



**MEETING MINUTES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF MONO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Regular Meetings: The First, Second, and Third Tuesday of each month. Location of meeting is specified just below.

MEETING LOCATION Board Chambers, 2nd Fl., County Courthouse, 278 Main St.,
Bridgeport, CA 93517

**Regular Meeting
June 10, 2014**

9:00 AM Meeting Called to Order by Chairman Johnston.

*Supervisors present: Alpers, Fesko, Hunt, Johnston and Stump.
Supervisors absent: None.*

Supervisor Tim Fesko left the meeting at 2:00 p.m. due to a previously scheduled engagement.

*Break: 10:27 a.m.
Reconvene: 10:40 a.m.
Lunch: 12:17 p.m.
Reconvene: 1:12 p.m.
Adjourn: 2:16 p.m.*

Pledge of Allegiance led by Supervisor Stump.

1. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD
No one spoke.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - NONE

3. PRESENTATIONS - NONE

4. BOARD MEMBER REPORTS

Supervisor Alpers:

- No report today.

Supervisor Fesko:

- 6/5 – attended Antelope Valley RPAC; they keep moving forward on things.
- 6/6 – Officiated first wedding; and will do another one in two weeks. He really

enjoyed it.

- 6/9 – LTC meeting with Supervisor Stump.
- This week is 7th annual ATV Jamboree; today through the 14th. He will be guiding a trip tomorrow. Up to 205 riders this year.

Supervisor Hunt:

- Last Wednesday, special Caltrans MOU Committee Update meeting; confirmed more time is needed to complete negotiations in developing the Conservation Easement; extended to September 1st.
- Wednesday, 6/4 – LAFCO meeting, final budget meeting. \$11,533 for this year; discussed various other issues.
- 6/4 – Tom Torlakson, California State Superintendent of Public Instruction toured schools; he spent some time with him at a reception.
- Tomorrow headed to Fall River Mills to attend the Sierra Nevada Conservancy meeting for a few days.
- Congratulated Stacy Corless on her win for his district.

Supervisor Johnston:

- Attended family birthday celebration out of town.

Supervisor Stump:

- Saturday attended Crowley Lake BBQ and Community Swap meet; good turnout, good to see Swall Meadows and Paradise people there.
- Monday – attended LTC meeting with Supervisor Fesko; able to wrap up most business items quickly. July meeting has been cancelled. There will be one new LTC Commissioner.
- Regarding Land Trust – had a meeting with one of their board members; gratified to hear that board as a whole appears to still be supportive.
- Meeting with owner of Convict Lake Resort; may be interested in funding some conduit up the road to his resort in order to be able to have fiber optic capability up there.

The Board may, if time permits, take Board Reports at any time during the meeting and not at a specific time.

5. COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

CAO Report regarding Board Assignments

Receive brief oral report by County Administrative Officer (CAO) regarding work activities.

Jim Leddy:

- Strategic Planning Committee Thursday night; he'll send out information.

6. DEPARTMENT/COMMISSION REPORTS

Garrett Higerd:

- Convict Lake Road Update: meeting in two weeks to discuss project and make sure all documents are on track. This is being pushed forward quickly; going to construction in summer of 2015. This is a great opportunity to think about putting conduit in the ground.
- Rock Creek Road Update: there is a conflict right now due to lack of forward planning; he attended a meeting with Stacey Simon at SCE offices in Bishop, thinks they understand what needs to be done as far as not missing the paving opportunities. Yesterday LTC approved a letter; he suggests our Board take up issue and do a similar letter. Funding has recently been approved. Have been finalizing plans, working on permits, will meet with subcommittee soon.

Stacey Simon:

- Here to follow up on LUNA Geothermal litigation issue: the appeal website shows that

no appeal has yet been filed.

7. CONSENT AGENDA

(All matters on the consent agenda are to be approved on one motion unless a board member requests separate action on a specific item.)

