRRE		1	0.40%	DEM - DAVE JONES		133	58.33%
NON - ROBERT NEWMAN		3	1.20%	REP - TED GAINES		78	34.21%
PF - CINDY L. SHEEHAN		8	3.20%		Total	228	100.00%
NON - JOE LEICHT		0	0.00%		Totai	220	
	Total	250	0.00%	*Board of Equalization DISTRICT 1st			(Fina
Lieutenant Governor			(Final)	REP - GEORGE RUNNER		94	43.12%
AME - ALAN REYNOLDS		6	2.59%	DEM - CHRIS PARKER		124	56.889
		12	5.17%		Total	218	100.00%
REP - GEORGE YANG		21		*US REPRESENTATIVE 8th			(Fina
		9	3.88%			78	33.779
		6	2.59%	REP - PAUL COOK		94	40.69%
PF - AMOS JOHNSON DEM - GAVIN NEWSOM		114	49.14%			24	10.39%
		54	23.28%			35	15.159
		10	4.31%	DEM - ODESSIA D. LEE			
GRN - JENA F. GOODMAN					Total	231	100.00
	Total	232	100.00%	*STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 8th			(Fina
*Secretary of State			(Final)	DEM - PAULINA MIRANDA		126	54.08
DEM - LELAND YEE		38	17.19%			107	45.92
DEM - DEREK CRESSMAN		7	3.17%		Total	233	100.009
GRN - DAVID CURTIS		14	6.33%				(Fina
DEM - ALEX PADILLA		43	19.46%	*STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5			
REP - PETE PETERSON		72	32.58%	REP - FRANK BIGELOW		155	100.00
DEM - JEFFREY H. DROBMAN		27	12.22%		Total	155	100.00
REP - ROY ALLMOND		7	3.17%	*Sup of Public Instruction			(Fina
NON - DAN SCHNUR		13	5.88%			104	53.89
	Total	221	100.00%			49	25.39
				NON - MARSHALL TOOK		40	20.73
*State Controller			(Final	-			
DEM - BETTY T. YEE		57	25.79%		Total	193	100.00
GRN - LAURA WELLS		24	10.86%	*County Sup. Of Schools			(Fin
DEM - TAMMY D. BLAIR		11	4.98%	NON - STACEY ADLER		185	100.00
DEM - JOHN A. PÉREZ		34	15.38%	D	Total	185	100.00
REP - DAVID EVANS		62	28.05%		i Utal	100	
REP - ASHLEY SWEARENGIN		33	14.93%	SUPERVISOR, 5th DISTRICT			(Fin
	Total	221	100.00%	NON - STACY CORLESS		153	56.88
				NON - GREG ECKERT		66	24.54

Official

13 OLD MAMMOTH

13 OLD MAMMOTH				13 OLD MAMMOTH		15-1-1
SUPERVISOR, 5th DISTRICT			(Final)	Prop 42		(Fina
NON - KIRK STAPP		50	18.59%	NO	114	49.35%
	Total	269	100.00%	т	otal 231	100.00%
ASSESSOR			(Final)			
NON - BARRY BECK		143	60.85%			
NON - ROBERT 'BOB' MUSIL		92	39.15%			
	Total	235	100.00%			
DISTRICT ATTORNEY			(Final)			
NON - TIM KENDALL		191	100.00%			
	Total	191	100.00%			
SHERIFF/CORONER			(Final)			
NON - RALPH OBENBERGER		78	28.68%			
NON - INGRID BRAUN		194	71.32%			
	Total	272	100.00%			
Member of Town Council TOWN OF	MAMMOTH LA	KES	(Final)			
NON - SHIELDS RICHARDSON		142	19.69%			
NON - JOHN WENTWORTH		158	21.91%			
NON - ELENA BLOMGREN		60	8.32%			
NON - KENNETH MURRAY		21	2.91%			
NON - COLIN FERNIE		141	19.56%			
NON - DEBORAH 'DEB' PIERREL		40	5,55%			
NON - CLELAND HOFF		62	8.60%			
NON - KAREN SIBERT		97	13.45%			
	Total	721	100.00%	,		
Prop 41			(Final			
YES		139	56.73%	5		
NO		106	43.27%			
	Total	245	100.00%	5		
Prop 42			(Fina	<u>)</u>		
YES		117	50.65%	0		



OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗏 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Sheriff's Office and Information Technology

TIME REQUIRED		PERSONS		
SUBJECT	Contract Extension with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications	APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD		

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

The Mono County Sheriff's Office would like to extend the County's current contract with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications for one year, July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve County entry into, and authorize the Board Chair to sign, proposed new agreement with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications for the provision of communications/electronics maintenance services for one year (July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015), on the same terms and conditions as the current contract, with a not to exceed contract limit of \$107,100.

FISCAL IMPACT:

\$107,100.00. for Fiscal Year 2014-15

CONTACT NAME: Jennifer Hansen

PHONE/EMAIL: (760) 932-5279 / jhansen@monosheriff.org

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

- Nielsen's Contract Staff Rpt
- Neilsen 2014 contract

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/25/2014 12:48 PM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/25/2014 12:36 PM	County Counsel	Yes
6/24/2014 8:32 AM	Finance	Yes



P.O. BOX 616 • 49 BRYANT STREET • BRIDGEPORT, CA 93517 • (760) 932-7549 • FAX (760) 932-7435

Ralph Obenberger Sheriff/Coroner

MONO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Robert Weber Undersheriff

July 1st, 2014

To: Honorable Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors

From:

Ralph Obenberger, Sheriff-Coroner

Subject:

Resolution authorizing Mono County Sheriff's Office to extend the current contract with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications

Recommendation:

1. Approve and authorize Mono County Sheriff's Office to extend the current contract with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications to maintain the Mono County Public Safety Radio System. The term of the contract will be for (1) one year, July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015.

Discussion:

The County of Mono currently has a maintenance contract with Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications to maintain the Mono County Public Safety Radio System. The contract provides for routine maintenance to ensure the radio system functions properly for various emergency response disciplines and non-emergency cooperators throughout Mono County.

Fiscal Impact

This resolution will extend the service contract provided by Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance and Communications for (1) one year and will not exceed the current agreed upon amount of \$107,100 per contract year.

Respectfully Submitted, Ralph Obenberger, Sheriff-Coroner

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF MONO AND NIELSEN'S EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE & COMMUNICATIONS, FOR THE PROVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE SERVICES

INTRODUCTION

WHEREAS, the County of Mono (hereinafter referred to as "County") may have the need for the services of Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance & Communications (hereinafter referred to as "Contractor"), and in consideration of the mutual promises, covenants, terms and conditions hereinafter contained, the parties hereby agree as follows:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. SCOPE OF WORK.

The Contractor shall furnish to the County, upon its request, those services and work set forth in the Scope of Work (Attachment A), attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein. Any work not covered by the fixed base price described more fully below shall be performed only after receiving prior authorization by the Sheriff's Department Communications Officer and the affected County department head with responsibility for the underlying equipment. Such authorized work shall be separately billed to and paid by the affected department.

Services and work provided by the Contractor at the County's request under this Agreement will be performed in a manner consistent with the requirements and standards established by applicable federal, state, and County laws, ordinances, and resolutions. Such laws, ordinances, regulations, and resolutions include, but are not limited to, those, which are referred to in this Agreement. This Agreement is non-exclusive and the County reserves the right to utilize the services of other contractors to perform any of the services and work set forth in the Scope of Work.

2. TERM.

The term of this Agreement shall be from July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, unless sooner terminated as provided below.

3. CONSIDERATION.

A. <u>Compensation</u>. County shall pay Contractor a fixed base price of \$8,925 per month for the following services, which are described more fully in the Scope of Work (Attachment A): all required maintenance and repairs of the County's Wireless Communications System, with parts for repairs generally supplied by the County; programming of the dispatch-system Orbacom computer; and programming of any radios delivered to the Contractor's location. County shall pay Contractor \$75.00 per hour for other services performed by Contractor at County's request, as also described more fully in the Scope of Work (Attachment A). For purposes of the services included in the fixed

base price portion of the Scope of Work, the County's Wireless Communications System is described more fully in Attachment B, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

B. <u>Travel and Per Diem</u>. Contractor will not be paid or reimbursed for travel expenses or per diem which Contractor incurs in providing services and work requested by the County under this Agreement.

C. <u>No Additional Consideration</u>. Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, Contractor shall not be entitled to, nor receive, from County, any additional consideration, compensation, salary, wages, or other type of remuneration for services rendered under this Agreement. Specifically, Contractor shall not be entitled, by virtue of this Agreement, to consideration in the form of overtime, health insurance benefits, retirement benefits, disability retirement benefits, sick leave, vacation time, paid holidays, or other paid leaves of absence of any type or kind whatsoever.

D. <u>Limit upon amount payable under Agreement</u>. The total sum of all payments made by the County to Contractor for maintenance services, work, travel, per diem, and other expenses under this Agreement shall not exceed \$107,100 per year (hereinafter referred to as "contract limit"). County expressly reserves the right to deny any payment or reimbursement requested by Contractor for services or work performed which is in excess of the contract limit.

E. <u>Billing and Payment</u>. Contractor shall submit to the County, once a month, an itemized statement of all services and work described in the Scope of Work (Attachment A), which was done pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. This statement will be submitted to the County not later than the fifth (5th) day of the month. The statement to be submitted will cover the period from the first (1st) day of the preceding month through and including the last day of the preceding month. This statement will identify the date on which the services and work were performed, identify the classification of staff performing the work, and describe the nature of the services and work which were performed on each day. Upon timely receipt of the statement by the fifth (5th) day of the month, the County shall make payment to Contractor on the last day of the month.

F. <u>Federal and State Taxes</u>.

(1) Except as provided in subparagraph (2) below, County will not withhold any federal or state income taxes or social security from any payments made by County to Contractor under the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

(2) County shall withhold California State income taxes from payments made under this Agreement to non-California resident independent contractors when it is anticipated that total annual payments to Contractor under this Agreement will exceed one thousand four hundred ninety-nine dollars (\$1,499.00).

(3) Except as set forth above, County has no obligation to withhold any taxes or payments from sums paid by County to Contractor under this Agreement. Payment of all

taxes and other assessments on such sums is the sole responsibility of Contractor. County has no responsibility or liability for payment of Contractor's taxes or assessments.

(4) The total amounts paid by County to Contractor, and taxes withheld from payments to non-California residents, if any, will be reported annually to the Internal Revenue Service and the California State Franchise Tax Board.

4. WORK SCHEDULE.

Contractor's obligation is to perform, in a timely manner, those services and work identified in the Scope of Work (Attachment A) which are requested by the County.

5. REQUIRED LICENSES, CERTIFICATES, AND PERMITS.

Any licenses, certificates, or permits required by the federal, state, county, or municipal governments, for Contractor to provide the services and work described in Attachment A must be procured by Contractor and be valid at the time Contractor enters into this Agreement. Further, during the term of this Agreement, Contractor must maintain such licenses, certificates, and permits in full force and effect. Licenses, certificates, and permits may include, but are not limited to, driver's licenses, professional licenses or certificates, and business licenses. Such licenses, certificates, and permits will be procured and maintained in force by Contractor at no expense to the County. Contractor will provide County, at County's request, upon execution of this Agreement, with evidence of current and valid licenses, certificates and permits which are required to perform the services identified in Attachment A. Where there is a dispute between Contractor and County as to what licenses, certificates, and permits are required to perform the services identified in Attachment A. Where there is a dispute between Contractor and County as to what licenses, certificates, and permits are required to perform the services identified in Attachment A. Where there is a dispute between Contractor and County as to what licenses, certificates, and permits are required to perform the services identified in Attachment A.

6. OFFICE SPACE, SUPPLIES, EQUIPMENT, ETC.

The Contractor shall provide such office space, supplies, equipment, vehicles, reference materials, support services and telephone service as is necessary for Contractor to provide the services identified in the Scope of Work (Attachment A). County is not obligated to reimburse or pay Contractor for any expense or cost incurred by Contractor in procuring or maintaining such items. Responsibility for the costs and expenses incurred by Contractor. Expenses incurred for maintaining such items is the sole responsibility and obligation of Contractor. Expenses incurred for maintaining any software licenses required for the system are the responsibility of County and these costs are additional to the budget agreed to herein.

7. COUNTY PROPERTY.

A. <u>Personal Property of County</u>. Any personal property such as, but not limited to, protective or safety devices, badges, identification cards, keys, uniforms, vehicles, reference materials, furniture, appliances, etc. provided to Contractor by County pursuant to this Agreement

are, and at the termination of this Agreement remain, the sole and exclusive property of the County. Contractor will use reasonable care to protect, safeguard and maintain such items while they are in Contractor's possession. Contractor will be financially responsible for any loss or damage to such items, partial or total, which is the result of Contractor's negligence.

B. <u>Products of Contractor's Work and Services</u>. Any and all compositions, publications, plans, designs, specifications, blueprints, maps, formulas, processes, photographs, slides, video tapes, computer programs, computer disks, computer tapes, memory chips, soundtracks, audio recordings, films, audio-visual presentations, exhibits, reports, studies, works of art, inventions, patents, trademarks, copyrights, or intellectual properties of any kind which are created, produced, assembled, compiled by, or are the result, product, or manifestation of, Contractor's services or work under this Agreement are, and at the termination of this Agreement remain, the sole and exclusive property of the County. At the termination of the Agreement, Contractor will convey possession and title to all such properties to County.

8. PUBLIC WORK.

In accordance with § 1720 et seq. of the California Labor Code, Contractor is advised that some or all of the services Contractor is to provide County pursuant to this Agreement may constitute a "public work." Accordingly, Contractor, and any subcontractor retained by Contractor, shall pay to all workers employed in the performance of those services that constitute a public work not less than the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for regular, holiday, and overtime work. These general prevailing rates of per diem wages have been determined by the Director of the California Department of Industrial Relations; copies of the Director's determinations are on file at the Mono County Department of Public Works, 74 N. School Street, Bridgeport, California, and are available upon request.

9. INSURANCE.

A certificate of insurance, for all stated insurances, shall be provided to the Mono County Risk Management at least ten (10) days prior to the start of services to be performed by the Contractor. The policy/policies shall maintain a provision prohibiting the cancellation or modification of said policy except upon thirty (30) days prior written notice to the County Risk Manager.

A. <u>General Liability</u>.

Contractor shall procure, and maintain during the entire term of this Agreement, a policy of general liability insurance which covers all the work and services to be performed by Contractor under this Agreement. Such insurance policy will have a per occurrence combined single limit coverage of not less than \$1,000,000.00. Such policy will not exclude or except from coverage any of the services and work required to be performed by Contractor under this Agreement. The required policy of insurance will be issued by an insurer authorized to sell such insurance by the State of California, and having at least a "Best's" policyholder's rating of "A" or "A+." County will be named as "an additional named insured" on this policy. Contractor will provide the County a copy of the policy

and a certificate of insurance showing the County as "an additional named insured" and indicating that the policy will not be terminated, canceled, or modified without thirty (30) days written notice to the County Risk Manager.

B. <u>Business Vehicle</u>.

If Contractor utilizes a motor vehicle in performing any of the work or services identified in Attachment A (Scope of Work), Contractor shall procure and maintain in force throughout the duration of this Agreement, a business auto liability insurance policy with minimum coverage levels of \$300,000.00 per occurrence, combined single limit for bodily injury liability and property damage liability. The coverage shall include all Contractor owned vehicles and all hired and non-owned vehicles used in performing under this Agreement.

C. <u>Workers' Compensation</u>.

Contractor shall provide worker's compensation insurance coverage, in the legally required amount, for all Contractor's employees utilized in providing work and services pursuant to this Agreement. By executing a copy of this Agreement, Contractor acknowledges its obligations and responsibilities to its employees under the California Labor Code, and warrants that Contractor has complied with and will comply during the term of this Agreement with all provisions of the California Labor Code with regard to its employees.

D. <u>Deductible and Self Insured Retentions</u>

Any deductibles or self insured retentions must be declared and approved by Mono County Risk Manager. If possible the Insurer shall reduce or eliminate such deductibles or self insured retentions as respects to Mono County, its officials, officers, employees and volunteers; or the Contractor shall provide evidence satisfactory to Mono County Risk Manager guaranteeing payment of losses and related investigations, claim administration, and defense expenses.

10. STATUS OF CONTRACTOR.

All acts of Contractor, its agents, officers, and employees, relating to the performance of this Agreement, shall be performed by independent contractors, and not as agents, officers, or employees of the County. Contractor, by virtue of this Agreement, has no authority to bind or incur any obligation on behalf of, or exercise any right or power vested in, the County, except as expressly provided by law or set forth in Attachment A. No agent, officer, or employee of the County is to be considered an employee of Contractor. It is understood by both Contractor and County that this Agreement shall not, under any circumstances, be construed to create an employer-employee relationship or a joint venture. As an independent contractor:

A. Contractor shall determine the method, details, and means of performing the work and services to be provided by Contractor under this Agreement.

B. Contractor shall be responsible to County only for the requirements and results specified in this Agreement, and except as expressly provided in this Agreement, shall not be subjected to County's control with respect to the physical action or activities of Contractor in fulfillment of this Agreement.

C. Contractor, its agents, officers and employees are, and at all times during the term of this Agreement shall, represent and conduct themselves as independent contractors, and not as employees of County.

11. DEFENSE AND INDEMNIFICATION.

Contractor shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless County, its agents, officers, and employees from and against all claims, damages, losses, judgments, liabilities, expenses, and other costs, including litigation costs and attorney's fees, arising out of, resulting from or in connection with, the alleged negligent performance of this Agreement by Contractor, or Contractor's agents, officers, or employees. Contractor's obligation to defend, indemnify, and hold the County, its agents, officers, and employees harmless applies to any actual or alleged personal injury, death, or damage or destruction to tangible or intangible property, including the loss of use. Contractor's obligation under this paragraph extends to any claim, damage, loss, liability, expense, or other costs which is caused in whole or in part by any allegedly negligent act or omission of the Contractor, its agents, employees, supplier, or anyone directly or indirectly employed by any of them, or anyone for whose allegedly negligent acts or omissions any of them may be liable, but only to the extent the Contractor's alleged negligence caused such losses.

Contractor's obligation to defend, indemnify, and hold the County, its agents, officers, and employees harmless under the provisions of this paragraph is not limited to, or restricted by, any requirement in this Agreement for Contractor to procure and maintain a policy of insurance.

12. RECORDS AND AUDIT.

A. <u>Records</u>. Contractor shall prepare and maintain all records required by the various provisions of this Agreement, federal, state, county, municipal, ordinances, regulations, and directions. Contractor shall maintain these records for a minimum of four (4) years from the termination or completion of this Agreement. Contractor may fulfill its obligation to maintain records as required by this paragraph by substitute photographs, micrographs, or other authentic reproduction of such records.

B. <u>Inspections and Audits</u>. Any authorized representative of County shall have access at Contractor's office to any books, documents, papers, records, including, but not limited to, financial records of Contractor, which County determines to be pertinent to this Agreement, for the purposes of making audit, evaluation, examination, excerpts, and transcripts during the period such records are to be maintained by Contractor. Further, County has the right, at all reasonable times, to audit, inspect, or otherwise evaluate the work performed or being performed under this Agreement.

13. NONDISCRIMINATION.

During the performance of this Agreement, Contractor, its agents, officers, and employees shall not unlawfully discriminate in violation of any federal, state, or local law, against any employee, or applicant for employment, or person receiving services under this Agreement, because of race, religion, color, ancestry, national origin, physical handicap, medication condition, marital status, age, or sex. Contractor and its agents, officers, and employees shall comply with the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Government Code section 12900, et seq.), and the applicable regulations promulgated thereunder in the California Code of Regulations. Contractor shall also abide by the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) and all amendments thereto, and all administrative rules and regulations issued pursuant to said act.

14. CANCELLATION.

This Agreement may be canceled by County without cause, and at will, for any reason whatsoever, by giving to Contractor thirty (30) days written notice of such intent to cancel. Contractor may cancel this Agreement without cause, and at will, for any reason whatsoever, by giving to County thirty (30) days written notice of such intent to cancel.

15. ASSIGNMENT.

This is an agreement for the personal services of Contractor. County has relied upon the skills, knowledge, experience, and training of Contractor as an inducement to enter into this Agreement. Contractor shall not assign or subcontract this Agreement, or any part of it, without the express written consent of the County. Further, Contractor shall not assign any monies due or to become due under this Agreement without the prior written consent of the County.

16. DEFAULT.

If the Contractor abandons the work, or fails to proceed with the work and services requested by the County in a timely manner, or fails in any way as required to conduct the work and services as required by the County, the County may declare the Contractor in default and terminate this Agreement upon five (5) days written notice to Contractor. Upon such termination by default, County will pay to Contractor all amounts owing to Contractor for services and work satisfactorily performed to the date of termination.

17. WAIVER OF DEFAULT.

Waiver of any default by either party to this Agreement shall not be deemed to be waiver of any subsequent default. Waiver or breach of any provision of this Agreement shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent breach, and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of this Agreement unless this Agreement is modified as provided in paragraph twenty-three (23) below.

18. CONFIDENTIALITY.

Contractor agrees to comply with various provisions of the federal, state, and county laws, regulations, and ordinances providing that information and records kept, maintained, or accessible by Contractor in the course of providing services and work under this Agreement, shall be privileged, restricted, or confidential. Contractor agrees to keep confidential, all such privileged, restricted or confidential information and records obtained in the course of providing the work and services under this Agreement. Disclosure of such information or records shall be made by Contractor only with the express written consent of the County.

19. CONFLICTS.

Contractor agrees that he/she has no interest, and shall not acquire any interest, direct or indirect, which would conflict in any manner or degree with the performance of the work and services under this Agreement. Contractor agrees to complete and file a conflict of interest statement upon the request of County.

20. SEVERABILITY.

In any portion of this Agreement or application thereof to any person or circumstance shall be declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, or if it is found in contravention of any federal, state, or county statute, ordinance, or regulation, the remaining provisions of this Agreement, or the application thereof, shall not be invalidated thereby, and shall remain in full force and effect to the extent that the provisions of this Agreement are severable.

21. FUNDING LIMITATION.

The ability of the County to enter into this Agreement is based upon available funding from various sources. In the event that such funding fails, is reduced, or is modified, from one or more sources, County has the option to terminate, reduce, or modify this Agreement, or any of its terms within ten (10) days of its notifying Contractor of the termination, reduction, or modification of available funding. Any reduction or modification of this Agreement effective pursuant to this provision must comply with the requirements of paragraph twenty-three (23) (Amendment).

22. LEGAL ACTION AND ATTORNEY'S FEES.

If either of the parties hereto brings an action or proceeding against the other, including, but not limited to, an action to enforce or to declare the termination, cancellation, or revision of the Agreement, the prevailing party in such action or proceeding shall be entitled to receive from the other party all reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection therewith. This Agreement shall be governed under the laws of the State of California and venue for any litigation under this Agreement shall be in the County of Mono.

23. AMENDMENT.

This Agreement may be modified, amended, changed, added to, or subtracted from, by the mutual consent of the parties hereto, if such amendment or change is in written form, and executed with the same formalities as this Agreement, and attached to the original Agreement to maintain continuity.

24. NOTICE.

Any notice, communication, amendments, additions or deletions to this Agreement, including change of address of any party during the term of this Agreement, which Contractor or County shall be required, or may desire, to make shall be in writing and may be personally served, or sent by prepaid first class mail to the respective parties as follows:

County of Mono Attn: Information Technology Director P.O. Box 556 Bridgeport, California 93517

Contractor:

Nielsen's Equipment Maintenance & Communications P.O. Box 8615 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

25. ENTIRE AGREEMENT.

This Agreement contains the entire agreement of the parties, and no representations, inducements, promises, or agreements otherwise between the parties not embodied herein or incorporated herein by reference, shall be of any force or effect. Further, no term or provision hereof may be changed, waived, discharged, or terminated, unless the same be in writing executed by the parties hereto.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, THE PARTIES HERETO HAVE SET THEIR HANDS AND SEALS THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 2014.

COUNTY OF MONO

CONTRACTOR

By:

By: _____

Chair, Board of Supervisors

Dated:_____

Dated:

Taxpayer's Identification or Social Security Number _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

APPROVED AS TO INSURANCE:

Risk Manager

ATTACHMENT A

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF MONO AND NIELSEN'S EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE & COMMUNICATIONS, FOR THE PROVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE SERVICES

TERM: July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015

SCOPE OF WORK:

I. BASE PRICE SERVICES

In consideration for County's payment of the fixed, monthly base price, Contractor shall provide all of the following services:

• General System Maintenance and Repairs

Contractor shall maintain the County's Wireless Communications System in good working condition, including but not limited to the following services: testing and inspections, including but not limited to a pre-winter check of each of the repeater sites and their solar systems, battery maintenance, back-up generators, antenna mounting, and site hardware; routine and preventive maintenance; and any necessary repairs to that System. The System consists of two networks, the Public Safety Network and the County Administrative Network, as described in more detail on Attachment B hereto. With respect to repairs to the System, Contractor shall supply the labor and County shall supply any needed parts. Contractor shall correct any defect in the Public Safety Network on a priority basis with 24-hour coverage. County will be responsible for transportation of Contractor to repeater sites when roads are not suitable for conventional travel. Contractor is not responsible for inability to perform due to acts of God or other interference from outside sources that is beyond the Contractor's ability to control. Some mobile radios owned by the County and primarily serving the Public Works Department are approximately 25 years old and are no longer supported by the manufacturer and with few parts available. Such non-supported radios will be repaired with Contractor's limited supply of parts; radios beyond repair will be replaced by the affected County department.

• Dispatch Computer Programming

Contractor shall program the Orbacom computer-based dispatch system.

• Radio Programming (at Contractor's location)

Contractor shall program any radios delivered to the Contractor's location

• Repair of mobile and portable radios (at Contractor's location), excluding radios with physical or liquid damage

Work includes labor to repair any defects with radios delivered to the Contractor's location.

(Base Price Services continued)

• FCC Licenses

Contractor shall be responsible for completion of FCC licenses and frequency coordination with APCO. Contractor shall ensure that all license renewals will be completed and filed with the FCC in a timely manner. County will be responsible for all license and frequency coordination fees.

• Provide System Documentation for all County Radio Systems maintained by the contractor. Documentation will include, but not be limited to, a block diagram of system with location, equipment identification, an electronic copy of all radio programs, a copy of any other programming/configuration required but not covered in the radio programming listed above to make the system work, site power systems, a written procedure of the pre-winter check of each of the repeater sites and their solar systems, battery maintenance, backup generators, and site hardware, electronic copy of the Orbacom program(s).

It is understood that it will take considerable time to develop this documentation; thus, the County's goal is to have the complete documentation in the County's possession by the end of the term of this agreement or sooner if possible. However, as sections of the documentation are completed (i.e. electronic copy of the radio programs) they will be delivered to the Sheriff's department and to the County Information Technology Department. Documentation to be provided in a standard format mutually agreeable by both parties with a copy kept in the County's possession. This document will be kept up to date throughout the term of the contract.

II. ADDITIONAL SERVICES (NON-BASE PRICE)

Upon request and authorization by County, Contractor shall also perform the following services for an additional fee of \$75.00 per hour:

- Field programming of radios
- Field repair of mobile and portable radios/vehicles (as opposed to repair work performed at Contractor's location, which is included in the base price services).
- Equipment installations and removals Contractor shall supply (at no additional cost) all wire, connectors, ties, loom, and any materials general to installations. County shall supply all equipment to be installed, such as radios, lightbars, speakers, antennas, cables, circuit breakers, microphones, switches, and batteries.

III. GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS

For purposes of any work included in this Scope of Work, Contractor must be a factoryauthorized dealer for the following equipment:

• Orbacom

- Midland
- Vertex/Standard
- Kenwood
- Whelan

In addition, Contractor shall have all necessary equipment for programming, servicing, monitoring, testing, tuning, and adjusting of radio equipment encompassed by this agreement. Contractor shall also possess a valid Mono County business license and have an established business location within the County.
ATTACHMENT B

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF MONO AND NIELSEN'S EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE & COMMUNICATIONS, FOR THE PROVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE SERVICES

TERM: July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015

COUNTY'S WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (DESCRIBED)

For purposes of the Scope of Work portion included within the base contract price (see Attachment A), the term "County's Wireless Communications System" refers to two networks, Public Safety and County Administration, and includes 23 mountain-top repeaters at 7 remote sites throughout Mono County (depicted on the diagram attached hereto as page B-1), a public safety dispatch center, and several hundred mobile and hand-held units used by the County departments listed below (described on the inventory summary attached hereto as pages B-2 through B-5):

Public Safety Network

- Sheriff's Department
- Fire
- Emergency Medical Services
- Town of Mammoth Lakes Police
- Probation
- RACES

County Administrative Network

- Public Works
- Animal Control
- Parks Department
- Health Department
- Building Department



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗏 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Clerk of the Board

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT Appointment to the CSA #5 Board

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Benny Romero was recently nominated by the CSA #5 Board to fill the fifth and final spot on the Board. He has agreed and is interested in doing so. This item is to get Board approval for Mr. Romero's appointment. This item is being sponsored by Supervisor Fesko.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Appoint Benny Romero to the CSA #5 Board, filling the last open vacancy on this Board.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

CSA 5 Appt

History

6/24/2014 7:49 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:23 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 5:16 PM	Finance	Yes



Larry Johnston
District One Fred Stump
District Two Tim Alpers
District Three Tim Fesko
District Four Byng Hunt
District Five

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF MONO

P.O. BOX 715, BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA 93517 (760) 932-5538 • FAX (760) 932-5531 *Linda Romero, Acting Clerk of the Board*

To: Honorable Board of Supervisors

From: Shannon Kendall, Sr. Deputy Clerk of the Board

Date: July 1, 2014

Subject:

Appointment to the CSA #5 Board.