A. Examination of Sales or Transactions and Use Tax Records

Departments: Finance

Resolution updating County representatives with the Board of Equalization in regards to agreement to audit sales and/or transactions and use tax.

Action: Approve and authorize the Chairman's signature proposed resolution #R14-35, authorizing the Examination of Sales or Transactions and Use Tax records.

Fesko moved; Hunt seconded

Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

R14-35

B. Letter of Support for Race Communications Broadband Project

Departments: Information Technology; Board of Supervisors

This item authorizes the Board Chair to sign a letter to the California Public Utilities Commission in support of Resolution T-17433 granting \$4,650,593 to Race Telecommunications for the Mono County Underserved Broadband Project. This project would deliver gigabit Internet speed and additional broadband capabilities via 'Fiber-to-the-Premise' technology to households and businesses in the communities of Aspen Springs, Chalfant, Crowley Lake, and Sunny Slopes. Mono County has worked closely with Race Telecommunications in the development of this project proposal, and the effort is in line with the County's legislative platform to seek out funding for projects of this nature.

Action: Approve draft letter of support and authorize signature by Board Chair.

Stump moved; Hunt seconded

Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

M14-99

Pulled from agenda by Supervisor Johnston for discussion.

- Appears that RACE communications will want to have overhead lines, he's not in favor of it.
- Asked about putting lines on existing poles, contrary to General Plan?
- He doesn't think that the letter needs to be revised but he does want them to know that he at least is concerned about this.

Supervisor Stump:

- Nate not here today; as much as possible they plan to bore it, using the existing poles. They are aware of all issues.
- How much latitude they have in the grant to finish certain parts wireless due to wetlands issue, not certain.

Nate Greenberg:

- He will make sure the General Plan issue is addressed.

8. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED (INFORMATIONAL)

All items listed are located in the Office of the Clerk of the Board, and are available for review.

A. RCRC Letter Regarding Payment-in-Lieu-of-Taxes Monies Owed

Letter dated May 28, 2014 from RCRC regarding PILT monies owed to counties asking for assistance in placing this topic on the not in conference list of items to be discussed and acted upon during the deliberations of the Joint Legislative Budget Conference Committee.

B. County Supervisor Letter Regarding Securing a Water Bond

Letter from Tulare and San Joaquin Board of Supervisors, dated May 23, 2014, providing additional details about securing a water bond for the November ballot.

The Board acknowledged receipt of the correspondence.

9. REGULAR AGENDA - MORNING

A. First Five Mono County Evaluation Report for FY 2012-13

Departments: Board of Supervisors

(Molly DesBaillets, Executive Director) - Presentation of First 5 Mono County's Evaluation Report for FY 2012/2013 by Molly DesBaillets, Executive Director.

Action: None.

Molly DesBaillets (First Five Director):

Power point:

- Children's Participation in First 5 Mono Funded Programs (FY 12-13).
- Children's Participation in Commission-Run Programs (FY 12-13)
- Home Visiting.
- Services.
- Demographics.
- Referrals.
- Breastfeeding.
- Exits.
- Exit Survey.
- Childbirth Education.
- Café Mom.
- Family Behavioral Health.
- Peapod Playgroups.
- Participation.
- Overall Survey Average.
- Mono County Behavioral Health Referrals/Survey.
- School Readiness.
- Transition to School Activities.
- Kindergarten Round Up Attendance.
- Transition to School Participation.
- Pre-K Assessments.
- MES Assessments 2008-2013.
- MES Students Assessed as "Not Ready".
- Teacher Survey; Survey Comments.

MEETING MINUTES

June 10, 2014

Page 5 of 12

- Parent Survey; Survey Comments.
- Raising a Reader; Evaluation; Reader's Theatre; First Book.
- Child Safety (no investment in FY 2012-13).
- Birth-to-5 Health and Safety Fairs
- Child Care Quality.
- Child Signature Project.
- Oral Health.
- Results and Indicators.

Other Comments:

- She does share statistics with school districts.
- Head start has been asked to leave Mammoth Elementary School; space has been offered at old library, quite expensive. That's the last she has heard, it's still a question mark.
- She'd like to present to Town Council in Mammoth and come back each year to visit our board.