Recommendation:

Appoint Benny Romero to serve on the CSA #5 Board filling the fifth and final open spot.

Discussion:

The CSA #5 Board has five members and there is a vacancy for the fifth slot. Benny Romero was recently nominated by the CSA #5 Board to fill that final spot. He has agreed and is interested in doing so. This item is to get Board approval for Mr. Romero's appointment. This item is being sponsored by Supervisor Fesko.

Fiscal Impact:

None.

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Finance TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

May 2014 Transaction Report

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Treasury Transactions for the month of May 2014.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve the Treasury Transaction Report for the month of May 2014.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

CONTACT NAME: Leslie Chapman

PHONE/EMAIL: (760) 932-5494 / Ichapman@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

Transaction Report for May 2014

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/24/2014 7:36 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:20 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 4:50 PM	Finance	Yes



Mono County Transaction Summary by Action All Portfolios

Begin Date: 4/30/2014, End Date: 5/31/2014

Action	Settlement Date	CUSIP	Face Amount / Shares	Description Purchase Price Princ		Principal	Interest / Dividends	YTM @ Cost	Total
Buy Transactions									
Buy	5/1/2014	503678QR8	500,000.00	La Mesa Spring Valley SD 1.886 8/1/2017	100,58	502,920.00	0.00	1.70	502,920.00
Buy	5/21/2014	3130A1U22	2,000,000.00	FHLB 1.5 2/21/2018-14	100.00	2,000,000,00	0,00	1.50	2,000,000.00
Buy	5/22/2014	3130A1EC8	630,000.00	FHLB 1.375 1/11/2018-14	100.00	630,000.00	986.56	1,38	630,986.56
Buy	5/27/2014	458140AL4	500,000.00	INTEL CORP 1.35 12/15/2017-14	100.45	502,250.00	3,037.50	1.22	505,287.50
Buy	5/27/2014	83412PDV1	250,000.00	SOLANO CO. COMMUNITY COLLEGE DIST. 1.384 8/1/2017	100.88	252,210.00	470.94	1.10	252,680.94
Buy	5/28/2014	3134G55W1	1,500,000.00	FHLMC 1.32 2/28/2018-14	100.00	1,500,000.00	0.00	1,32	1,500,000.00
	Subtotal		5,380,000.00			5,387,380.00	4,495.00		5,391,875.00
Deposit	5/16/2014	OAKVALLEY0670	72.74	Oak Valley Bank Cash	100.00	72.74	0.00	0.00	72,74
Deposit	5/30/2014	OAKVALLEY0670	1,111.72	Oak Valley Bank Cash	100.00	1,111.72	0,00	0.00	1,111.72
Deposit	5/31/2014	OAKVALLEY0670	13,105,263.75	Oak Valley Bank Cash	ak Valley Bank Cash 100.00 13,105,263,75		0.00	0.00	13,105,263.75
	Subtotal 13,106,448.2		13,106,448.21			13,106,448.21	0.00		13,106,448.21
Total Buy Transactions			18,486,448.21			18,493,828.21	4,495.00		18,498,323.21
interest/Divider	nds					All the second			
Interest	5/1/2014	675383KK8	0.00	Oceanside Ca Unified School Dist 4.5 5/1/2016			32,400.00	0.00	32,400.00
Interest	5/1/2014	3134G3S50	0.00	FHLMC 0.625 11/1/2016	0.00		6,250.00	0.00	6,250.00
Interest	5/3/2014	037833AJ9	0.00	apple Inc 1 5/3/2018	0.00		2,500.00	0.00	2,500.00
Interest	5/7/2014	313382SY0	0.00	FHLB 0.55 11/7/2016		0.00	5,500.00	0.00	5,500.00
Interest	5/8/2014	3136G0Y39	0.00	FNMA Step 11/8/2017-13		0.00	7,000.00	0.00	7,000.00
Interest	5/9/2014	36962G4T8	0.00	General Electric Cap Corp 2.25 11/9/2015	0.00		11,250.00	0.00	11,250.00
Interest	5/13/2014	36962G4C5	0.00	General Electric Cap Corp. 5.9 5/13/2014	ctric Cap Corp. 5.9 5/13/2014 0.00		14,750.00	0.00	14,750.00
Interest	5/15/2014	3136G03G4	0.00	FNMA Step 11/15/2017-13 0.00		7,000.00	0.00	7,000.00	
Interest	5/15/2014	91159HHD5	0.00	US Bancorp 1.65 5/15/2017 0.00		0.00	4,125.00	0.00	4,125.00
Interest	5/16/2014	OAKVALLEY0670	0.00	Oak Valley Bank Cash 0.		0.00	72.74	0.00	72.74
Interest	5/21/2014	33764JPM1	0.00	First Bank Puerto Rico 0.9 11/23/2015		0.00	184.93	0.00	184.93
Interest	5/21/2014	3136G04F5	0.00	FNMA Step 11/21/2017-13 0.0		0.00	7,500.00	0.00	7,500.00
Interest	5/30/2014	OAKVALLEY0670	0.00	Oak Valley Bank Cash		0.00	1,111.72	0.00	1,111.72
	Subtotal		0.00			0.00	99,644.39		99,644.39



Mono County Transaction Summary by Action All Portfolios

Begin Date: 4/30/2014, End Date: 5/31/2014

Action	Settlement Date	CUSIP	Face Amount / Shares	Description	Purchase Price	Principal	Interest / Dividends	YTM @ Cost	Total
Total Interest/Dividends			0.00			0.00	99,644.39		99,644.39
Sell Transact	lons	8 - COM- 8-	ar - 2ve M		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1				
Matured	5/13/2014	36962G4C5	500,000.00	General Electric Cap Corp. 5.9 5/13/2014	0.00	500,000.00	0.00	0.00	500,000.00
	Subtotal		500,000.00			500,000.00	0.00		500,000.00
Sell	5/22/2014	3136G1BZ1	2,000,000.00	FNMA Step 1/30/2018-14	0.00	1,993,500.00	3,888.89	0.00	1,997,388.89
	Subtotal		2,000,000.00			1,993,500.00	3,888.89		1,997,388.89
Withdraw	5/20/2014	LAIF6000	2,000,000.00	Local Agency Investment Fund LGIP	0.00	2,000,000.00	0,00	0_00	2,000,000.00
Withdraw	5/28/2014	LAIF6000	3,000,000.00	Local Agency Investment Fund LGIP	0.00	3,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	3,000,000.00
Withdraw	5/30/2014	LAIF6000	2,000,000.00	Local Agency Investment Fund LGIP	0.00	2,000,000.00	0.00	0.00	2,000,000.00
Withdraw	5/31/2014	OAKVALLEY0670	15,232,983.54	Oak Valley Bank Cash	0.00	15,232,983.54	0.00	0.00	15,232,983.54
	Subtotal		22,232,983.54			22,232,983.54	0.00		22,232,983.54
Total Sell Transactions			24,732,983.54			24,726,483.54	3,888.89		24,730,372.43



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗏 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Finance

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Public Defender Investigator Contract BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

PERSONS

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Proposed contract with Tyrone Atwater dba Atwater Investigations pertaining to the provisions of private investigation services for the Mono County Public Defenders.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve the contract for the provision of private investigative services for fiscal year 14/15 in an amount not to exceed \$70,000 contigent on adoption of the of the 2014/15 County budget.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Amount not to exceed \$70,000 is included in the proposed 14/15 budget.

CONTACT NAME: Leslie Chapman

PHONE/EMAIL: 760-932-5494 / Ichapman@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

Tyrone Atwater Contract

History

Time

6/24/2014 7:50 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:24 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 5:17 PM	Finance	Yes

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF MONO AND TYRONE ATWATER d/b/a ATWATER INVESTIGATIONS FOR THE PROVISION OF PRIVATE INVESTIGATION SERVICES FOR THE MONO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS

INTRODUCTION

WHEREAS, the County of Mono (hereinafter referred to as "County") may have the need for the private investigation services of Tyrone Atwater d/b/a Atwater Investigations, of Coleville, California, an Independent Contractor, (hereinafter referred to as "Contractor"), in connection with the defense of indigent defendants, and in consideration of the mutual promises, covenants, terms and conditions hereinafter contained, the parties hereby agree as follows:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. SCOPE OF WORK.

The Contractor shall furnish to the County, upon its request, those services and work set forth in Attachment A, attached hereto and by reference incorporated herein. Requests by the County to the Contractor to perform under this Agreement will be made by the following persons: (1) Randall Gephart of Berger, Silverman & Gephart; (2) David Hammon of the Law Offices of David Hammon; (3) Gerald F. Mohun, Jr. of Liebersbach, Mohun, Carney & Reed; and (4) such other attorneys, if any, as may be duly appointed from time to time by the court to represent indigent defendants (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Public Defenders"). Requests to the Contractor for work or services to be performed under this Agreement will be based upon the County's need for such services. The County makes no guarantee or warranty, of any nature, that any minimum level or amount of services or work will be requested of the Contractor by the County under this Agreement. By this Agreement the County incurs no obligation or requirement to request from Contractor the performance of any services or work at all, even if the County should have some need for such services or work during the term of this Agreement.

Services and work provided by the Contractor at the Public Defenders' request under this Agreement will be performed in a manner consistent with the requirements and standards established by applicable federal, state, and county laws, ordinances, and resolutions. Such laws, ordinances, regulations, and resolutions include, but are not limited to, those that are referred to in this Agreement.

2. TERM.

The term of this Agreement shall be from July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, unless sooner terminated as provided below.

3. CONSIDERATION.

A. <u>Compensation</u>. As full compensation for all general services performed under this agreement, the County shall make payment amount of \$40.00 per hour to Contractor for services provided for the month. In addition, County shall pay such actual expenses incurred by Contractor to provide any special services rendered in that month. The Contractor shall invoice the County in accordance with Section 3(E) below.

B. <u>Travel and Per Diem</u>. Contractor will be paid mileage reimbursement at the Internal Revenue Service rate for all travel required to provide general services under this agreement. Contractor will not be paid any additional reimbursement for travel expenses or per diem that Contractor incurs in providing services and work requested by the County under this Agreement unless specific authorization for such services is obtained in these cases where Contractor is required to travel out of Inyo and Mono Counties.

C. <u>No Additional Consideration</u>. Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, Contractor shall not be entitled to, nor receive, from County, any additional consideration, compensation, salary, wages, or other type of remuneration for services rendered under this Agreement. Specifically, Contractor shall not be entitled, by virtue of this Agreement, to consideration in the form of overtime, health insurance benefits, retirement benefits, disability retirement benefits, sick leave, vacation time, paid holidays, or other paid leaves of absence of any type or kind whatsoever.

D. <u>Limit upon amount payable under Agreement</u>. The total sum of all payments made by the County to Contractor for services and work performed under this Agreement shall not exceed \$70,000.00 (Seventy Thousand Dollars) (hereinafter referred to as "contract limit"). County expressly reserves the right to deny any payment or reimbursement requested by Contractor for services or work performed that is in excess of the contract limit.

E. <u>Billing and Payment</u>. Contractor shall submit to the County, once a month, an itemized statement of all services and work described in Attachment A, which were done at the County's request. This statement will be submitted to the County not later than the fifth (5th) day of the month. The statement to be submitted will cover the period from the first (1st) day of the preceding month through and including the last day of the preceding month. This statement will identify the date on which the services and work were performed, the number of miles travelled, and describe the nature of the services and work that were performed on each day. Upon timely receipt of the statement by the fifth (5th) day of the month, the County shall make payment to Contractor no later than the last day of the month.

F. <u>Federal and State Taxes</u>.

(1) Except as provided in subparagraph (2) below, County will not withhold any federal or state income taxes or social security from any payments made by County to Contractor under the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

(2) County shall withhold California state income taxes from payments made under this Agreement to non-California resident independent contractors when it is anticipated that total annual payments to Contractor under this Agreement will exceed one thousand four hundred ninety-nine dollars (\$1,499.00).

(3) Except as set forth above, County has no obligation to withhold any taxes or payments from sums paid by County to Contractor under this Agreement. Payment of all taxes and other assessments on such sums is the sole responsibility of Contractor. County has no responsibility or liability for payment of Contractor's taxes or assessments.

(4) The total amounts paid by County to Contractor, and taxes withheld from payments to non-California residents, if any, will be reported annually to the Internal Revenue Service and the California State Franchise Tax Board.

4. WORK SCHEDULE.

Contractor's obligation is to perform, in a timely manner, those services and work identified in Attachment A that are requested by the Public Defenders. It is understood by Contractor that the performance of these services and work will require a varied schedule. Contractor, in arranging his schedule, will coordinate with the Public Defenders to ensure that all services and work requested by the Public Defenders under this Agreement will be performed within the time frame set forth by the Public Defenders.

5. REQUIRED LICENSES, CERTIFICATES, AND PERMITS.

Any licenses, certificates, or permits required by the federal, state, county, or municipal governments, for Contractor to provide the services and work described in Attachment A must be procured by Contractor and be valid at the time Contractor enters into this Agreement. Further, during the term of this Agreement, Contractor must maintain such licenses, certificates, and permits in full force and effect. Licenses, certificates, and permits may include, but are not limited to, driver's licenses, professional licenses or certificates, and business licenses. Such licenses, certificates, and permits will be procured and maintained in force by Contractor at no expense to the County. Contractor will provide County, upon execution of this Agreement, with evidence of current and valid licenses, certificates and permits that are required to perform the services identified in Attachment A. Where there is a dispute between Contractor and County as to what licenses, certificates, and permits are required to perform the services the right to make such determinations for purposes of this Agreement.

6. OFFICE SPACE, SUPPLIES, EQUIPMENT, ETC.

The Contractor shall provide such office space, supplies, equipment, vehicles, reference materials, support services and telephone service as is necessary for Contractor to provide the services identified in Attachment A to this Agreement. County is not obligated to reimburse or pay Contractor for any expense or cost incurred by Contractor in procuring or maintaining such items. Responsibility for the costs and expenses incurred by Contractor in providing and maintaining such items is the sole responsibility and obligation of Contractor.

7. COUNTY PROPERTY.

A. <u>Personal Property of County</u>. Any personal property such as, but not limited to, protective or safety devices, badges, identification cards, keys, uniforms, vehicles, reference materials, furniture, appliances, etc. provided to Contractor by County pursuant to this Agreement is, and at the termination of this Agreement remains, the sole and exclusive property of the County. Contractor will use reasonable care to protect, safeguard and maintain such items while they are in Contractor's possession. Contractor will be financially responsible for any loss or damage to such items, partial or total, that is the result of Contractor's negligence.

B. <u>Products of Contractor's Work and Services</u>. Any and all compositions, publications, plans, designs, specifications, blueprints, maps, formulas, processes, photographs, slides, videotapes, computer programs, computer disks, computer tapes, memory chips, soundtracks, audio recordings, films, audio-visual presentations, exhibits, reports, studies, works of art, inventions, patents, trademarks, copyrights, or intellectual properties of any kind that are created, produced, assembled, compiled by, or are the result, product, or manifestation of, Contractor's services or work under this Agreement are, and at the termination of this Agreement remain, the sole and exclusive property of the County. At the termination of the Agreement, Contractor will convey possession and title to all such properties to Public Defenders.

8. WORKERS' COMPENSATION.

Contractor shall provide Statutory Workers' Compensation insurance coverage and Employer's Liability coverage for not less than \$1 million (\$1,000,000.00) per occurrence for all employees engaged in services or operations under this Agreement.

9. INSURANCE.

- A. <u>General Liability</u>. Contractor shall procure and maintain, during the entire term of this Agreement, a policy of Comprehensive General Liability Insurance which covers all the work and services to be performed by Contractor under this Agreement, including operations, products and completed operations, as applicable. Such policy shall provide limits of not less than \$1,000,000.00 combined single limit (CSL) per occurrence. Such policy will not exclude or except from coverage any of the services and work required to be performed by Contractor under this Agreement. The required policy of insurance shall be issued by an insurer authorized to sell such insurance by the State of California, and have at least a "Best's" policyholder=s rating of "A" or "A+". Prior to commencing any work under this agreement, Contractor shall provide County: 1) a certificate of insurance evidencing the coverage required; (2) an additional insured endorsement applying to the County of Mono, its agents, officers and employees; and 3) a notice of cancellation or change of coverage endorsement indicating that the policy will not be modified, terminated, or canceled without thirty (30) days written notice to the County and the Public Defenders.
- B. <u>Automobile/Aircraft/Watercraft Liability Insurance.</u> Contractor shall provide Comprehensive Automobile/Aircraft/Watercraft Liability Insurance for bodily injury (including death) and property damage which provides total limits of not less than \$1,000,000.00 combined single limit per occurrence applicable to all owned, non-owned and hired vehicles/aircraft/watercraft. This coverage may be waived by Risk Management in writing if it is determined there is no significant exposure to these risks.
- C. <u>Professional Errors and Omissions Liability Insurance</u>. Contractor shall provide professional errors and omission liability insurance in an amount of not less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) each occurrence/one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) policy aggregate. If professional liability coverage is written on a claims-made form: (1) the "retro date" must be shown, and must be before the date of the contract of the beginning of contract work; (2) insurance must be maintained and evidence of insurance must be provided for at least five (5) years after completion of the contract work; and (3) if coverage if cancelled of non-renewed, and not replaced with another claims-made policy form with a "retro date" prior to the contract effective date, the Contractor must purchase "extended reporting" coverage for a minimum of five (5) years after completion of contract work.

D. <u>Deductible and Self-Insured Retentions</u>. Any deductibles or self-insured retentions must be declared and approved by Mono County. If possible, the Insurer shall reduce or eliminate such deductibles or self-insured retentions with respect to Mono County, its officials, officers, employees, and volunteers; or the Contractor shall provide evidence satisfactory to Mono County guaranteeing payment of losses and related investigations, claim administration, and defense expenses.

10. STATUS OF CONTRACTOR.

All acts of Contractor, his agents, officers, and employees, relating to the performance of this Agreement, shall be performed by independent contractors, and not as agents, officers, or employees of the County or the Public Defenders. Contractor, by virtue of this Agreement, has no authority to bind or incur any obligation on behalf of, or exercise any right or power vested in, the County or the Public Defenders, except as expressly provided by law or set forth in Attachment A. No agent, officer, or employee of the County or the Public Defenders is to be considered an employee of Contractor. It is understood by both Contractor and County, and the Public Defenders that this Agreement shall not, under any circumstances, be construed to create an employee-employee relationship or a joint venture. As an independent contractor:

A. Contractor shall determine the method, details, and means of performing the work and services to be provided by Contractor under this Agreement.

B. Contractor shall be responsible to the Public Defenders only for the requirements and results specified in this Agreement, and except as expressly provided in this Agreement, shall not be subjected to County's control with respect to the physical action or activities of Contractor in fulfillment of this Agreement.

C. Contractor, its agents, officers and employees are, and at all times during the term of this Agreement shall represent and conduct themselves as independent contractors, and not employees of County.

11. DEFENSE AND INDEMNIFICATION.

Contractor shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless County, the Public Defenders, their agents, officers, and employees from and against all claims, damages, losses, judgments, liabilities, expenses, and other costs, including litigation costs and attorney's fees, arising out of, resulting from or in connection with, the performance of this Agreement by Contractor, or Contractor's agents, officers, or employees. Contractor's obligation to defend, indemnify, and hold the County, the Public Defenders, their agents, officers, and employees harmless applies to any actual or alleged personal injury, death, damage or destruction to tangible or intangible property, including the loss of use. Contractor's obligation under this paragraph extends to any claim, damage, loss, liability, expense, or other costs that are caused in whole or in part by any act or omission of the Contractor, its agents, employees, supplier, or anyone directly or indirectly employed by any of them, or anyone for whose acts or omissions any of them may be liable.

Contractor's obligation to defend, indemnify, and hold the County, the Public Defenders, their agents, officers, and employees harmless under the provisions of this paragraph is not limited to, or restricted by, any requirement in this Agreement for Contractor to procure and maintain a policy of insurance.

12. RECORDS AND AUDIT.

A. <u>Records</u>. Contractor shall prepare and maintain all records required by the various provisions of this Agreement, federal, state, county, municipal, ordinances, regulations, and directions. Contractor shall maintain these records for a minimum of four (4) years from the termination or completion of this Agreement. Contractor may fulfill its obligation to maintain records as required by this paragraph by substitute photographs, micrographs, or other authentic reproduction of such records.

B. <u>Inspections and Audits</u>. Any authorized representative of the Public Defenders shall have access to any books, documents, papers, records, including, but not limited to, financial records of Contractor, that the Public Defenders determine to be pertinent to this Agreement, for the purposes of making audit, evaluation, examination, excerpts, and transcripts during the period such records are to be maintained by Contractor. Further, the Public Defenders have the right, at all reasonable times, to audit, inspect, or otherwise evaluate the work performed or being performed under this Agreement.

13. NONDISCRIMINATION.

During the performance of this Agreement, Contractor, its agents, officers, and employees shall not unlawfully discriminate in violation of any federal, state, or local law, against any employee, or applicant for employment, or person receiving services under this Agreement, because of race, religion, color, ancestry, national origin, physical handicap, medical condition, marital status, age, or sex. Contractor and its agents, officers, and employees shall comply with the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Government Code section 12900, et seq.), and the applicable regulations promulgated thereunder in the California Code of Regulations. Contractor shall also abide by the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) and all amendments thereto, and all administrative rules and regulations issued pursuant to said Act.

14. CANCELLATION.

This Agreement may be canceled by County and/or the unanimous demand of all three Public Defenders without cause, and at will, for any reason by giving to Contractor thirty (30) days written notice of such intent to cancel. Contractor may cancel this Agreement without cause, and at will, for any reason whatsoever by giving to County thirty (30) days written notice of such intent to cancel. In the event Contractor is planning to relocate out of the area prior to the expiration of this Agreement, Contractor shall provide as much notice as possible to the County and the Public Defenders.

15. ASSIGNMENT.

This is an agreement for the personal services of Contractor. County and Public Defenders have relied upon the skills, knowledge, experience, and training of Contractor as an inducement to enter into this Agreement. Contractor shall not assign or subcontract this Agreement, or any part of it, without the express written consent of the County and the Public Defenders. Further, Contractor shall not assign any moneys due or to become due under this Agreement without the prior written consent of the County and the Public Defenders.

16. DEFAULT.

If the Contractor abandons the work, or fails to proceed with the work and services requested by the Public Defenders in a timely manner, or fails in any way as required to conduct the work and services as required by the Public Defenders, the County and the Public Defenders may declare the Contractor in default and terminate this Agreement upon five (5) days written notice to Contractor. Upon such termination by default, County will pay to Contractor all amounts owing to Contractor for services and work satisfactorily performed to the date of termination.

17. WAIVER OF DEFAULT.

Waiver of any default by either party to this Agreement shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any subsequent default. Waiver or breach of any provision of this Agreement shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent breach, and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of this Agreement unless this Agreement is modified as provided in paragraph 23 below.

18. CONFIDENTIALITY.

Contractor agrees to comply with various provisions of the federal, state, and county laws, regulations, and ordinances providing that information and records kept, maintained, or accessible by Contractor in the course of providing services and work under this Agreement, shall be privileged, restricted, or confidential. Contractor agrees to keep confidential, all such privileged, restricted or confidential information and records obtained in the course of providing the work and services under this Agreement. Disclosure of such information or records shall be made by Contractor only with the express written consent of the Public Defenders.

19. CONFLICTS.

Contractor agrees that he has no interest, and shall not acquire any interest, direct or indirect, that would conflict in any manner or degree with the performance of the work and services under this Agreement. Contractor agrees to complete and file a conflict-of-interest statement.

20. POST-AGREEMENT COVENANT.

Contractor agrees not to use any confidential, protected, or privileged information that is gained from the County or the Public Defenders in the course of providing services and work under this Agreement, for any personal benefit, gain, or enhancement. Further, Contractor agrees for a period of two (2) years after the termination of this Agreement, not to seek or accept any employment with any entity, association, corporation, or person who, during the term of this Agreement, has had an adverse or conflicting interest with the County or the Public Defenders, or who has been an adverse party in litigation with the County, the Public Defenders and their clients, and concerning such, Contractor by virtue of this Agreement has gained access to the County's and the Public Defenders confidential, privileged, protected, or proprietary information.

21. SEVERABILITY.

If any portion of this Agreement or application thereof to any person or circumstance shall be declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, or if it is found in contravention of any federal, state, or county statute, ordinance, or regulation, the remaining provisions of this Agreement, or the application thereof, shall not be invalidated thereby, and shall remain in full force and effect to the extent that the provisions of this Agreement are severable.

22. FUNDING LIMITATION.

The ability of the County to enter into this Agreement is based upon available funding from various sources. In the event that such funding fails, is reduced, or is modified, from one or more sources, County has the option to terminate, reduce, or modify this Agreement, or any of its terms within ten (10) days of notifying Contractor of the termination, reduction, or modification of available funding. Any reduction or modification of this Agreement effective pursuant to this provision must comply with the requirements of paragraph 23.

23. AMENDMENT.

This Agreement may be modified, amended, changed, added to, or subtracted from, by the mutual consent of the parties hereto, if such amendment or change is in written form, and executed with the same formalities as this Agreement, and attached to the original Agreement to maintain continuity.

24. NOTICE.

Any notice, communication, amendments, additions or deletions to this Agreement, including change of address of any party during the term of this Agreement, which Contractor or County shall be required, or may desire to make, shall be in writing and may be personally served, or sent by prepaid first-class mail to the respective parties as follows:

<u>County of Mono</u>: Jim Leddy, CAO P.O. Box 696 Bridgeport CA 93517 <u>Contractor</u>:

Tyrone Atwater Atwater Investigations P.O. Box 141 Coleville CA 93546

<u>Public Defenders</u>: Randall Gephart Berger Silverman & Gephart P.O. Box 1768 Mammoth Lakes CA 96107 David Hammon Law Offices of David Hammon P.O. Box 3267 Mammoth Lakes CA 93546

Gerald F. Mohun, Jr. Liebersbach Mohun Carney & Reed P.O. Box 3337 Mammoth Lakes CA 93546

25. ENTIRE AGREEMENT.

This Agreement contains the entire agreement of the parties, and no representations, inducements, promises, or agreements otherwise between the parties not embodied herein or incorporated herein by reference, shall be of any force or effect. This Agreement specifically supersedes the prior, existing Agreement between the parties. Further, no term or provision hereof may be changed, waived, discharged, or terminated, unless executed in writing by the parties hereto.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, THE PARTIES HERETO HAVE SET THEIR HANDS AND SEALS THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 2014.

|--|

CONTRACTOR

By: ______ Larry Johnston, Chairman

Dated: _____

Dated:

Taxpayer's Identification or Social Security Number: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

ATTACHMENT A

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF MONO AND TYRONE ATWATER d/b/a ATWATER INVESTIGATIONS FOR THE PROVISION OF PRIVATE INVESTIGATION SERVICES FOR THE MONO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS

SCOPE OF WORK:

Contractor enters into this contract with County to provide private investigation services to the Public Defenders for any and all cases which are filed in Mono County, California, in connection with the representation of indigent parties entitled to receive legal representation under the law. Contractor shall be directly responsive to the Public Defenders and provide investigation services as required by them as follows:

GENERAL SERVICES:

- Investigation services include, but are not limited to, interviews of clients, witnesses, and others identified by the Public Defenders, to the extent possible, such interviews should be in person; review and obtain law enforcement reports; service of subpoenas; assist in hearing and trial preparation; testify at hearings and trials when necessary; and such other services as may be reasonably required by the Public Defenders.
- 2. Contractor shall be available to provide investigative services within 24 hours notice by Public Defenders.
- 3. Contractor acknowledges that there are three contract Public Defenders and that he is required to provide investigative services for all three Public Defenders.
- 4. Contractor shall provide notice to the Public Defender(s) of any and all conflicts of interest immediately upon his becoming aware of any such conflict.
- 5. Contractor understands and acknowledges that the attorney-client and attorney-work product privileges as set forth in the California Evidence Code, and such other statutory and case law, apply to services provided to the Public Defenders under this contract, and agrees that he will maintain such privileges, and confidentiality.

SPECIAL SERVICES:

The parties understand and recognize that from time to time, the Public Defenders may require one or more investigation services to be conducted in Spanish or some other non-English language, and that Contractor is not currently fluent in any language other than English. Such services shall be considered "special services," and Contractor may either decline to provide them without being in breach of this agreement, or Contractor may subcontract or make such other arrangements to provide them on such terms and conditions as may be acceptable to the Public Defenders and mutually agreeable to the parties. In that event, the actual additional expenses incurred by Contractor to provide such special services may be passed through to the County, in addition to the compensation paid for general services.



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗏 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Fish and Game Regarding Pacific Halibut Sport Fishing Season

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Letter from the Fish and Game Commission, dated June 6, 2014 regarding notice of proposed emergency regulatory action relating to Pacific halibut sport fishing.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

Fish and Game

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/12/2014 2:01 PM	Clerk of the Board	Yes

Commissioners Michael Sutton, President Monterey Jack Baylis, Vice President Los Angeles Jim Kellogg, Member Discovery Bay Richard Rogers, Member Santa Barbara Jacque Hostler-Carmesin, Member McKinleyville

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor

Fish and Game Commission

Sonke Mastrup, Executive Director 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1320 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 653-4899 (916) 653-5040 Fax www.fgc.ca.gov



June 6, 2014

TO ALL AFFECTED AND INTERESTED PARTIES:

Pursuant to the requirements of Government Code section 11346.1(a)(1), the Fish and Game Commission (Commission) is providing notice of proposed emergency regulatory action relating to Pacific halibut sport fishing. The objective of this regulation is to close the Pacific halibut sport fishing season during the month of August in conformance with federal regulations.

The Commission adopted this emergency regulation at its June 4, 2014 meeting. It is anticipated that the emergency regulation will be filed with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on or about June 17, 2014.