Supervisor Stump:

- Are statistics shared with the school districts? Are they receptive to this?
- Head start building demolished? Where will they go?

Supervisor Johnston:

- He's involved with IMACA; they are helping look for spot for Head Start?
- This is an amazing amount of work and organization that you do; thanked her. Encouraged a future update.

B. Crowley Ballfield Concession Facility

Departments: Public Works

(Joe Blanchard) - Discussion regarding CSA # 1 transferring \$115,000 into the CIP for Construction Costs for the Crowley Lake Ballfield Concession Facility.

Action: Approve the transfer of \$115,000 from the CSA#1 budget into the CIP budget to cover construction costs for the new Crowley Lake Ballfield concession facility. Additionally, CSA #1 agrees to contribute funding towards maintenance.

Stump moved; Hunt seconded

Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

M14-100

Joe Blanchard:

- Explained item and the suggested transfer of funds and what project is for.
- Kim McCarthy present in Mammoth in case Board needs to ask her questions.
- Should an MOU be put together as far as maintenance and added facilities?

Kim McCarthy:

- Gave Board information; needs additional \$10,000 for items needed; may need to be brought back at a later meeting.
- She has been told that any building they build that *they* are responsible for maintenance.

Stacey Simon:

- Additional expenditure could most likely be approved through CAO but it can be brought back.

Supervisor Stump:

- He'd like to simplify this; if CAO can do independently then the Board should advise him to do that.
- Ball field is a great thing; it's been used a lot.

Supervisor Fesko:

- Hat's off to CSA#1 for stepping up and helping community.
- He feels involvement with maintenance and expenditures needs to be at CSA level; not so much at Board level.

Supervisor Johnston:

- Asked who is responsible for maintenance, how that works?
- Is it the intent that when we do improvements; that we make sure maintenance aspect is covered?

Marshall Rudolph:

- CSA Board is essentially an advisory board; good idea to have some general guidance for CSA's on the subject of expenditures and maintenance.
- No MOU needed as if they are a separate party.

C. Contract for Custodial, Snow Removal, and Campground and Community Ctr. Mgmt. Services

Departments: Public Works and CAO

(Joe Blanchard and Sarah Messerlian) - Proposed contract with Baxter's for Custodial, Snow Removal, and Campground and Community Center Management Services.

Action: Approve County entry into proposed contract and authorize the Public Works Director to execute said contract on behalf of the County.

Fesko moved; Hunt seconded

Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

M14-101

Joe Blanchard:

- Explained item, scope of work included in contract.
- Reiterated the valuable experience that Mr. Baxter has; which is needed to carry out the scope of work involved in this contract.
- The County used to pay for supplies; with new contract Mr. Baxter will pay for them.

Supervisor Fesko:

- Asked about equipment and materials. He heard that the county pays for cleaning supplies.
- Asked for clarification on the amounts on contracts.
- Asked what additional work he's doing? Asked for clarification on recycling portion.

Supervisor Stump:

- In communities with no access to recycling services; places could become dump sites.
- Asked specifically about the recycling portion and the costs.
- Interesting grouping of facilities within this contract

Supervisor Hunt:

- How long has Baxter been doing this?

Supervisor Johnston:

- He thinks it's prudent for these types of contracts to go out to bid periodically; ok with this renewal but in the future he feels we should put back out to bid.
- Standard quality in contract seems weak; when we go out for proposals, he thinks standards should be more measurable. Should be more specific.

Tony Dublino:

- The recycling part could be charged back to the solid waste program.
- Has had some cuts in monies; looking at creative ways save time, gas, etc – adding the recycling portion to Baxter's contract seems a way to assist with that. Or, we could maintain it if necessary.
- This contract is not actually requesting the recycling portion today; it's for potential future

use.

Stacey Simon:

- The agreement itself factors in the recycling portion *if* necessary.
- Language in contract, Section 1d, talks about contractor's obligations.