Government Code section 11346.1(a)(2) requires that, at least five working days prior to submission of the proposed emergency action to the Office of Administrative Law, the adopting agency provide a notice of the proposed emergency action to every person who has filed a request for notice of regulatory action with the agency. After submission of the proposed emergency to the Office of Administrative Law, the Office of Administrative Law shall allow interested persons five calendar days to submit comments on the proposed emergency regulations as set forth in Government Code section 11349.6.

In order to be considered, public comments on proposed emergency regulations must be submitted in writing to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), 300 Capitol Mall, Room 1250, Sacramento, CA 95814 or via email to staff@oal.ca.gov; AND to the Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1320, Sacramento, CA 95814, or via e-mail to fgc@fgc.ca.gov. Comments must identify the emergency topic and may address the finding of emergency, the standards set forth in sections 11346.1 and 11349.1 of the Government Code and Section 240 of the Fish and Game Code. Comments must be received within five calendar days of filing of the emergency regulations. Please refer to OAL's website (<u>www.oal.ca.gov</u>) to determine the date on which the regulations are filed with OAL.

Sincerely,

buna

Sherrie Fonbuena Associate Governmental Program Analyst

Attachments

Regulatory Language

Section 28.20, Title 14, CCR, is amended to read:

§ 28.20. Halibut, Pacific.

(a) Season: Pacific halibut may be taken only from May 1 through <u>July 31 and from</u> <u>September 1 through</u> October 31.

(b) Limit: One.

(c) Minimum size: None.

1 1116

Note: Authority cited: Sections 200, 202, 205, 210, 219 and 220, 219, 220, 240 and 316, Fish and Game Code. Reference: Sections 200 202200, 201, 202, 203.1, 205 210205, 207, 210, 215 and 217.5-222, 219, 220 and 316, Fish and Game Code.

CALIFORNIA FISH AND GAME COMMISSION STATEMENT OF PROPOSED EMERGENCY REGULATORY ACTION

Emergency Action to Amend Section 28.20 Title 14, California Code of Regulations Re: Pacific halibut

I. Statement of Facts Constituting the Need for Emergency Regulatory Action

Pacific halibut along the United States west coast are jointly managed through authorities of the International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC), Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council), and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), in conjunction with west coast state agencies. The Council coordinates west coast management of all recreational and commercial Pacific halibut fisheries in U.S. waters through the Pacific Halibut Catch Sharing Plan (CSP), which constitutes a framework for recommending annual management measures. NMFS is then responsible for specifying the final management measures in federal regulation (Title 50 CFR Subpart E of Part 300 and the Federal Register). These federal regulations are applicable in the Federal Exclusive Economic Zone (three to 200 miles offshore) off Washington, Oregon and California.

Pursuant to the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S. Code §1801 *et seq.*), the federal government exercises exclusive jurisdiction over fishery resources from 3 to 200 miles offshore. However, because some of these fish stocks also live in state waters, it is important that federal and state fishery management actions be consistent. Section 1856 of the Magnuson-Stevens Act requires states to conform their laws to the federal regime to maintain their jurisdiction over Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*), which occur in both federal and state waters. Failure to undertake conforming actions can result in the federal government formally preempting state control of the fishery in state waters (16 U.S. Code §1856(b); Title 50 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 600, Subpart G).

Under California law [Sections 200, 202, and 316 of the Fish and Game Code(FGC)], the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) has authority to establish regulations for sport fishing in State waters (zero to three miles from shore) and to prohibit the taking or possessing of Pacific halibut in the same manner as prohibited through federal law, or by rules or regulations adopted by the IPHC. For species managed under federal fishery management plans or regulations (e.g., salmon, groundfish, coastal pelagic and highly migratory species, and Pacific halibut), the Commission has usually taken concurrent action, pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act, to conform State recreational regulations to federal regulations which have been adopted through an open and deliberative federal rulemaking process, including a detailed review of economic impacts. This is done in recognition of federal jurisdiction and to ensure consistency and ease of use for constituents who are subject to both State and federal laws while fishing, or possessing sport fish.

1

Recent Council Action Re: Pacific Halibut Fishing Off California

At its November 2013 meeting, the Council recommended changes to the 2014 recreational Pacific halibut regulations off California in order to keep catches within federal harvest limits. The recommendations included shortening the open season off California by closing the month of August, in response to substantially increased catches of Pacific halibut off California's north coast in past recent years, while Pacific halibut abundance is slightly declining across its range. The closure of August was determined to be the best available measure to manage the fishery in a manner more consistent with the allocation framework and to prevent exceeding the overall allocation. The Council's recommendations were implemented by NMFS in federal regulations that took effect on April 1, 2014.

In response to the Council's November 2013 recommendation, the Commission initially pursued a standard rulemaking under the authority of Section 205.1, FGC, to adopt a process to auto-conform State Pacific halibut sport fishing regulations to federal regulations (OAL notice #Z2014-0308-15). However, it became apparent during the rulemaking process that 1) the proposed regulation did not provide for the printing of the new season date in Title 14, and 2) the authority provided in Section 205.1, FGC, was insufficient to promulgate regulatory changes to the season date without pursuing a separate rulemaking.

These facts came to the attention of the Commission staff too late to begin a three-meeting rulemaking process in time to achieve an August closure.

The proposed amendments of Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), described in this Emergency Statement must be effective in time to conform to these federal regulations, since Title 14, CCR, regulations currently allow fishing in the month of August. If Commission action, or the effective date of the regulation changes proposed in this package is delayed, there will be inconsistency in season dates between the federal and State regulations. Conflict between State and federal regulations will confuse recreational anglers, hamper the efforts of enforcement personnel, and could result in harvests that exceed the total allowable catch established in federal law for purposes of halibut conservation.

Present Regulations

Beginning in 2007, the recreational Pacific halibut season has been open from May 1 through October 31 each year. In general, most of the recreational fishery off California takes place between Memorial Day and Labor Day. Seasonally, the majority of the Pacific halibut catch (62 percent) occurs during July and August; a time when fishing opportunities for salmon and groundfish are also available.

Current State regulations (Section 28.20, Title 14, CCR) authorize recreational fishing for Pacific halibut in State ocean waters (zero to three miles offshore) from May 1 to October 31. The daily bag limit is one fish per angler and there is no minimum size limit.

Proposed Amendments

The proposed amendments to the regulations would modify subsection 28.20(a),

Title 14, CCR, to allow fishing from May 1 through July 31 and from September 1 through October 31; closing the month of August. These State regulations would be consistent with federal regulations, and are expected to achieve needed reductions in catch off the California coast to keep within harvest limits set for the west coast. Failure to effectively close the fishery during the month of August could result in exceeding federal west coast harvest limits, which could pose an immediate risk to the conservation and sustainability of this fishery resource.

II. Impact of Regulatory Action

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

None.

(b) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:

None.

(c) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:

None.

 (d) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code:

None.

III. Authority and Reference

The Fish and Game Commission proposes this emergency action pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 202, 205, 219, 220, 240 and 316 of the Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret, or make specific sections 200, 201, 202, 203.1, 205, 207, 210, 215, 219, 220, and 316 of said Code.

IV. Section 240 Finding

Pursuant to Section 240 of the Fish and Game Code, the Commission made the finding that the adoption of this regulation is necessary for the immediate conservation, preservation, or protection of birds, mammals, reptiles, or fish, including, but not limited to, any nests or eggs thereof.

Informative Digest (Plain English Overview)

The Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) coordinates west coast management of recreational and commercial Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus stenolepis*) fisheries in the federal fishery management zone (three to 200 miles offshore) off Washington, Oregon and California and recommends management measures that National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) then specifies in federal regulation.

Under California law (Sections 200, 202, and 316 of the Fish and Game Code), the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) has authority to establish regulations for sport fishing in State waters (zero to three miles from shore) and to prohibit the taking or possessing of Pacific halibut in the same manner as prohibited through federal law, or by rules or regulations adopted by the International Pacific Halibut Commission.

Current State regulations (Section 28.20, Title 14) authorize recreational fishing for Pacific halibut in California waters from May 1 to October 31. The daily bag limit is one fish per angler and there is no minimum size limit.

At its November 2013 meeting, the Council recommended changes to the 2014 recreational Pacific halibut regulations off California. The recommendations included shortening the open season by closing the month of August. The closure of August was determined to be the best available measure to manage the fishery in a manner more consistent with the allocation framework and to prevent exceeding the overall allocation.

The NMFS adopted the Council's recommendation and the changes to the federal regulations took effect on April 1, 2014.

Due to the recent Council action to close the month of August to Pacific halibut fishing off California, the Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) believes that it is prudent to take emergency action to quickly adhere to the federal action for the immediate conservation of California's Pacific halibut populations. The proposed emergency regulations are necessary to achieve conformance with the federal regulations in time achieve the August closure.

Proposed Amendments

The proposed amendments to the regulations would modify subsection 28.20(a) to allow fishing from May 1 through July 31 and September 1 through October 1. The modified language would be consistent with federal regulations, pursuant to the Pacific Halibut Catch Sharing Plan adopted by the Council, for Pacific halibut regulations applicable in California State waters.

Benefits: The proposed regulation will provide benefits to the environment in the conservation and preservation of wild Pacific halibut populations.

The proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing State regulations. The Legislature has delegated authority to the Commission to promulgate Pacific halibut sport fishing regulations (sections 200, 202, 205, and 316, Fish and Game Code).



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗏 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Information received from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regarding Application for Temporary Variance of Minimum Pool Elevation Requirement for the Lee Vining Project.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

Federal Energy

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/24/2014 4:21 PM	Clerk of the Board	Yes

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Southern California Edison Company

Project No. 1388-075

NOTICE OF APPLICATION ACCEPTED FOR FILING, SOLICITING COMMENTS, MOTIONS TO INTERVENE, AND PROTESTS

(June 18, 2014)

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a.	Type of Application:	Application for Temporary Variance of Minimum Pool Elevation Requirement
b.	Project No.:	1388-075
c.	Date Filed:	June 16, 2014
d.	Applicant:	Southern California Edison Company (licensee)
e.	Name of Project:	Lee Vining
f.	Location:	Lee Vining Creek in Mono County, CA
g.	Filed Pursuant to:	Federal Power Act, 16 USC 791(a)-825(r).
h.	Applicant Contact:	Mr. Wayne Allen, Southern California Edison Company, 1515 Walnut Grove Avenue, Rosemead, CA 91770. Phone (626) 302-9741
i.	FERC Contact:	Mr. John Aedo, (415) 369-3335, or john.aedo@ferc.gov

j. Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, protests, and recommendations is **30 days from the issuance date of this notice by the Commission (July 18, 2014)**. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing. Please file motions to intervene, protests, comments, or recommendations using the Commission's eFiling system at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ecomment.asp. You must include your name and contact

Project No. 1388-075

information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at <u>FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov</u>, (866) 208-3676 (toll free), or (202) 502-8659 (TTY). In lieu of electronic filing, please send a paper copy to: Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426. Please include the project number (**P-1388-075**) on any comments, motions to intervene, protests, or recommendations filed.

k. Description of Request: The licensee requests Commission approval to temporarily reduce the surface elevation of Tioga Lake below the minimum pool elevation requirement of its project license. U.S. Forest Service Section 4(e) Condition No. 4 requires that the licensee maintain Tioga Lake within 2 feet of the spillway crest during dry years, from May 1st through September 30th. In order to accommodate the planned installation of geomembrane liners on the main and auxiliary dams, the licensee requests Commission approval to begin draining Tioga Lake on September 2, 2014, thereby reducing lake levels below the required elevation prior to September 30th. The licensee would maintain natural flows through the outlet valve through the duration of the proposed project.

1. Locations of the Application: A copy of the application is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street, NE, Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 502-8371. This filing may also be viewed on the Commission's website at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. You may also register online at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. You may also register online at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. You may also register online at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp. Enter the docket number register online at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp. Bo the notified via email of new filings and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, call 1-866-208-3676 or e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, for TTY, call (202) 502-8659. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item (h) above.

m. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

n. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene: Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

o. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents: Any filing must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE" as applicable; (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number

- 2 -

Project No. 1388-075

of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person protesting or intervening; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, motions to intervene, or protests must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). All comments, motions to intervene, or protests should relate to project works which are the subject of the license surrender. Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. A copy of any protest or motion to intervene must be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application. If an intervener files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency. A copy of all other filings in reference to this application must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed in the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b) and 385.2010.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary.



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🖺 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT Southern California Edison

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Information from SCE dated June 19, 2015 regarding the 2015 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) Forecast Application, A.14-06-011.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

□ <u>SCE</u>

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/24/2014 4:24 PM	Clerk of the Board	Yes



Russell A. Archer Senior Attorney russell.archer@sce.com

June 19, 2014



Re: Southern California Edison Company's 2015 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) Forecast Application, A.14-06-011

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Rule 3.2(b), Southern California Edison Company (SCE) is providing notice that on June 11, 2014, SCE filed an application with the CPUC for approval of SCE's forecast of the costs of fuel and of power it will need to purchase to provide electricity to its customers in 2015.

The filing is described in general terms in the enclosed notice that will also be published in a newspaper of general circulation in every county within SCE's service territory, as well as included as a bill notice provided to every SCE customer. To obtain more detailed information, you may view and/or download a copy of SCE's filing and supporting testimony on our website, <u>http://www.sce.com/applications</u> (type "A.14-06-011" into the Search box, and click "Go"). You may also request a printed copy of these documents from SCE at the address listed in the enclosed notice.

Sincerely,

/s/ Russell A. Archer

Russell A. Archer

Enclosure

Los usuarios con acceso al Internet podrán leer y descargar esta notificación en español en el sitio Web de SCE <u>www.sce.com/avisos</u> o escriba a:

Southern California Edison Company P.O. Box 800 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770 Atención: Comunicaciones Corporativas

Para mas detalles en Español, llame al 1-800-441-2233 Monday - Sunday 8:00 a.m. - 8:00 p.m. [8] 1-800-843-1399 ▲ 中文 1-800-843-8343 ▲ 한국어 1-800-628-3061 ▲ Tiếng Việt 1-800-327-3031 Monday. - Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.



An EDISON INTERNATIONAL[®] Company

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (SCE)

NOTICE OF ENERGY RESOURCE RECOVERY ACCOUNT (ERRA) FOR 2015 APPLICATION A.14-06-011

PROPOSAL TO INCREASE YOUR ELECTRIC RATES

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) has filed an Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) forecast application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). This application is referred to as A.14-06-011. In an ERRA forecast application, SCE estimates the costs of fuel that it needs to generate electricity, and the costs of additional power it purchases for its customers for the following year. This information is provided to the CPUC to determine SCE's rates.

In addition to estimating the 2015 fuel and purchased power cost, the ERRA includes:

(1) A request to recover amounts in accounts authorized by the CPUC that did not have sufficient funds to cover costs (under-collected) in 2014, and

(2) other expenses recoverable in ERRA proceedings, such as expenses related to spent nuclear fuel.

In this application, SCE is requesting the Commission to authorize SCE's 2015 ERRA proceeding revenue requirement of \$6.406 billion. This request represents an increase of \$1.250 billion from SCE's 2014 ERRA revenue requirement (implemented on June 1, 2014).

The following table compares the current 2014 ERRA rates to the forecast 2015 ERRA rates:

<u>Customer Group Revenue Impact</u> 2015 ERRA Application Compared to Current ERRA Rates

		System		Bu	ndled
	Current	2015 ERRA		Current	2015 ERRA
Customer Group	Revenues	Change	%	Rates	Rates
	(\$000)	(\$000)	Change	(¢/kWh)	(¢/kWh)
Residential Lighting - Small and Medium	5,121,426	512,246	10.0%	17.76	19.52
Power	4,740,830	437,987	9.2%	18.28	20.08
Large Power	2,135,450	213,008	10.0%	12.99	14.50
Agricultural and Pumping	418,362	46,328	11.1%	14.67	16.30
Street and Area Lighting	136,623	8,981	6.6%	18.81	20.05
Standby	279,267	31,210	11.2%	10.96	12.30
Total	12,831,959	1,249,760	9.7%	16.70	18.40

If SCE's proposed ERRA rate change is approved as requested, an average non-CARE residential electric customer would see a bill increase of \$11.29 per month, from \$124.76 to \$ 136.05.

The Commission is also currently investigating SCE's actions regarding the long-term outages and ultimate retirement of the San Onofre Nuclear Generation Station (SONGS). This is a separate proceeding (I.12-10-013) and has a proposed settlement that is pending CPUC approval. If adopted, this would result in refunds that would offset a portion of SCE's proposed rate increase in this proceeding.

If this settlement is adopted by the CPUC, SCE's 2015 ERRA proceeding revenue requirement would be \$5.624 billion, which would represent an increase of \$468 million from SCE's 2014 ERRA revenue requirement (implemented on June 1, 2014).

The following table compares the current 2014 ERRA rates to the forecast 2015 ERRA rates if the SONGS settlement is approved:

<u>Customer Group Revenue Impact</u> 2015 ERRA Application With SONGS Settlement Compared to Current ERRA Rates

		System		Bu	ndled
	Current	2015 ERRA		Current	2015 ERRA
Customer Group	Revenues	Change	%	Rates	Rates
	(\$000)	(\$000)	Change	(¢/kWh)	(¢/kWh)
Residential Lighting - Small and Medium	5,121,426	163,484	3.2%	17.76	18.32
Power	4,740,830	180,296	3.8%	18.28	19.02
Large Power	2,135,450	87,893	4.1%	12.99	13.61
Agricultural and Pumping	418,362	19,320	4.6%	14.67	15.35
Street and Area Lighting	136,623	4,567	3.3%	18.81	19.44
Standby	279,267	12,874	4.6%	10.96	11.51
Total	12,831,959	468,433	3.7%	16.70	17.33

If SCE's proposed ERRA rate change with SONGS settlement is approved as requested, an average non-CARE residential electric customer would see a bill increase of \$3.60 per month, from \$124.76 to \$ 128.36.

This Application is a forecast and is likely to change prior to including these costs in next year's electric rates. SCE expects to update this Application in November 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION FROM SCE

You may review a copy of this Application and related exhibits at SCE's corporate headquarters (2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, Rosemead, CA 91770). You may also view these materials at the following SCE business offices:

1 Pebbly Beach Rd. Avalon, CA 90704	1820 Rimrock Rd. Barstow, CA 92311	374 Lagoon St., Bishop, CA 93514
Avalon, CA 90104		
505 W. 14 th Ave.	3001 Chateau Rd.	510 S. China Lake Blvd.,
Blythe, CA 92225	Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546	Ridgecrest, CA 93555
26364 Pine Ave.	41604 Dinkow Crock Dd	421 W. J St.,
	41694 Dinkey Creek Rd.	1 ,
Rimforest, CA 92378	Shaver Lake, CA 93664	Tehachapi, CA 93561

120 Woodland Dr.,			
Wofford Heights, CA	93285		

Customers with Internet access may view and download SCE's application and the papers supporting it on SCE's website, <u>www.sce.com/applications</u> (type A.14-06-011 into the Search box and click "Go"). Anyone who would like to obtain more information about the application, please write to:

Southern California Edison Company 2015 ERRA Forecast Application P.O. Box 800 Rosemead, CA 91770 Attention: Law Dept - Case Administration

CPUC PROCESS

The CPUC will evaluate and determine the ERRA forecast through its administrative law process where the proceeding is assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will direct the method in which the record, upon which the Commission bases its decisions, is made. The Judge **may** hold evidentiary hearings where parties to the proceeding provide testimony and other parties may cross-examine them. These hearings are open to the public, but only formal parties of record may participate. After considering all proposals and evidence presented, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision. The Commissioners may approve the proposed decision as written, modify it, approve another proposal written by a Commissioner or completely deny SCE's request.

The CPUC also would like to hear from you. You may submit informal comments to the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at:

Write: California Public Utilities Commission Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

E-mail: <u>Public.Advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</u>

Telephone: 1-866-849-8390 or 1-415-703-2074

Please state that you are writing concerning SCE's application A.14-06-011. Your comments will become a part of the formal correspondence file for public comment in this proceeding.

You may also contact the CPUC Public Advisor's Office if you need advice on how to participate in this proceeding.

June 2014



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🖺 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Moss Letter Regarding Mono County Chamber of Commerce

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Letter dated June 5, 2014 from David Moss enclosing a California Franchise Tax Board Letter addressed to the Mono County Chamber of Commerce in care of Mr. Moss. Mr. Moss served as Treasurer on the Mono County Chamber in the late 1990's and this information has to do with Tax-Exempt Status Revocation and who currently carries the financial burden of the Chamber. When Mr. Moss resigned in 2000, he saw to it that the financial burden of the Chamber was assumed by the County's Accounting office.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Shannon Kendall

PHONE/EMAIL: x5533 / skendall@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

Moss Letter
Time	Who	Approval
6/9/2014 9:02 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/9/2014 9:02 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/9/2014 9:03 AM	Finance	Yes

David E. Moss P.O. Box 2667 Mammoth Lakes, Ca. 93546

RECEIVED JUN 0 5 2014

MONO COUNTY Community Development

80777 Corte Santa Carmela Indio, Ca. 92203

June 5, 2014

Mono County Board of Supervisors Mono County, California

Enclosed find the original of a letter from the California Franchise Tax Board addressed to the Mono County Chamber of Commerce, in care of me, and because of us living at our desert home part of the year, was recently received.

Sometime in the late 1990's, I was appointed by Andrea Mead Lawrence to serve on the chamber. I served part of the time as treasurer, and part of the time as its chairperson. Sometime around the year 2000 (I do not recall the exact date), I resigned from the Chamber and saw to it at that time that the financial burden of the Chamber was assumed by the County's Accounting Office.

Assuming that the responsibility still lies with the county itself, I am taking the liberty of turning this issue over to you, the board.

I am currently back in our Mammoth home, and if needed, can be reached at my cell phone # 760-937-4168. If I may be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

David Moss

Hand delivered this date to the county offices, Mammoth Lakes, Ca.



Franchise Tax Board Requirements to Avoid Tax-Exempt Status Revocation

MONO COUNTY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE C/O D E MOSS BOX 2667 MAMMOTH LAKES CA 93546

Entity ID : 0423346

We suspended or forfeited the California tax-exempt corporation above for failure to file a return or pay a balance due.

To revive to good standing and avoid tax-exempt status revocation, follow these instructions:

File FTB 3557E, Application for Certificate of Revivor.	To download, go to ftb.ca.gov and search FTB 3557E. Mail the completed FTB 3557E within 30 calendar days of this notice date to:
	EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS UNIT MS F120 FRANCHISE TAX BOARD PO BOX 1286 RANCHO CORDOVA CA 95741-1286
Revivor Requirements	After we receive the completed FTB 3557E, we will mail your corporation the requirements and instructions to revive to good standing.
Failure to Revive	 If your corporation does not revive, we will: Revoke your corporation tax-exempt status (Revenue and Taxation Code Section 23777). Issue a tax assessment. Assess a penalty of 25 percent of the tax assessment for failure to provide the information we requested.
Contact Information	Call 916.845.4171 or 800.822.6268 (TTY/TDD).



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗏 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Information Technology

TIME REQUIRED	15 minutes (5 minute presentation; 10 minute discussion)	PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE
SUBJECT	Authorization to Recruit and Refill GIS Specialist Position	BOARD

Nate Greenberg

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

As of June 25, 2014, the GIS Specialist position within the Information Technology department will be vacated by the incumbent, Peter Kobylarz. This item requests authorization to recruit for and re-fill this critical position.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Authorize the Director of Information Technology to begin the recruitment effort for a GIS Specialist I/II position to replace Peter Kobylarz.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Approximately \$114,087 consisting of \$66,288 in salary and \$47,799 in benefits. The entire amount is accounted for in the IT Department's FY 2014-2015 budget.

CONTACT NAME: Nate Greenberg

PHONE/EMAIL: (760) 924-1819 / ngreenberg@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

Staff Report

Time	Who	Approval
6/17/2014 8:24 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:19 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 4:18 PM	Finance	Yes

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COUNTY OF MONO



PO Box 7657 | 437 OLD MAMMOTH ROAD, STE. 228 MAMMOTH LAKES, CA 93546 (760) 924-1819 • Fax (760) 924-1697 • <u>ngreenberg@mono.ca.gov</u>

Nate Greenberg Information Technology Director

June 12, 2014

Subject	Request for approval to recruit for GIS Specialist position replacing Peter Kobylarz
From	Nate Greenberg, Information Technology Director
То	Honorable Board of Supervisors

Recommendation

Authorize the Director of Information Technology to begin the recruitment effort for a GIS Specialist I/II position to replace Peter Kobylarz.

Discussion

As of June 25, 2014, the GIS Specialist position within the Information Technology department will be vacated by the incumbent. This position is critical in ensuring day-to-day operations of the GIS division and is the point person for the maintenance, implementation, and development of all server based GIS resources. These resources include systems (and connections to systems) such as the ParcelViewer, e911, BasicGov permitting, Mammoth Lakes Fire Department 'Firehouse' RMS, as well as the overall data structure of the County and Town's GIS.

The skillset required to be successful in this position is specialized and unique, and the job duties large enough that the position cannot be absorbed by other staff. Not filling this position is not an option for the department or organization, as our entire GIS would degrade as a result. Even before this position was vacated, the staffing level within the GIS team is too low for us to complete all the projects currently on our plate in a timely manner, partially as a result of forfeiting a previously allocated and budgeted GIS position at the 2013-2014 mid-year budget review.

A GIS Specialist II position is included as part of the 2014-2015 IT Department staff allocation list, and our budget reflects the costs associated with this position. While this is a General Fund based position, it should be noted that the County receives approximately \$50k annually from the Local Transportation Commission, and \$75k annually from the Town of Mammoth Lakes to offset the costs of GIS staff costs.

Fiscal Impact

Approximately \$114,087 consisting of \$66,288 in salary and \$47,799 in benefits. The entire amount is accounted for in the IT Department's FY 2014-2015 budget.



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗄 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Economic Development

TIME REQUIRED	15 minutes (5 minute presentation; 10 minute discussion)	PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE	Alicia Vennos
SUBJECT	Expansion of California Tax Credit for Film and Television Production (AB 1839)	BOARD	

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

The Mono County Tourism & Film Commission encourages the Board of Supervisors to support Assembly Bill 1839 which will enhance California's existing film and television production tax credit program. The goal is to attract an increased number of productions in California which will thereby create additional potential for Mono County to secure incremental filming opportunities.

SEND COPIES TO:

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Consider approval of a letter to the Senate Committee on Governance & Finance in support of AB 1839.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

CONTACT NAME: Alicia Vennos

PHONE/EMAIL: 760-924-1743 / avennos@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

- Staff Report
- Draft Letter of Support for AB 1839

History

Time	Who	Approval
6/25/2014 12:41 PM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/25/2014 12:37 PM	County Counsel	Yes
6/25/2014 11:12 AM	Finance	Yes

STAFF REPORT Mono County Board of Supervisors Regular Meeting – July 1, 2014

SUBJECT: AB 1839 - Expansion of California Tax Credit for Television and Film Production

RECOMMENDATION: That the Board consider approving a letter to the State Senate Committee on Governance and Finance in support of AB 1839.

BACKGROUND: Assembly Bill 1839 (Gatto/Bocanegra), the California Film and Television Job Retention and Promotion Act, recently cleared the California State Assembly with unanimous approval. This landmark piece of legislation proposes increased funding for an expanded tax credit program for film and television productions that shoot primarily in California. Other states and countries are aggressively pursuing the film industry with tax credit programs four or five times larger than California's, and the decline of production in our state is significant. The goal of AB 1839 is to help California become competitive once again in order to attract incremental film and television projects and associated jobs and tax revenues.

While the bulk of filming in Mono County is commercials, securing even a small part of a feature film or television series can double or triple annual revenues from filming for our region. AB 1839 also includes an additional incentive for productions that bring their filming to locations outside the greater Los Angeles area, which will serve to further assist rural destinations within California to become more attractive as film-friendly destinations.

The California Film and Television Production Alliance – a coalition of guilds, unions, producers, small businesses, and associations that have worked together for more than a decade to promote, improve, and enhance film and television production in the State of California – is taking AB 1839 to the Senate Committee on Governance and Finance for review on June 25. The Mono County Tourism & Film Commission approved a letter of support (attached) and, as this is only the first in a series of hurdles, the Commission encourages the Board to approve a letter of support for upcoming future hearings.

AB 1839: http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB1839

FISCAL IMPACT: None.



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF MONO

P.O. BOX 715, BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA 93517 (760) 932-5538/5534 • FAX (760) 932-5531

Lynda Roberts Clerk of the Board Iroberts@mono.ca.gov Linda Romero Assistant Clerk of the Board lromero@mono.ca.gov

July 1, 2014

The Honorable Lois Wolk Chair, Senate Committee on Governance and Finance State Capitol, Room 5114 Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: AB 1839: SUPPORT

Dear Senator Wolk,

On behalf of the Mono County Board of Supervisors, we wish to express our strong support of Assembly Bill 1839 and the expansion of the current California film and television production tax credit program. The recent unanimous and bi-partisan support for AB 1839 in the Assembly indicates a very clear understanding that California is losing significant film and television productions and, as a result, thousands of middle class jobs and substantial tax revenue to competing states and countries.

The entertainment industry is a vital part of California's economy. Over 500,000 jobs and more than 50,000 California small businesses depend on a thriving film and television production industry. But with the pressure of incentive programs in other states and countries, California's share of the top grossing feature film market has slipped from 68% to just 8%. Production of one-hour television shows has also left the state – dropping from 64% to 28% over the past 8 years.

Located in California's Eastern Sierra, Mono County is home to state-designated Scenic Byway US Highway 395, the east entrance to Yosemite National Park, Mammoth Mountain Ski Area, Mono Lake, and picturesque alpine and fishing villages such as June Lake and Bridgeport. We have a diverse landscape that has appealed to the film industry since the 1930's with numerous motion pictures on record including *Nevada Smith* in 1966, *Indiana Jones and the Temple of Doom* in 1984 and most recently, *Oblivion* starring Tom Cruise in 2012. We are one of the most scenic, magnificent and natural places in California but have lost business and productions due to the ease, accessibility and cost-saving benefits that other states have to offer.