Leslie Chapman:

- This is contingent on this year's budget; other things can be addressed during the budget process.

D. Introduction to the Information Technology Strategic Plan

Departments: Information Technology

(Nate Greenberg) - Presentation describing the Information Technology Strategic Planning effort, including an overview of information gathered, key initiatives for 2014-2015, and next steps.

Action: Information item only.

Nate Greenberg:

Power Point:

- Top Themes in Mono County IT.
- Basis for Strategic Planning:
 - Do more with less
 - Increasing demand on IT staff time
 - Evaluate and distinguish
- Where we were and what we 'Knew'.
- General Questions Going In.
- Demands on Time.
- Time Allocations by Staff Type.
- Hours by Work Area.
- What We Are Actually Working On?
- Why Plan Strategically?
- Our Process.
- Mission.
- Vision.
- 2014-2015 Goals and Objectives.
- Key Initiatives:
 - Communications and Broadband
 - Business Operations and Efficiency
 - Infrastructure and Security
- Example 1: Communications Initiative.
- Improving our Support Model.
- Support Request Response Time by Priority.
- 2014 Response Times by Priority.
- Example 2: Business Operations & Efficiency.
- Current Systems & Procedures.
- Existing Software.
- Proposed System Consolidation.
- Savings in Annual Maintenance Fees.
- Example 3: Infrastructure and Security.
- PC Age: Support Requirements & User Experience.
- IT Project Management.
- Next Steps.

Next Comments:

- He intends to come before the Board to request replacement for Peter Kybolarz who will be leaving Mono County; he will be leaving some big shoes unfilled.

Supervisor Stump:

- Asked about the life of PC's – what is currently being implemented?
- Understands you are losing one of your staff; will you be able to replace locally?
- He concurs with tooling up the process of filling the position in IT; however that needs to occur.

Supervisor Fesko:

- Appreciates how proactive the IT Department is being; suspects Nate and his team will see others appreciate this.

Supervisor Alpers:

- Complimented Nate on his presentation.
- Complimented staff on being available for answering all kinds of questions.

Supervisor Hunt:

- Doesn't feel we have the talent locally to fill Peter's position.

Supervisor Johnston:

- Great job on this presentation and for keeping on top of all of it.

Jim Leddy:

- Due to hard hiring freeze in place; there are now requirements for hiring people.
- Earliest for the replacement position to come to the Board would be July 1st.

E. Third Amendment to Conway Ranch MOU and Conservation Easement Update

Departments: Board of Supervisors

(Marshall Rudolph, Tony Dublino) - Proposed Third Amendment of the Conway Ranch MOU with Caltrans. Said Amendment will extend the deadline for implementing the MOU until September 1, 2014, which among other things will allow the County to thoroughly evaluate comments received and revise the draft conservation easement as deemed appropriate by the Board. Also receive a brief oral staff update regarding the status of the draft Conservation Easement for Conway Ranch.

Action: Approve County entry into proposed Third Amendment of the Conway Ranch MOU with Caltrans, and authorize the Board Chairman to sign said Third Amendment upon behalf of the County.

Fesko moved; Hunt seconded

Vote: 5 yes; 0 no

M14-102

Board Discussion:

- We will schedule a special meeting for residents to be able to have a voice on this issue on July 27th, 6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m., Lee Vining Community Center. Special meeting.

Marshall Rudolph:

- Introduced item; it's very straight forward.
- We currently have an MOU with Caltrans; currently in the process of developing the conservation easement with Conway Ranch.
- We've been hard at work at this; a draft is out. We're close to having it done. Deadline in place was July 1st but with the required sign offs needing to happen, combined with Land Trust issues and other documents, they aren't quite done.
- A lot of public feedback and comments have been received; the Board should consider all information coming in. There is still a fair amount of misunderstanding about the easement; we need to continue to reach out and educate the public. Caltrans understands we need more time.
- This proposed third amendment is to extend the deadline to September 1st. They'd like it done by August 1st.
- One thought that has crossed staffs mind, in order to let the public address the board in

person, would be maybe having a special meeting about this. Should be done well in advance of the deadline.

Tony Dublino:

- This extension presents an option to move this forward and hopefully get more understanding out there.
- Thinks a special meeting is a good idea.

Supervisor Hunt:

- We need to get this behind us and figure out what's going to benefit most people most of the time.

Supervisor Alpers:

- This has been on RPAC agenda for over a year; Marshall's observations and comments are appropriate.
- Public comments to the Board are different; suggested a special meeting on June 24th, Tuesday. This would be good public policy.

Supervisor Fesko:

- Agrees with Supervisor Hunt that this needs to be moved forward.

F. Public Hearing: General Plan Amendment / Housing Element Update

Departments: Community Development

(Brent Calloway) - Public hearing regarding General Plan Amendment 14-001 / Housing Element Update.

Action: Following public hearing, consider Planning Commission recommendation to approve Resolution #R14-36, adopting Addendum 14-001 to the Mono County General Plan EIR and General Plan Amendment 14-001 Housing Element Update.

Stump moved; Fesko seconded

Vote: 4 yes; 1 no: Johnston

R14-36

Brent Calloway:

- Gave Board a handout (to go on the web) and introduced item.

Power point (to be posed to web):

- Housing Element Update Timeline
- Summary of Update
- Went over recommended action.
- Staff also agrees with recommended action.
- Mammoth Lakes Housing inadvertently left out.
- June 30th deadline.
- There is a version that easily addresses the Mammoth Lakes Housing comments.

Supervisor Stump:

- Clarified that IMAAA has been replaced with ESAA. This needs to be changed.
- What is deadline?
- He's prepared to support this resolution. He's confident the outreach has been done.
- We're being forced to do less with less; the CDD is so busy. We are going to end up paying a price for leaning down with the county.

Supervisor Johnston:

- Asked what the staff recommendation is.
- Page 2 – Public participation – asked for clarification.
- Why wasn't Mammoth Lakes Housing contacted earlier?
- Asked about contacting the Latino community even though the RPACS are usually contacted. He sees this as a gap.
- Why would Latinos come? They haven't gotten enough outreach.

MEETING MINUTES

June 10, 2014

Page 10 of 12

- Asked how many Latinos are on the RPACS?
- If we had done a survey, we might have had a request to do a meeting at night. We didn't.

Supervisor Fesko:

- There is not much participation in this as a public hearing.
- He feels the public outreach has been done.
- This is a status quo housing element with not many changes. We need to meet this deadline.

Supervisor Hunt:

- Agrees with the need for better outreach but this is a quiet general plan amendment; we need to approve it.

Supervisor Alpers:

- Appreciates and respects Supervisor Johnston's comments; all things considered we need to go ahead and approve this.

Scott Burns:

- Explained why the staff recommends what the Planning Commission recommends.
- Entertained Mammoth Lakes Housing to assist; but re-evaluated at a later time due to various reasons.
- Planning Commission's point of view – when they solicit Latino involvement, they never get much response. It's a deficiency to Latinos because they aren't comfortable participating in local government.
- He feels for our small rural county, we are doing a lot of outreach efforts.
- We're doing this item because it's a state law requirement.
- Mammoth Lakes Housing has been involved.
- May need to try some non traditional approaches. Could incorporate this into General Plan Update.

Jim Leddy:

- Spoke about a Latino group that may need to be queried (via Hector Gonzalez) for future notifications.

PUBLIC HEARING OPEN 12:05 p.m.

Jennifer Halferty (Mammoth Lakes Housing):

- They weren't aware that this was going to the public but does appreciate the comments incorporated.
- Spoke about the June 30th deadline and how they'd be out of compliance if it's not met.
- She spoke about outreach to the Hispanic community.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED 12:08 p.m.:

10. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

No one spoke.

11. CLOSED SESSION

There was no closed session held today.