Film productions continue to be an important part of the economic fabric here in Mono County and we rely heavily on the growth and stability of the overall film industry in California. While the bulk of the filming in our region is commercials, securing even a portion of a feature film or television series will double or triple our annual revenue from filming. The decline in production state-wide results in a downward spiral for locations such as Mono County that are situated in the outlying regions and which are more costly for productions to consider.

While the current tax credit program in California is certainly helpful – creating 20,000 new jobs in the state and providing more than \$4.5 billion in direct spending – it is limited in eligibility and funding. The demand for film and television productions that wish to stay in California greatly exceeds the funding available in the existing program; the California Film Commission reports that 380 film and television projects applied for the program in 2013, and only 34 were able to be accommodated. Projects that are turned away take hundreds of millions of dollars in expenditures to other states and countries that would have otherwise been spent here in California. Our Mono County Film Commissioner reports that we recently lost a major feature film – a film which is based on a true story set in California's mountains and beaches -- to New Mexico because the production company's application for the California tax credit program was not selected in the lottery process.

AB 1839 would expand the current production incentive program beginning in 2016 to accommodate a significantly larger number of productions wishing to stay in California, and to include one-hour dramas and large budget feature films. Especially positive for rural regions, there is an added incentive for filming outside the greater Los Angeles area to support increased production for the entire state, which would directly drive projects to rural locations like Mono County. This will bolster our economic foundation and help make California – the state known as the home of filmmaking -- competitive once more.

We need to take significant steps *now* to address the exodus of film and television production from our state. The Mono County Board of Supervisors looks forward to working with you and other members of the Senate Committee towards this end.

Sincerely,

Larry Johnston Chair, Mono County Board of Supervisors

cc. Senator Steve Knight, Vice Chair Senator Jim Beall Senator Mark DeSaulnier Senator Ed Hernandez Senator Carol Liu Senator Mimi Walters Colin Grinnell, Staff Director Scott Chavez, Republican Consultant



June 24, 2014

The Honorable Lois Wolk Chair, Senate Committee on Governance and Finance State Capitol, Room 5114 Sacramento , CA. 95814

Dear Senator Wolk,

On behalf of the Mono County Tourism & Film Commission, I am writing to express my strong support of AB 1839 – California Film and Television Job Retention and Promotion Act. The Mono County Tourism & Film Commission represents California's Eastern Sierra, which includes destination resort Mammoth Lakes and Mammoth Mountain Ski Area, as well as smaller rural communities such as June Lake, Bridgeport, Lee Vining, and Crowley Lake situated along state scenic byway US Highway 395. We are also home to Yosemite National Park's East entrance, Bodie State Historic Park and Mono Lake, Devils Postpile and other iconic locations.

While the existing California Film and Television Tax Credit Program is helping, it is not robust or competitive enough to save our state from losing numerous jobs, and significant revenue and tax dollars to other states and countries. Over the past decade, the Eastern Sierra has certainly seen a decline in film and television production. While most of the film production in Mono County consists of commercials, securing even a segment of a single major feature film here will double or triple our annual revenues from filming. This bill will decidedly address the limitations of the current incentive program and will help to make California competitive again. It will also dramatically help rural counties, like Mono County, increase in desirability as a more film-friendly destination for large scale productions.

As chair to our Film Commission, I know that production work takes place all over our state and that it is not just a Los Angeles-based business. When a film crew comes to Mono County, it spends significant amounts of money with local businesses and with local agencies and organizations. This includes expenditures for permits, security, paramedics, and fire safety to lodging, dining, dry cleaning, hardware stores, catering, equipment rentals, and so on. Productions frequently hire local residents as crew and background extras, and pay film students to be production assistants. In a small county like ours, this spending directly boosts our economy and helps to propel us farther out of recession.

Mono County has a strong film history, dating back to the late 1930's and has been the backdrop in many motion pictures over the years including *Nevada Smith* in 1966, *Indiana Jones and the Temple of Doom* in 1984 and most recently, *Oblivion* starring Tom Cruise in 2012. Mono County has also filmed hundreds of car commercials with companies that include Jeep, Chevrolet and Ford. We are one of the most scenic, magnificent and natural places in California but have lost business and productions due to the ease, accessibility and cost-saving benefits that other states have to offer.

Statistics from the California Film Commission speak to the detrimental impact of runaway production. In 2012-13, of the 54 large live action feature films which were shot, only <u>one</u> filmed exclusively in California. These big-budget movies generate the most jobs and revenue, but presently they do not qualify for our state tax credit program. Other states are going after this weakness aggressively and are enticing productions away from California through large incentive packages. Our county recently lost a major feature film – based on a true story and set in both the mountains and beaches of California – to New Mexico because the funding in the current tax credit program cannot support all the qualified applications.

At the same time, the situation in our state would be even more dismal if it were not for California's current production incentive. It has created 20,000 new jobs and provided more than \$4.5 billion in direct spending. But it is limited in eligibility and funding. While 380 film and television projects applied for the program in 2013, only 34 were able to be accommodated. Projects that are turned away take hundreds of millions of dollars in expenditures to other states and countries that would have otherwise been spent here in California.

AB 1839 would expand the current production incentive program beginning in 2016 to include one-hour dramas and large budget feature films. There is an added incentive for filming outside the Los Angeles area to support increased production for the entire state and which would directly drive projects to rural locations like Mono County. This will bolster our economic foundation and help make California – the state known as the home of filmmaking -- competitive once more.

We need to take significant steps *now* to address the exodus of film and television production from our state. Mono County Tourism & Film Commission looks forward to working with you and other members of the Senate Committee towards this end.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Little Chair, Mono County Tourism & Film Commission PO Box 603 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 760-924-1743



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🛋 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

Departments: Community Development, Economic Development, Inyo-Mono Agricultural Commissioner, County Counsel

TIME REQUIRED	30 minutes (15 minute presentation; 15 minute discussion)	PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE	Wend
SUBJECT	Mono County Comments on the Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat	BOARD	
	Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage- Grouse		

Nendy Sugimura

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

Presentation on the Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse.

To view the Economic Analysis associated with this item, which is too large to attach to this packet, please click on the following link: <u>http://www.monocounty.ca.gov/sites/default/files/fileattachments/Board%20of%</u> 20Supervisors/calendar event/2306/sage grouse large attachment.pdf

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve and authorize chairman to sign comment letter regarding the Draft Economic Analysis, with any desired changes. Provide any desired direction to staff.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant impacts anticipated; proposed letter requests accurate analysis and quantification by USFWS.

CONTACT NAME: Wendy Sugimura

PHONE/EMAIL: 760.924.1814 / wsugimura@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🗹 YES 🔲 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

Staff Report

Proposed Letter

History

Time	Who	Approval
6/25/2014 2:48 PM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/25/2014 4:02 PM	County Counsel	Yes
6/25/2014 2:48 PM	Finance	Yes

Mono County Community Development Department

P.O. Box 347 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 (760) 924-1800, fax 924-1801 commdev@mono.ca.gov

Planning Division

P.O. Box 8 Bridgeport, CA 93517 (760) 932-5420, fax 932-5431 www.monocounty.ca.gov

July 1, 2014

To: Mono County Board of Supervisors

From: Wendy Sugimura, Associate Analyst

Contributing Staff:

Alicia Vennos, Economic Development Bob Musil, Assessor Brent Calloway, Community Development Nathan Reade, Inyo-Mono Agricultural Commissioner Stacey Simon, Assistant County Counsel

Re: Mono County Comments on the Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse

Action Requested

Approve and authorize chairman to sign comments from the County on the Draft Economic Analysis with any desired changes. Provide any desired direction to staff.

Background

The Endangered Species Act requires the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) to evaluate the probable economic impacts of the designation of critical habitat on proposed or ongoing activities. In the Ninth Circuit (and recently codified by the Service in its governing regulations), this involves isolating the "incremental" economic impacts expected to occur solely as a result of critical habitat designation, and excluding any impacts associated with previously-existing (or "baseline") protections. The listing itself, along with conservation efforts such as the 2012 Bi-State Action Plan, are include in "baseline" protections, and the critical habitat analyzed is the same 1.87 million acres proposed in October 2013.

The Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse (DEA) was released on June 3, 2014. The DEA covers a 20-year timeframe and forecasts total incremental costs of \$8.8 million (\$780,000 annually), allocated as follows:

- Transportation Activities (43%)
- Recreation and Special Use Permits (17%)
- Vegetation Management (12%)
- Grazing on Federal Lands (9%)

- Grazing and Agricultural Operations on Privately-Owned Lands (6%)
- Mining (6%)
- Residential Development (4%)
- Other Federal Lands Management (3%)

To isolate the incremental impacts of proposed critical habitat, the Service identified "suitable" and "unsuitable" habitat, which is a "term of art" used in these economic analyses. Within suitable habitat, costs associated with jeopardy to the species are considered part of baseline protections and omitted, while those consultation and conservation measure costs attributable directly to adverse modification of habitat are included. Within unsuitable habitat, all consultation and conservation measure costs are included. While the Service's legal obligation with respect to economic impacts is minimal – it is merely required to "consider" such impacts and there is no obligation for it to make any particular decision based upon them – this analysis can provide a basis for excluding areas from the final critical habitat designation (again, in the Service's discretion) if the benefits of exclusion outweigh the benefits of inclusion and other requirements are met.

Discussion

The legal points raised in the County's comments on the DEA for the three Sierra amphibians were largely addressed in this document. The basis of the County's comments on the Bi-State DPS analysis, therefore, is that unsuitable habitat was delineated incorrectly by not including "residential enclaves" and current best available science. As a result, economic impacts are inaccurately quantified and likely under estimated. Specific comments related to grazing and residential development, and the omission of impacts to property tax revenue are also included, along with the addition of the Sierra Business Park to the list of exclusions by text in Mono County.¹

The deadline for comments is Thursday, July 3rd. The final listing decision for the Bi-State DPS is expected in April 2015. If the bird is listed, the critical habitat designation is expected to follow within one year. The commitment of \$31 million in federal funding announced June 20th removes a major barrier to meeting the Service's policy for the evaluation of conservation efforts (PECE) to support a decision not to list the Bi-State DPS; however, the outcome is still under evaluation. The other key component is a determination of effectiveness of the conservation efforts.

This staff report was reviewed by the Community Development Director. Please contact Wendy Sugimura (760.924.1814, <u>wsugimura@mono.ca.gov</u>) with any questions.

Attachments:

- 1. Mono County Comments on Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse
- 2. Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse

¹ Existing linear features, such as roads, and point features, such as aggregate pits and landfills (excluding Benton Crossing) were requested in the February comment letter.



Larry Johnston ~ District One Fred Stump ~ District Two Tim Alpers ~ District Three Tim Fesko ~ District Four Byng Hunt ~ District Five

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF MONO

P.O. BOX 715, BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA 93517 (760) 932-5538 • FAX (760) 932-5531

July 1, 2014

Mr. Edward D. Koch State Supervisor U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Nevada Fish and Wildlife Office 1340 Financial Boulevard, Suite 234 Reno, NV 89502

RE: Mono County's Comments on the Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse

The Mono County Board of Supervisors is pleased to hear of the \$32 million in funding allocated to implement the Bi-State Action Plan for Conservation of the Greater Sage-Grouse Bi-State DPS ("Bi-State Action Plan"). As recognized by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ("Service"), funding for the Bi-State Action Plan fulfills key criteria in the policy for the evaluation of conservation efforts (PECE) to support a decision not to list the Bi-State DPS of Greater Sage Grouse. Mono County is hopeful that the multiple Bi-State agency partners can and will demonstrate the effectiveness of the Bi-State Action Plan, with the result that a listing of the Bi-State DPS will ultimately become unnecessary.

However, until such time, the Mono County Board of Supervisors appreciates this opportunity to comment on the *Draft Economic Analysis of Critical Habitat Designation for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of the Greater Sage-Grouse* released for public review on June 3, 2014 (the "DEA" or "the Draft Economic Analysis"). Section A of this letter focuses primarily on the DEA's methods and sources for classification of suitable versus unsuitable habitat, which the County believes requires revision. Section B of this letter delineates areas in Mono County that should be excluded from the critical habitat designation entirely, on the basis of economic impacts and lack of primary constituent elements (PCEs).

A. THE DEA INAPPROPRIATELY ISOLATES THE INCREMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED CRITICAL HABITAT DESIGNATION, AND CONTAINS ERRORS AND OMISSIONS.

1. "Suitable" and "unsuitable" habitats were designated inappropriately.

According to the DEA, "suitable" and "unsuitable" habitat were delineated by the Service to isolate the incremental impacts of the proposed Critical Habitat (PCH) designation. Mono County

understands from the DEA that suitable habitat is defined as "habitat potentially occupied or currently suitable for occupation by sage-grouse," while unsuitable habitat is "unused habitats that could be suitable for use by sage-grouse if practical restoration was applied. These corridors/sites are most commonly former sagebrush areas overtaken by pinyon-juniper woodlands."¹ Within suitable habitat, costs associated with jeopardy to the species are considered part of baseline protections and excluded from the analysis – only consultation and conservation measure costs attributable directly to adverse modification of habitat are included. In contrast, within unsuitable habitat, all consultation and conservation measure costs are included, regardless of whether attributable to adverse habitat modification. Accordingly, the proper delineation of suitable versus unsuitable habitat is critical to a valid economic analysis since it determines the scope and contents of the analysis.

The Service delineated unsuitable habitat by identifying "locations that are: (1) contiguous with currently utilized habitat that occurs within the present range; (2) provide for connectivity between and within populations, and (3) identified within the 2012 Bi-State Action Plan."² The 2012 Bi-State Preliminary Priority Habitat (PPH) map developed by the Bi-State Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and the 2008 Bureau of Land Management (BLM)/US Forest Service (USFS) map were used as data sources.³ However, this analysis contains two serious flaws: 1) the definition is inappropriate as it does not include locales that should be excluded due to unsuitability characteristics other than pinyon-juniper woodland encroachment; and 2) the TAC mapping has been updated since the version utilized in the DEA, from a 30-meter resolution of woodland encroachment to a 1-meter resolution and, as a result, identifies additional unsuitable habitat.

By defining unsuitable habitat as only woodland encroached areas and connectivity corridors, significant acreage that is just as likely to be unsuitable for sage grouse for other reasons is characterized as "suitable" and costs associated with consultation and conservation measures are erroneously categorized as attributable to baseline protections. Since costs associated with baseline protections are not analyzed in the DEA, this mis-classification grossly skews both the analysis and conclusions of the DEA. For example, Mono County submitted to the Service vegetation structure and composition data in GIS shape files for various residential enclaves within the County.⁴ That data demonstrates that the primary constituent elements (PCEs) for sage-grouse habitat are not present in those residential enclaves. Yet the DEA classifies these enclaves as suitable habitat. In these developed areas, the designation of critical habitat by itself would trigger additional California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review and analysis in the vent of a "project" as defined by CEQA, informal consultations and inquiries, and perceptual impacts.⁵ These areas should be designated as unsuitable habitat for the purposes of the DEA, with impacts entirely attributed to the designation of critical habitat, and eventually excluded from PCH.

¹ DEA, Appendix C. US Fish and Wildlife Service Draft Incremental Effects Memorandum for the Economic Analysis for the Proposed Rule to Designate Critical Habitat for the Bi-State Distinct Population Segment of Greater Sage-Grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*). January 23, 2014. p. 6-7.

² *Ibid.,* p. 7.

³ DEA, p. 2-14.

⁴ Mono County GIS data with vegetation characterization attributes, submitted in January/February 2014 to the Service

⁵ The County appreciates the identification and qualitative discussion throughout the DEA of perceptual impacts to private property values.

Finally, through its support of the Bi-State Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) efforts, the County is aware that the woodland encroachment mapping has been updated to 1-meter resolution, resulting in a significant increase in areas which should be classified, and analyzed, as unsuitable habitat. Economic impacts are likely to increase as the unsuitable habitat acreage increases, and the analysis must be recalculated to account for the current, best available science.

Because this delineation of suitable and unsuitable habitat is so critical to the analysis of economic impacts, input from the public and Bi-State TAC should be sought to ensure the best available science and knowledge is applied. Without such input, future revisions are likely to continue to be challenged and the adequacy of the economic analysis will continue to be questioned.

2. Data errors and omissions.

While the inappropriate delineation of suitable and unsuitable habitat described above is a foundational error that calls into question the validity of the entire analysis, the County wishes to also provide comments specific to the DEA's analysis of grazing, tourism and recreation, and residential development. The following sections identify relevant data that has been omitted and points out data inaccuracies which should be corrected.

a. <u>Grazing</u>

The Inyo-Mono Agricultural Commissioner's Office promotes and protects the agricultural industry of the counties and, as such, is in a key position to understand the potential impacts of a listing through regular work with agricultural operators. The County conferred with the Agricultural Commissioner to develop the following comments on grazing impacts from the proposed critical habitat designation:

- Paragraph 115: Seasonal grazing restrictions already exist, and for the most part very little flexibility exists to alter them further. Many ranchers move from one type of lease or permitted land to another based on season and management requirements (e.g., how much forage can be grazed). This timing is so highly regulated that any changes have the potential to disrupt operations to the point that a ranch cannot function. Anecdotally, several ranching operators have commented that **timing changes would force them to go out of business** because they have nowhere to pasture livestock.
- Paragraph 122: The concept that a "rancher obtains value for holding a Federal grazing permit beyond the annual fee charged for that permit" is very short-sighted and somewhat absurd. In practical terms, the value of a permit is equal to the production value of the land, measured by multiplying "pounds gained" by "market value," over and above the cost of the permit. Loss in production value, which is the unit of measurement reported to the state and federal governments, can result from reduced animal unit months (AUMs), acreage, amount of grazing time, etc., and is a better measure of the economic impact to a rancher. The analysis should be recalculated utilizing the more standard and practical measurement of "production value."
- The impacts to sage-grouse habitat are noted, but not the benefits. The Federal government not only receives the benefit of the fees collected, but also derives other

benefits from the grazing of the land, most notably fuels reduction and the maintenance of wet meadow habitat due to irrigation. All of these benefits of grazing should be analyzed and included as economic losses.

- Exhibit 3-5, quantifying \$840,000 in losses over 20 years in today's dollars, results from a very basic view of how operations may be impacted and is likely a low figure. In particular, the study attempts to quantify losses to an individual ranch or allotment holder, but **does not consider the relatively large economic cost of losing even one operation.** If ranches consolidate or allotments are left vacant due to unviability under sage grouse management, considerable economic impacts will result from the loss of production value and/or reduced employment.
- Exhibit 3-6 makes the false assumption that cost per AUM will remain static. The figure should be recalculated with a reasonable annual escalator.
- Paragraph 136: Based on anecdotal information about the Natural Resource Conservation Service's (NRCS's) Sage Grouse Initiative programs and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Livestock Forage Program, **the federal nexus estimate is likely to be understated**.
- Paragraph 149: The County and Agricultural Commissioner's Office absolutely agree that the federal nexus of NRCS and USDA programs discourages ranchers from participating. This results in reduced voluntary habitat protection for the Bi-State DPS, as well as a local agricultural market disadvantage by preventing ranchers from accessing basic provisions and support for disaster relief. Both of these impacts are undesirable, and should be included as economic losses.

In the experience of the Agricultural Commissioner, most ranches cannot simply reduce their herd and survive sustainably, and most operations have some rotation onto federal lands. If grazing is reduced due to further management, the County will lose some producers. Therefore, **the County concludes that the study must address the above points within the larger context of regulations possibly forcing the loss of one or more ranches or livestock operations, and the discussion must be founded in the understandable terms of production value.**

b. Tourism and Recreation

The County appreciates the data included in the draft analysis, as it accurately reflects information the County provided. However, one important aspect of recreation that should be specifically evaluated is camping. According to Mono County's 2008 Economic Impact Analysis/Visitor Profile Study, 320,685 visitors stay annually at "Campgrounds/RV Parks/Cabins" generating 1,576,782 visitor days per year and total annual direct spending of \$72,710,000. Of the 86 campgrounds and RV Parks located in Mono County, (private and public), approximately 35% are in designated proposed critical habitat, including the following:

Topaz Lake Marina/RV Park Meadowcliff/KOA RV Park Crags Sonora Bridge Swauger Creek Obsidian Sawmill Robinson Creek Paha Lower Twin Lakes Twin Lakes Resort Doc & Al's Honeymoon Flat Bridgeport Reservoir Marina/RV Park Paradise Shores RV Park Virginia Creek Settlement Willow Springs Green Creek Lundy Canyon Taylor Canyon Big Springs Mammoth Creek

Board of Supervisors, County of Mono

Sherwin Creek Brown's Owens River Benton Hot Springs Convict Lake McGee Creek McGee Creek RV Park Crowley Lake Campground Crowley Lake RV/Trailer Park Crowley Lake Fish Camp

The DEA does not include any information about changes to management of campgrounds and RV parks. **Impacts to these operations should be identified and analyzed for inclusion in the economic study**.

c. <u>Residential Development</u>

The DEA's analysis of residential development contains two flaws: 1) the inclusion of residential enclaves within suitable habitat, and 2) the initial removal of "developed" areas from consideration of incremental impacts. As noted previously, there are characteristics besides woodland encroachment that result in lands being unsuitable habitat. **Mono County submitted vegetation data demonstrating that residential enclaves do not meet PCH PCE's, and therefore impacts in these areas should be quantified as incremental impacts.**

In rural communities, the currently developed areas have the highest land values, most suitable commercial locations, and are the most likely to see improvements due to redevelopment, sales, and tenant improvements. As noted previously, the very act of designating critical habitat in these already developed areas triggers more complex CEQA review and analysis, informal consultations and inquiries, and perceptual impacts. With development improvements tending to be marginal in rural areas like Mono County, these additional barriers have a very real possibility of preventing a project. Therefore, **developed areas must be included in the residential development impacts**.

d. Potential Benefits

The Board of Supervisors is astounded to see hunting listed as a benefit to the Bi-State DPS. While hunting generates revenue and investment by a certain interest group, the ultimate result is intentional take of a potentially threatened species for recreational purposes. To list hunting as a benefit to the Bi-State DPS is shocking given the level of effort being directed toward the survival of the birds. **Hunting should be removed from the benefit analysis**.

e. Errors and Omissions

Mono County identified several errors and omissions in the DEA, the most glaring of which is lack of analysis of the property tax revenue impact to the County. Mono County derives 45% of its General Fund revenue from property taxes. The Town of Mammoth Lakes and tens of special districts receive and rely upon property tax revenue as well. The costs of CEQA, consultations, and devastating perceptual impacts will certainly have an effect not only on existing land values, but future improvements that result in tax roll increases. The land values and associated tax roll for parcels within unsuitable habitat <u>and</u> residential enclaves is \$430,836,953 and \$4,889,726, respectively. Of these totals, residential enclaves generate \$408,178,177 of the land value and \$4,659,328 of the tax roll, thus illustrating the importance of categorizing these lands correctly as unsuitable habitat and justifying their exclusion from PCH. **Economic losses resulting from impacts to property values of unsuitable habitat and residential enclaves must beincluded in the analysis**.

In addition, the County identified the following errors in the analysis:

- Figure ES-2 and paragraph 11 on page ES-4 appear to list the acreage of unsuitable habitat incorrectly at 577,473 acres. The Service's memorandum calculates unsuitable habitat at 472,784 acres.
- Shannon Peterson is a consultant to the Mono County Resource Conservation District (RCD), not Mono County as identified in footnote citations. The RCD is a separate legal entity from the County.

B. EXCLUSIONS THAT SHOULD BE GRANTED ON THE BASIS OF ECONOMIC IMPACTS AND LACK OF PRIMARY CONSTITUENT ELEMENTS (PCE's).

As previously mentioned, Mono County has submitted vegetation characterization data demonstrating that its rural enclaves do not meet the primary constituent elements (PCEs) of proposed critical habitat and should therefore be excluded. A review of the comments above on residential development and the omission of impacts to property tax generation clearly indicates that the economic benefit of excluding these areas outweighs the benefit of including them.

In addition to the residential enclaves, Mono County requested that existing infrastructure such as roads, aggregate pits, and landfills (except Benton Crossing) be excluded by text due to lack of PCEs. Again, leaving these locations in PCH will result in economic impacts by triggering more complex CEQA review and analysis, formal and/or informal inquiries and consultations, and perceptual impacts. These previously submitted locations should be excluded by text for the same reasons as residential enclaves.

Finally, the County adds more developed areas to the list of exclusions requested by text. These locations are already developed and/or impacted by activities and, consequently, clearly do not meet the PCE's. Inclusion in critical habitat would trigger CEQA implications, informal and/or formal inquiries for activities, perceptual impacts, and real impacts to the tourism and recreation economy. As a result, excluding the following locations outweighs the benefits of inclusion:

- The campgrounds listed above in Section A.
- The Sierra Business Park is a light industrial area located to the west of the Mammoth-Yosemite Airport on the south side of US 395.
- Mammoth Yosemite Airport in Long Valley which sees daily commercial flights year-round on both Alaska Air and United Airlines.
- Whitmore Recreation Area and High Altitude Training Center, located in Long Valley on Benton Crossing Road near US 395.
- McGee Creek Pack Station, a traditional pack outfitter located in Long Valley.
- Hot Creek Hatchery and Casa Diablo Hot Springs.

C. CONCLUSION

The DEA does not accurately characterize economic impacts due to the foundational flaw associated with incorrectly delineating suitable and unsuitable habitat. The best available science and information should be utilized, residential enclaves deemed unsuitable habitat, and the analysis revised accordingly.

In addition, the County has several comments, including identifying errors and/or omissions related to grazing, tourism and recreation, residential development, and property taxes. The economic analysis should adequately consider the impacts of these points. Errors in unsuitable habitat acreages and citations should also be corrected.

Lastly, in addition to previous requests to exclude residential enclaves, Lee Vining and Bryant Field airports, and specific features across the landscape, the County adds other developed areas that do not contain the PCEs and, yet, would be economically impacted by a critical habitat designation. Please consider these locations and features for exclusion from PCH.

Thank you for taking the time to consider Mono County's comments on the DEA. The County appreciates the challenging political, environmental and social position of the Service, and hopes this information will assist the Service with weighing the benefits of designating critical habitat against the probable economic impacts in a complete, informed, and accurate manner.

Respectfully,

MONO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By: Larry K. Johnston, Chairman

CC: Congressman Paul Cook Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer
State of California, Governor Brown
State of Nevada, Governor Sandoval
USFS, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest
California State Association of Counties (CSAC)
Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC)
Town of Mammoth Lakes
CDFW Region 6
County of Alpine
BLM, Bishop Field Office
USFS, Inyo National Forest
County of Inyo



Closed Session--Human Resources

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

🗏 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS. Government Code Section 54957.6. Agency designated representative(s): Marshall Rudolph, John Vallejo, Leslie Chapman, Bill Van Lente and Jim Leddy. Employee Organization(s): Mono County Sheriff's Officers Association (aka Deputy Sheriff's Association), Local 39--majority representative of Mono County Public Employees (MCPE) and Deputy Probation Officers Unit (DPOU), Mono County Paramedic Rescue Association (PARA), Mono County Public Safety Officers Association (PSO), and Mono County Sheriff Department's Management Association (SO Mgmt). Unrepresented employees: All.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME:

PHONE/EMAIL: /

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

No Attachments Available

History

Time

6/17/2014 8:24 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:18 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 4:14 PM	Finance	Yes



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Closed Session - CAO Performance Evaluation PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD Jim Leddy

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION. Government Code section 54957. Title: County Administrative Officer.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME: Jim Leddy

PHONE/EMAIL: (760) 932-5414 / jleddy@mono.ca.gov

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

History			
Time	Who	Approval	
6/24/2014 7:35 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes	
6/24/2014 11:21 AM	County Counsel	Yes	_
6/23/2014 4:51 PM	Finance	Yes	



Closed Session - Public Employment

REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT. Government Code section 54957. Title: Clerk-Recorder.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME:

PHONE/EMAIL: /

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/24/2014 7:46 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:24 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/24/2014 8:33 AM	Finance	Yes



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Mono County v. Standard Industrial Minerals.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME:	
PHONE/EMAIL: /	

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/25/2014 12:40 PM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:20 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/24/2014 11:23 AM	Finance	Yes



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Closed session - Conference with Legal Counsel PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION. Initiation of litigation pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Number of potential cases: one.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME:	
PHONE/EMAIL: /	

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING

SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/25/2014 12:42 PM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:20 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/24/2014 11:23 AM	Finance	Yes



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Luman v. Mono County Personnel Appeals Board.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT	NAME:

PHONE/EMAIL: /

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING SEND COPIES TO:

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/24/2014 7:35 AM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:22 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/23/2014 5:15 PM	Finance	Yes



REGULAR AGENDA REQUEST

📇 Print

MEETING DATE July 1, 2014

TIME REQUIRED

SUBJECT

Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel PERSONS APPEARING BEFORE THE BOARD

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

(A brief general description of what the Board will hear, discuss, consider, or act upon)

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Inland Aquaculture Group, LLC v. Mono County et al.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

FISCAL IMPACT:

CONTACT NAME:	
PHONE/EMAIL: /	

SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT WITH ATTACHMENTS TO THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR **PRIOR TO 5:00 P.M. ON THE FRIDAY** 32 DAYS PRECEDING THE BOARD MEETING **SEND COPIES TO:**

MINUTE ORDER REQUESTED:

🔲 YES 🗹 NO

ATTACHMENTS:

Click to download

History		
Time	Who	Approval
6/25/2014 12:42 PM	County Administrative Office	Yes
6/24/2014 11:25 AM	County Counsel	Yes
6/24/2014 12:09 PM	Finance	Yes