A. Closed Session--Human Resources

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS. Government Code Section 54957.6. Agency designated representative(s): Marshall Rudolph, John Vallejo, Leslie Chapman, Bill Van Lente and Jim Leddy. Employee Organization(s): Mono County Sheriff's Officers Association (aka Deputy Sheriff's Association), Local 39--majority representative of Mono County Public Employees (MCPE) and Deputy Probation Officers Unit (DPOU), Mono County Paramedic Rescue Association (PARA), Mono County Public Safety Officers Association (PSO), and Mono County Sheriff Department's Management

Association (SO Mgmt). Unrepresented employees: All.

B. Closed Session - Conference With Legal Counsel

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: Luman v. Mono County Personnel Appeals Board.

REGULAR AFTERNOON SESSION COMMENCES AT 1:00 P.M.

12. OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

No one spoke.

13. REGULAR AGENDA - AFTERNOON

A. Public Hearing: 2014-15 Fee Workshop

Departments: Finance

(Leslie Chapman, Roberta Reed) - Public hearing and workshop regarding the adoption of fees for fiscal year 2014-15 for both general government and enterprise operations.

Action: No action taken. Workshop continued to Thursday, June 19, 2014 at 11:00 a.m. in the Bridgeport Board Chambers.

PUBLIC HEARING OPEN: 1:13 P.M.

Roberta Reed:

- Introduced item; fees presented today are ones changed over last year (and from previously approved fees).
- A list has been compiled over the past year.
- We have General Fund Fees and Enterprise fees that are being looked at.
- Changes made: Community Development, Economic Tourism, EMS, Finance, Clerk-Recorder, Public Health.
- Fee sheet just behind the resolution are the ones changing today.
- Explained how average rates are calculated.
- Admits she sees an error on the Building Inspector Hourly fees.

Scott Burns:

- Regarding proposed hourly fee for Building Inspector; more for code compliance purposes.
- They are just trying to be consistent within the department; bumped up just for calculation purposes.
- The Building Inspector Fee is calculated much differently than other Community Development staff; Nick Criss' hourly rate needs to be set.
- Fee schedule is important to help decide when/how to charge hourly fees.

Supervisor Fesko:

- There's a discrepancy between calculations; hard to have a workshop if he isn't sure the fee calculations are correct.

Supervisor Johnston:

- There's a history of what's been charged.
- The Building Inspector fee is hardly ever used; might be arguing a small amount of money senselessly.
- There's a clean up going on in addition to an adjustment of fees.

Supervisor Stump:

MEETING MINUTES

June 10, 2014

Page 12 of 12

- There's no set guideline on when to apply the hourly rates?

Supervisor Hunt:

- In his entire tenure, the setting of these fees has been complicated.

Tom Perry:

- Gave some explanation regarding proposed Building Inspector fee.
- Explained that the Building Inspector fee is hardly used.
- If this fee wasn't charged it really wouldn't affect the Department much.

Rob DeForrest:

- Answered various questions.

Louis Molina:

- Answered questions.

Marshall Rudolph:

- Spoke about doctrines, etc associated with fee adjustments.
- If you waive or reduce the fee, the county is picking up that fee. You can't spread that between other fees.

Fees that were discussed:

- Community Development: Building Inspector Hourly fee (long discussion ensued).
- Clerk-Recorder (no discussion)
- EMS: discussion about mileage rate.
- EDD/Tourism
- Public Health
- Finance: PACE fee, tax roll parcel correction
- Public Works
- Solid Waste Enterprise

Supervisor Fesko:

- 2:00 p.m.: He has to excuse himself: he can't agree with all of these fees. Maybe can readdress next Thursday?

Supervisor Johnston:

- Recommended we go ahead and put off making any decisions until next Thursday when Supervisor Fesko is here.
- Continued Public Hearing to June 19th at 11:00 a.m. Clerk to add to agenda.

ADJOURN 2:16 p.m.

ATTEST:

LARRY K. JOHNSTON
CHAIRMAN

SHANNON KENDALL